**GOVERNMENT** 

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 6, 2022

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened a Special Board Meeting via Video-Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m. EST, Gary Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair MIKE GILL, Member KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director

TERRI STROUD, General Counsel

## CONTENTS

Call to Order	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 3
Ascertainment of Quorum	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 3
Adoption of Agenda/Approval of Minute	s	•	•	•	•	•	. 4
Board Matters	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 4
Executive Director's Report	•	•	•	•	•	•	.50
General Counsel's Report	•	•	•	•	•	•	.96
Campaign Finance Report	•	•	•	•	•	•	103
Public Matters	•	•	•	•	•	•	134
Adjournment	_					_	134

## 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 (10:37 a.m.) CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. 3 Good 4 morning, everybody. We are now connected with 5 the public. Welcome everybody for --AUTOMATED VOICE: This meeting is 6 being recorded. 7 8 CHAIR THOMPSON: This meeting is being 9 recorded. Today is April 6, 2022. And this is a regularly scheduled meeting of the District of 10 11 Columbia, Board of Elections. My name is Gary 12 Thompson, I'm the Chair of the three-member board, and if the other board members could each 13 14 please introduce themselves as well. 15 MEMBER GILL: Mike Gill, I'm here. 16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. 17 MEMBER GREENFIELD: And Karyn 18 Greenfield on virtual. 19 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So all three 20 of us are present. We have a forum, and the 21 first quick thing we do is adopt the agenda. agenda has been distributed and advance to 22

1	everybody. I move that we follow the agenda.
2	MEMBER GILL: Second.
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, all in
4	favor?
5	(Chorus of aye.)
6	CHAIR THOMPSON: A second quick thing
7	is to approve our minutes from the last meeting
8	of Wednesday, March 2nd, so I would would have
9	been distributed, everybody's had a chance to
10	review them and make any corrections. So at this
11	time I would move we adopt those minutes.
12	MEMBER GILL: Second.
13	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
14	(Chorus of aye.)
15	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, thank
16	you so much for the preliminary matters. And
17	next on the agenda, under board matters, we
18	wanted to start by welcoming and saying thank you
19	in many ways to former board chair Michael
20	Bennett. Welcome, Michael.
21	MR. BENNETT: Thank you.
22	CHAIR THOMPSON: Well known to

everybody here at the BOE, six years, the Chair of the Board of Elections. So we wanted to present Mr. Bennett with the resolution of appreciation, to honor him, and come up with some whereas clauses that hopefully summarize, as well, your contributions to the BOE. So if I may, I'd like to read it into the record and for everybody out there to hear.

And it is as follows: A resolution honoring D. Michael Bennett, Whereas D. Michael Bennett has been a long time resident of the District of Columbia with a distinguished career as an attorney and business executive. Whereas, in 2016, Mayor Muriel Bowser nominated, and the DC Council unanimously confirmed, Michael to be on the three-member Board of the DC Board of Elections;

Whereas from 2016 to 2021, Michael served two three-year terms as Chair of the Board; whereas in such role, Michael devoted significant time and effort to many monthly and special board meetings, staff meetings,

interacting with DC officials, voter education
and outreach, registration drives, media
interviews, events, and countless other
interactions and communication;

Whereas Michael oversaw the board's work through three major election cycles in 2016, 2018 and 2020, which included elections to choose the US president, the delegates in the House of Representatives for the District of Columbia, the Mayor of the District of Columbia, and many other DC offices;

Whereas Michael oversaw a period of major change in how citizens vote, shifting from an era, where nearly all votes were cast on a single election day, to a new era, where most votes are now cast through mail or absentee ballots, early voting, and other means;

whereas at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic, Michael guided the board through an 11th hour overhaul of its election administration plan, so as to ensure a safe and secure election for the voters of the District of Columbia;

utilizing creative solutions that were new to DC, including vote by mail, mail ballot drop boxes, and super vote centers;

Whereas Michael oversaw a period where the vote in DC was also expanded in many ways to ensure full access to all citizens, regardless of physical ability, age, language, and any other barriers to voting with ease;

Whereas Michael conducted himself with integrity, wisdom, and congeniality at all times, and guided the board through many years of excellent service to the citizens of the District of Columbia; whereas the board and every staff member at the agency deeply appreciates Michael for his years of dedication and leadership. Now, therefore, be it resolved, the DC Board of Elections commends and recognizes D. Michael Bennett for his exemplary years as its dedicated Chair. And before I read the last part, I would move that the board adopt this resolution.

MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Second, all in favor?

(Chorus of aye.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: It's unanimous.

MR. BENNETT: The last part is about UNC-Duke basketball.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. This regulation was duly considered and passed by the board at its public meeting by the unanimous vote of three to nothing. The resolution is individually signed by and attested to by each of the board members below, as well as the two Executive Directors during Michael's tenure, Monica Hollman Evans and Alice Miller. We've all signed this, and we're very pleased to adopt it. You know, it's only a piece of paper, but I think it summarizes a lot of incredible work and dedication that better the Board of Elections, and most importantly, served well the people of the District of Columbia.

We've recently met, after I was nominated, and I want to thank you personally for reaching out to me and helping me with this transition, and I know everybody thinks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

incredibly highly of you, and with that I would ask my fellow board members if they have any words at this time?

MEMBER GILL: Well, I guess, I feel like I've said great things about Michael, four or five goodbye parties now. So I'm starting to wonder, you know -- no. Michael, you are -- the word I think of is consequential. You have been consequential in the evolution of this Board of Elections, and I'm very honored to have you as a colleague, and more honored to have you as a friend.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you for that.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah. I

definitely want to thank Michael for his

guidance, especially when I first came on the

board and was trying to figure things out. I

also want to thank him just for his energy he had

with the board, and all the things he did in

terms of supporting the board, working with them.

The 2020 election was my first one and it would

Karyn?

happen to have been in a pandemic, and so I got
to see all the very quick changes that we had to
do and that the board had to do under Michael.

And he was just so supportive of them and
definitely very involved in it, and easy for me
to just call when I had questions, or needed
advice, or whatever. I'm really very grateful to
the Chair so, I want to thank him for all of
that.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Turning to my left,

Terri or Monica, any words, or --

MS. STROUD: So I -- you know, Michael was a dear friend and had become so over the years. But the most recent thing that I want to thank him for is for calling me when my squad whooped his squad on Saturday night, and allowing me to -- he said I'm going to give you ten seconds to gloat. And I think I might have gone on, but I really appreciate you allowed me to do that. I was going to do it any way, but thank you for being so amenable to me doing it on Sunday, because you did not call on Saturday

night. But Michael, you know how I feel about you. I think you're just the consummate person. You're just a wonderful person despite the fact of your bad choices in alma maters. So thank you. Ah, yes. Thank you very much.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Monica?

MS. EVANS: And I really just want to say thank you. That's the most meaningful thing Because it's not just thank you for I can say. being the chair of this board, but thank you for serving with integrity. Thank you for caring about staff and caring about the residents in Thank you for going above and District Columbia. beyond the call of duty with everything you said, everything you do. I want to just let you know that you are appreciated. And I appreciate you as a colleague and as a friend. Even though I only got to serve as EP for about two months under your leadership, those were a very meaningful two months and I really can't thank you enough for your confidence in me, and for what you have poured into me, so --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIR THOMPSON: Cecily Collier-Montgomery.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Yes, hi.

Michael, on behalf of the Office of Campaign

Finance, I would thank you for the assistance and
the guidance that you gave us during the first

cycle of the implementation of the Fair Elections

Act. You were in contact with us practically on
a weekly basis. And so we appreciate your

assistance in that regard. And as everyone
knows, the program was tremendously successful.

So thank you again.

CHAIR THOMPSON: And last but certainly not least, Alice Miller.

MS. MILLER: So last but not least.

Thank you, Gary. So Michael, I guess everybody said everything that needs to be said. But I will say this: When it comes to support, you are Superman, Okay? It is non-stop and definitely continues. Everybody on the staff know it, everybody will definitely attest to it. And I think that was the biggest fear when you

announced that you were going to step down, is that, you know, are we going to still have the support that we had with you? And I do think that we're seeing that with this current board, but God knows. I know that I could not have done this job without you standing beside me and I do appreciate that. And I want to thank you for that sincerely.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Well, I know there's about 50 other, 60, 100 other people at the BOE and elsewhere that would love to say a few words, but in the interest of time, we'll -- I think I'll pass off this resolution to Michael and then invite you to grab the mic as well and share any final words.

MR. BENNETT: Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Absolutely.

MR. BENNETT: I won't -- I won't sit
down but -- because if I do I'll probably cry,
But two things -- well there's a lot of stuff.
One is, the very first thing I had to do when I
got here, Terri was doing an incredible job as

Acting Director, and she was screaming, Hear me out, hear me out. So we tried, you know, she was going to stay, but I'm so thankful that we were ultimately able to convince her to take the role of General Counsel. She is the best in the country. Ain't nobody better. And Gary, you are so fortunate now, and the board is fortunate to have Terri Stroud as your General Counsel.

People around the country look up to her in terms of her capabilities in this area, and there is no one better, absolutely no one better.

And so the other thing I wanted to say in general about the organization is that, most of you know, I had a really long career in corporate. And one of the things I did in my corporate life was mergers and acquisitions and so Karyn knows, because Karyn was with me a lot during that, is I bought and sold a lot of companies over the years. A lot of companies over the years. And in fact -- and I ran a number of organizations over the years. One of the organizations I ran was close to a billion

dollars a year in budget. I have never, in all of my career, been involved in the organization that was better run than the DC Board of Elections. One of things that used to aggravate me, and still does sometimes, is how so often, people, not just in the city, but around the country, make not so flattering comments about DC Government. I don't know all of DC Government, I know the DC Board of Elections. And there is not a better run organization in the country, private or public, than the DC Board of Elections. And the citizens of this city and borders are very fortunate to have an organization run so well.

I had the pleasure of selecting, and with the other board members, we selected Ms.

Miller as our Director, and -- on her second tour in that spot. One of the former chairs called me and said, if you need to select somebody, you really need to select Alice Miller. And he was right. You know, the way we were able to work together. In fact, everybody knows, in fact, I still do work for Alice, and it wasn't the other

way around.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But it was such a cohesive, well run, but always focused on what was important. what was important are the voters of the city, not the politicians, you know, not all of the hullabaloo, but it's really, it's the voters. And I can say, without question, that there's not one person in this organization that ever took their eye off the ball, and the ball is making sure that the voters are well taken care of. that's also Campaign Finance, as well as the Board of Elections. Cecily and her team, and Bill, they were constantly focused on that. wasn't hard making sure that their elections program was successful because that's where their focus was.

My job was to make sure that they had what they needed, because I know they were focused on making sure that it was a successful program. It was so different than anything that they ever had to do before. And the success is just, you know, kudos to everybody in that

organization that make sure -- and they made sure everything else kept going well, too. And that's the function of the people in the organization. And I was able to, on my way moving forward,

Monica Evans, along with the board members, they were also a pleasure. And I think that we found by far the best replacement for Ms. Miller that existed in the country.

So I don't -- you know, DC is the focus and is looked at by lots and lots of people around the country, relative to how we do things. And the DC Board of Elections is simply a model for the rest of the country. I say it every time I get a chance to, The Brookings Institute graded us -- graded all 51 jurisdictions, in the primary in 2020, as well as the general. They gave out six As in the primary and they gave out eight As in the general. And DC received an A on both occasions, A, B, C, D, and F.

And our neighbors were not as -- there were only two As in the general on the east coast. One is DC, the other was New Jersey. So

I am proud to have served. It was time for me to go. My basic philosophies are that, you know, people shouldn't sit in those roles for so long. And it's important to have other people come in and to give new blood and fresh eyes to the processes. But those six years were, certainly, some of the most exciting times of my life, especially 2020, and I still think about those long lines during the primary, and we still got an A.

But one thing that I guess I wanted to share about those, is that I probably talked to 1,500 people on that day, and I walked those lines until probably 11:00 or 12:00 at night.

And one occasion, I remember, and of those 1500 or 1600 people that I talked to, there was only probably four or five people that actually gave me a hard time. And I told everybody who I was, and I thanked them for being in line, and I thanked them for their waiting, you know, we would, you know, getting people through as fast as we could.

And as I was walking down one line, I believe it was over at Ouray, I didn't even know it, but tears were coming down my face, because one lady said to me, she said, it's okay, Mr.

Bennett, we don't mind -- we don't mind waiting.

Thank you for being here. And that, I think, I remember that probably as much as I do anything over the term period, is that the citizens and the voters were responsive to us. And responsive to the things that we were trying to do, and appreciated that, and that meant a lot to me.

And it's not to say everything happened, you know, perfectly, and it would've been great had things had gone well, that nobody had to wait in line for all those time periods.

But at the end of the day, I think we did a great job. I appreciate all the people. I'll miss you guys more than you can possibly imagine. It is, you know, I think about you all -- I don't miss having sitting in that chair and make some of the decisions that we had to make. But, you know, you do what you have to do. And I think we have

great leadership going forward.

And all I can do is just thank the organization, and thank the support that -- the staff, and especially the senior leadership, you know, gave me during those time periods.

Unfortunately, I'd call people late at night, and poor Alice had to suffer. Terri suffered quite a bit, and still does. Monica didn't have to worry about me but two months. And Cecily, I'd call her ten times because she wouldn't answer her phone the first time. She'd called me right back. She'd say, what does he want now? But we had just an amazing relationship, and still do.

You know, which is why I can tease now.

So anyway, I'll stop talking. This is a wonderful, wonderful resolution, I certainly appreciate that. And, you know, at the end of the day, you know, it's all about service and, you know, leaders, and I know this leadership team is that way, you know, leaders are the number one service. I think when I came in, I told everybody, I work for everybody else,

because that's what leaders are supposed to do.

Our job is to make sure that everybody has what
they need, or have what they need to get the job
done. And I hope I did that. So thank you.

Thank you for that resolution. And thank you for
giving me a couple of minutes -- in fact, I think
I took 15, to say thank you to everybody else.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you so much. Thank you, Michael. You're welcome anytime, virtual by Zoom, if you'd like. Your hard work and dedication is deeply appreciated. And thank you for your comments about the BOE and the Office of Campaign Finance. People ask me, oh, how's it going? You know, you've been the Chair now for a couple of months. How's it going? I have nothing but enthusiasm to provide to my colleagues and friends that ask that question. And the main reason I'm so upbeat when I answer that question is because of the excellence of everybody here -- up here, in the BOE, and in the It is an extraordinarily well-run, excellent organization, which makes, you know our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

job as board members, easy. I think I shouldn't say easy, because it's maybe, in a few minutes, you'll see it's not going to be -- maybe not be so easy, but --

So with that, I guess we'll turn to the first substantive issue on the agenda today. It is a hearing on an appeal from an adverse preliminary determination of eligibility for ballot access by Ms. Lori Furstenberg, who picked up petitions to be in the Republican primary for the Office of Mayor of the District of Columbia. Apparently did not return a sufficient numbers of signatures to qualify, received an adverse determination, and we received a letter of appeal on April 3rd. We're here today to address that issue. And with that set up, I would ask General Counsel Terri Stroud to address the matter.

MS. STROUD: Yes. Good morning,
everyone. Is Ms. Furstenberg present?

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. We can see her.

Yes.

MS. STROUD: Okay. Hi, Ms.

Furstenberg, and I will now ask the Registrar of Voters, Mrs. Karen Brooks, to come and give her report of the circumstances around the filing of the nominating petition submitted by Ms.

Furstenberg, and then we'll hear from Ms.

Furstenberg.

MS. BROOKS: Good morning. I'm Karen Brooks, the Registrar of Voters for the Board of Elections. Ms. Furstenberg received her nominating petitions on January 28th to run for the Office of the Mayor of the District of Columbia as a Republican candidate. She was -received information of the required number of signatures for the office, and was given enough specificity to collect more than the required number of ballot signatures just in case she'd get challenged. She filed her number of petitions on March 23rd. She did not file the required number of valid signatures to have her name placed on the primary ballot for the general election.

MS. STROUD: Okay. So Mrs. Brooks,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	what was the required number of signatures for										
2	the office in the Republican Primary?										
3	MS. BROOKS: 290 signatures.										
4	MS. STROUD: Okay. And how many were										
5	filed?										
6	MS. BROOKS: 240.										
7	MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.										
8	MS. BROOKS: You're welcome.										
9	MS. STROUD: Do you have any										
10	questions? Do the board members have any										
11	questions for Mrs. Brooks?										
12	CHAIR THOMPSON: And 290 being one										
13	percent of roughly										
14	MS. BROOKS: The rest of the voters at										
15	the time she received her petition.										
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: Which was 28,900										
17	MS. BROOKS: Yes.										
18	CHAIR THOMPSON: of registered										
19	Republicans as of what date?										
20	MS. BROOKS: As of January 28th.										
21	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, thank										
22	you so much.										

1 MS. BROOKS: You're welcome. 2 CHAIR THOMPSON: Karen, any questions? Well, with that, we'll turn to Ms. 3 No. Okay. 4 Furstenberg. 5 Good morning, Ms. MS. STROUD: Yes. 6 Furstenberg. And if you could state your name 7 and address for the record. 8 MS. FURSTENBERG: Can you hear me? 9 Excellent. Good morning, Lori Furstenberg, Yes. 10 3 Tingey Square SE, Washington, DC, 20003. 11 MS. STROUD: Okay, Ms. Furstenberg, 12 and you can present your position. 13 MS. FURSTENBERG: Okay. So there's 14 two questions that I have. First set of questions. So this is the first time that I've 15 16 heard the exact amount of signatures that a 17 Republican candidate running for mayor was to 18 attain, or obtain. That said, Ms. Brooks just 19 said it was 290. The list I had, provided to me 20 by the BOE, which was what Mr. Thompson said, was 21 28,900. Therefore, right now, I've just heard

that, and correct me if I'm wrong, the BOE

ent
∍nt
as
e.
00
you
ond
two
Ė
ed
t t

today that I needed 290 signatures. The list that I received had 28,900 people on it. But that goes into my second point, why was that list that the BOE sent me so absolutely inaccurate?

MS. BROOKS: I don't know. I can't explain that to you, Ms. Furstenberg, I didn't see your list. So I'd have to see what list you were seeing, and then do an assessment of what was in it.

MS. FURSTENBERG: Well, please --

MS. BROOKS: But -- excuse me, but I know my staff, and I know what they are taught, and they are taught to instruct the candidates to what the signature requirement is. And to give them enough petition pages to collect double the amount of what's required. And I know you received 60 pages, but you only turned in 33, so it's only as to that.

MS. FURSTENBERG: So my question is:
Why was the list so inaccurate that I did receive
from the BOE? When I went to these houses of the
addresses that the BOE gave me -- first, to

address the BOE list, there were numerous duplicate names, duplicate addresses with different names. There were dead people. There were dead people on the list. There were houses that I went to on the list that supposedly had registered Republican, but they were actually registered Democrat, that had lived there for at least ten to 20 years. So my question is: Why is this list so inaccurate when this organization, Mr. Bennett just said, is extraordinarily run very, very well. So why is this list so inaccurate, Ms. Brooks?

MS. BROOKS: Again, as I explained to you a couple minutes ago, I would need a copy of the list to see what you received so I can do an assessment of it. I can't base it on just what you said, I can't base it on your words, I need to see the document.

MS. FURSTENBERG: Okay. The list that your office sent me. Sure, I'm happy to resend it back to you, Ms. Brooks.

CHAIR THOMPSON: How many, I guess, so

how many signatures did you believe you were supposed to get?

MS. FURSTENBERG: Well, that's a great question question, Mr. Thompson. That's a great question because I'm not a lawyer, I'm just a citizen.

But when the BOE never gave me a number, it's on you to have -- roll, correct? So therefore, the list that you gave me was so inaccurate, how am I supposed to know what the accurate number is when you all don't even know what your list contains?

MEMBER GILL: The quick answer to that is, everyone gets a list, and that's the official list. And then you are to get one percent or whatever from that. And so you weren't discriminated in any way with that, everyone gets the same thing. But if you didn't -- I guess what I'm trying to get to is, you had to know that there was a number you needed while you're out getting signatures. And so what did you think it was, 250? Did you think it was 200?

MS. FURSTENBERG: You know, it seemed very evident to me, Mr. --

MEMBER GILL: Gill.

MS. STROUD: That's Mr. Gill.

MS. FURSTENBERG: Okay. Mr. Gill, I apologize. It became very clear to excuse me. me that the list that you all gave me was so The 28,900 signatures, or 900, inaccurate. registered Republicans, so to speak, was so inaccurate, that it became very clear to me that you didn't even know the registered Republicans in DC. And in fact, my team, that consisted of seven members, all having lived here from ten to 40 years, all being registered Republicans, were not even on that list.

The list that the oldest member of my team, who has worked with the Republican Party for years, he thought that list to be at least ten to 12 years old. The list that the BOE gave me. It became clear to me down the line, when I was told that another list that was given out to another candidate, had 30 -- Republican candidate, had 37,000 registered Republicans on it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So I throw it back at you, Mr. Gill, which list was I to get? 289 or 370? Does that mean I never saw that 37,000 supposed registered Republicans updated list? But I can tell you from the 28,900 list that I had, that was very nefarious. Complete wrong information. So I just throw it back to you, how many signatures, provided these lists, does a candidate running for Mayor, Republican candidate, supposed to get?

MEMBER GILL: So that, the quick answer is one percent. But no, you should not, there should not be two lists for the same primary election. So I don't know what the issue is there.

MS. STROUD: The pertinent list,

pursuant to the statute. And I'm going to just

read the statute for you, Ms. Furstenberg. Let's

see. It's a District of Columbia code. Do you

see Official Code, section 1-1001.08 IIB provides

that: Each individual in a primary election,

candidate for the office of Mayor shall be

nominated for any such office by a petition

that's signed by, in terms of the Republican Party, which is signed by one percent of the duly registered members of such political party, whichever is less, as shown by the records of the board as of the 144th day before the date of such election. The 144th day before the date of the June 21, 2022 primary election is January 28th.

January 28th is the list that you were accountable for collecting signatures based on that count. And so that would be the one percent of the 28,900 -- the list that contained that number of voters on it. And so one percent of that is 289. And so maybe that's the amount of signatures. I mean, you know, I think that you said that the list that you received said 290.

MS. FURSTENBERG: No, I said -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

MS. STROUD: And so that -- even if you thought it was 289, which was one percent of that list, you would have to collect one percent of that. And so my understanding is that your

petition that was filed, I believe, on March 1 2 23rd, which was, I believe, the last day that you could submit a nominating petition. 3 That was the 4 last day. And yeah, I just want to say that 5 that's the list that should apply. Okay. 6 MS. FURSTENBERG: So --7 MEMBER GILL: Are you aware of a list 8 of 37,000? 9 MS. STROUD: No. I wasn't. 10 MEMBER GILL: Okay. 11 MS. FURSTENBERG: So that being said, and thank you, ma'am, for your comments on the 12 statute. So that being said, you just said that 13 the records of the board indicated that the list 14 I got -- and I would agree, if that list were 15 16 valid, that I should get 289 signatures. 17 absolutely agree with that, that would be one 18 percent. But that list wasn't valid. 19 The Board of Elections -- and I just 20 heard how great you all are, and you're a model

for the country. Okay. Your model for the

country gave me a complete inaccurate list.

21

us scrubbing that list to the best that we could, with my small team, me working full-time, getting signatures before, after work, and on weekends, right? Aside from my full-time job.

We determined that that list may be in-between -- the accuracy of that list may only be 13,000, a little bit above that, we don't know. It's not our job to keep that list, it's the Board of Elections to keep a valid list to give to candidates that are running for office, correct?

Correct? It's your job to keep that list accurate. That said, again, dead people, people that had never lived at that address, duplicate addresses, duplicate names. So when the BOE scrubs that list, which they should be doing all the time, I know you will see inaccuracies. That list is inaccurate.

So I ask you: If our preliminary figures of 13,000 and some change, seem to be the accurate amount of registered Republicans in District Columbia, then we could go off that

number, right? The 13,000? So that would be 130. So we just throw arbitrary numbers all day because I'm telling you that list that you gave me is absolutely inaccurate. Therefore, I demand to be on the ballot. You provided me an inaccurate list. I demand to be on the ballot.

MS. STROUD: Thank you for your The one thing I would just like to add comments. is, we do have procedures that we are required to follow regarding this maintenance and moving voters from an active to an inactive status, and I don't disagree that there were inaccuracies on the list. However, we do have a process we must follow in order to conduct and update our voter registration rolls, and we do follow that process. And it may not always coincide with petition pick-up and the time that we make voter rolls available to the candidate, however we are bound by the rules that are in place.

MS. FURSTENBERG: And thank you for saying that, you're bound by the rules that are in place. So I just told you that there was a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

list that had 37,000 signatures on that someone else was giving to the Republican Party. Then I was told that there was another list, 300 more names were added to that list. So the list of 37,000 signatures was evidently up to speed on August of 2021 -- or I'm sorry, August of 2020. Then there was evidently 300 more signatures were added to a list that was given to another Republican that had 300 more signatures. that list was provided in May -- or that list was supposedly up-to-date May of 2021. So the 28,900 signatures I've had, that I was given, how old was that? Since you are supposed to keep these records up to date. How old was that list? MS. BROOKS: I don't know when you would see the list, Ms. Furstenberg. MS. FURSTENBERG: Ma'am, it was

MS. FURSTENBERG: Ma'am, it was

January of 2022. And let me just also say this,

let me back up a little. In my appeal, I wrote

that this is not a personnel problem that I'm

having with the BOE. I want to tell you that.

Everybody has been absolutely polite to me. This

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is not a personnel problem. This is a process problem, and this is a legal problem. So again, that list that I received in January of 2022, with 28,900 names on it. When was that list created? How old is that list?

MS. STROUD: That list was current as of January 28, 2022. That is the list that is set forth, and that is the date that the statute specifies the list that's provided. The numbers are based on the list as of that date. And so that is the date, January 28, 2022.

And pursuant to that list, the number of signatures that you had to collect was 289 because it's one percent of the 28,900. I'm not sure whether that's the 28,900, I'm not sure of the exact -- whether that was off by one or two, such that it would have been rounded up to 289, I don't know. But they would have at least been 289 signatures, which would mean that your petition, if you turned in 240 signatures, was below.

MS. BROOKS: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And I also want to just speak to other documentation that you received as part of your pick-up packet, where the instructions were that you, as individuals, should check, they should, you know, attempt to file early if they could've, and supplement, if they did not have the number of ballot signatures on that list. Were you provided with the documentation with respect to tips on circulating and filing nominating petitions?

MS. FURSTENBERG: I was. And let me just hold your word, ma'am. Valid signatures. Well, I didn't receive a valid list. So I -here's what we agree on. I agree that I should get one percent of whatever valid list, up-todate of registered Republicans, are in the District of Columbia. I did not receive a list with a valid voter role list for registered I did not receive that list. Republicans. said, I demand that -- you don't know what the accurate number is. You don't know, I don't Therefore, I demand I'm on that list -- or know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I demand that I'm on the petition.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Ms. Furstenberg,
thank you so much. I think the board is going to
have a long discussion now about this. So we
really appreciate you bringing this issue before
us, and we appreciate you filling out the papers,
and being willing to run for office. Our city
needs, you know, more qualified candidates from
multiple parties and perspectives. So you know,
thank you for going down this road.

MS. FURSTENBERG: Thank you, Sir. And I just -- before you make your decision, I just want to say the following, and I appreciate you thanking me for running because, (audio interference) be a two-party system everywhere in the United States, at least. So in closing, the inaccuracy of the DC voter rolls, combined with the one percent determination rule, is vague, unconstitutional and discriminatory, and amounts to voter suppression under the Constitution and the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Therefore, again, I demand that the BOE overturn its preliminary

1	determination, and that the BOE place my name on
2	the ballot for the Office of the Mayor of the
3	District of Columbia for the June 21, 2022,
4	primary election. Thank you for your time today.
5	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you so much.
6	And just for the record, we also, of course, have
7	your April 3, 2022 letter that, besides many
8	other points you've made, and some off the
9	constitutional due process arguments you've made.
10	MS. FURSTENBERG: Thank you.
11	CHAIR THOMPSON: So with that, I
12	think, my board members, I'll go ahead and make a
13	motion and some comments to explain it. And my
14	motion is to go ahead.
15	MEMBER GILL: One more question.
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, please go ahead.
17	MEMBER GILL: So the issue here is
18	duplicate lists. You said you're not aware of a
19	list of 37,000?
20	MS. BROOKS: I don't know what list
21	she received. I can't confirm that.
22	MEMBER GILL: So everyone gets the

same list --

MS. BROOKS: Correct.

MEMBER GILL: -- when they go out to get signatures, right? Okay. So at least that's the procedure, that's your knowledge of how this played out? Okay, all right, thanks.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Karyn, any questions before I make a motion?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, I don't have any more questions.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So my motion is to respectfully decline the appeal that's been made by Ms. Furstenberg. And I'll just share my comments to explain why I am so moving to decline the appeal. As General Counsel Stroud indicated, it's very clear that when you run for the Office of Mayor in a party primary, you must collect either 2,000 signatures or one percent of the voters, as they exist on the registry on the 144th day prior to the primary, which is January 28, 2022. So in short, it's either 2,000 or one percent of that January 28th list, whichever is

less. And in the case of the Republican primary, one percent is probably less than 2,000.

Specifically, one percent of 28,900 or 289 valid signatures, maybe it was rounded up to 290. That little issue doesn't matter because 240 signatures were returned.

So the candidate, of course, knew that number that was in that list that was provided to her of 28,900. So it was known to a certainty that one percent of that is 289. So going out, collecting the signatures, there was a known target of 289. If the list had been 37,000, it would be 370, but 289 was communicated, it was And there's, of course, some known. responsibility on the candidate to understand what these requirements are and to gather the necessary signatures, at least equal to or preferably well above the required number, to make sure that the threshold is going to be cleared. That clearly wasn't done here.

I hear the sort of underlying complaint which is that the list of 28,900 names

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

was "not valid." And I don't agree with that. Ιt was the official list in the voter registry as of January 28, 2022. It may not have been perfect. No list is 100 percent perfect at any given moment in time, because the world is constantly changing. Voters to some extent have to keep their registrations updated. When somebody dies, there's a lag time of information that's shared between agencies, et cetera. So at any given moment in time, there's always going to be imperfections on that list. You can always go through a list and find names of people who are no longer registered for the party, or deceased, et cetera.

And I certainly agree that we should try make that list as perfect as possible. But we certainly don't scrub lists, we don't purge lists, we try to maintain them to the greatest degree of accuracy possible, and that's always an effort that's a work in progress. Then, this is another example of how important it is for our agency to try to keep those lists as perfect as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

possible. We're not going to get there, but, you know, it's an ongoing effort.

You know, that said, one percent of 28,900 was a knowable number of signatures to obtain 289. If you run through this list all week long with a lot of people trying to perfect it, maybe it was 287, or 288, or 298, whatever it is. The number that was known and knowable as of the date the petitions were -- the signatures was picked up, was 289, and only 240 were turned in. And it wouldn't be fair to the other candidates, who in many cases have to get 2,000 signatures, to view the list as -- because it's imperfect, that's quote, invalid, and therefore, there was no real requirement for collecting signatures.

We would obviously aggregate the letter and spirit of the statute in that regard. So unfortunately, this is one of those chores you have to do when you're a candidate. I did it when I was an A&C commissioner, I only needed 25, but I got there eventually -- sorry, I'm walking my dog. It's not easy, I know. It's just not

easy. So unfortunately, the number submitted fell short, and notwithstanding your concerns about the accuracy of the registration list, which I hear, and I think puts another, you know, spark under us to try and improve those.

Notwithstanding your concerns in that regard, I have to respectfully move that we decline your appeal.

MEMBER GILL: So let me -- I'm sorry. I second the motion, but let me -- just a couple of comments. One, the fact that they have a Republican candidate fighting to get on the ballot is positive sign in that this city has struggled to get folks from other parties to run, period. And so good for the city over the longterm to have a diversity of parties on these ballots. The voting lists and the accuracy, to go back to the minutes of the last six, seven meetings, my largest concern about mail balloting is that it is hard to keep these lists updated and, you know, it's incumbent on the Board of Elections to do this. But it's not for lack of,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you know, interest on our part.

The rules, and this is why I think before we move to mail balloting, the rules need to be updated. It's very difficult -- we had the issue with the GW dorm, that it was clear that the folks that had registered to vote were all in a dorm that wasn't open. There was no way to get those signatures. And candidates are sort of left with, you know, being in limbo.

But in this instance, the lists are the same, everyone gets the same requirement, everyone gets the same list. I don't know how, you know, recognizing that I think those lists are probably more imperfect than the Chairman does. But even recognizing that, everyone's got to have the same rules of the game going in. And so I just don't see how we can -- but we don't know what the true number is to an exact moment. And therefore, we have to go by what the official tally is every month. And so short of any other answers, I think it's the same rules for everybody.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Karyn Greenfield?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes. I think he seconded it, or if you want, I was going to second it because I do think, you know, we understand no list is really ever going to be 100 percent perfect. The board works very hard in getting an updated list and maintaining one. it is the -- on them to work on getting that listed as well as every single citizen of the District of Columbia. Because if I were to move, and not file anything, or tell anyone, they may I'm not an active voter anymore for a not know. while, and so they work very hard with a lot of other sources to make sure that list stays It will never be 100 percent perfect. I don't think any list such as that would ever be, but I think they do a good job in trying to keep it updated.

And I do think it's a little bit of a responsibility also on those seeking signatures and petitions, that if someone starts seeing huge errors in the list, to contact the board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Because you're trying to get petitions and you're 2 trying to get on the ballot. And I think that it is, you know, unfair for the other candidates 3 that did hit the signatures, and did work hard to 4 5 get this on. I'm in agreement with the Chair on 6 this issue. Thank you. 7 CHAIR THOMPSON: So I 8 think that that is, just to be clear, all in favor of the motion? 9 10 (Chorus of aye.) 11 UNKNOWN MALE: Accepting the General 12 Counsel's --13 CHAIR THOMPSON: Accepting General 14 Counsel's recommendation? 15 (Chorus of aye.) 16 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. So that 17 passes, three to nothing. Again, thank you to 18 Ms. Furstenberg. Kind of like with the Voter 19 Initiative 82 issue, which we're about to talk 20 about, you know, it's another reminder of the 21 importance of trying to get the voter

registration list as accurate as possible.

there's a tension here that I've now picked up on over a couple of these matters, which is, on the one hand, if your job is to get one percent or five percent of signatures on a list, you want the denominator to be lower, you want the list to be reduced, so your job is easier. On the other hand, the more important, maybe, consideration is be very careful before you remove somebody from the voter registration list, because you're disenfranchising a person. And there's a very careful process for removing somebody from those lists. A lot of it has to do with the fact that this election takes place.

There's a number of rounds of review to improve and try to perfect that voter registration list. And, you know, you just have to have some tolerance in a system for that list to be imperfect, because you want to err on the side of inclusion, not rush to exclusion driven by this need to have fewer signatures to get.

But it's attention, and both goals are important.

So I just want to tell everybody, including the

Initiative 82 people who are listening, we get it, and especially after this election cycle, when that's another round of scrutiny regarding the accuracy of the list, you know, we're going to double down our efforts to try to improve upon it in every way possible, because it adds so many downstream implications for other issues. Okay. Thank you so much.

MS. BROOKS: You're welcome.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Appreciate you being here.

MS. BROOKS: You're welcome.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. With that, we'll turn to the Executive Director's report, Monica Hollman Evans, and I believe she's going to start with the petition verification results for Initiative Measure Number 82.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you, and good morning. So as our Chair indicated, I will begin by giving the petition verification report on Initiative Measure 82, District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021, and we'll make

the determination as to whether to accept the petition as numerically sufficient. After executing the board's standard procedure for verifying registered voters' signatures contained in the above referenced initiative petition, the board staff has determined that this petition does meet the statutory requirements for certification to the ballot. It is therefore my recommendation that the board accept the petition as numerically sufficient, because it does contain the required minimum number of registered voters. An overview of the petition verification process and a summary of its results will being briefly discussed now.

So as far as the overview of the petition verification process, the petition verification process is conducted to determine whether a petition to present an initiative measure to the electorate, contains the minimum number of registered voters' signatures required to place the measure on the ballot. The required minimum is five percent of the duly registered

voters citywide, and five percent of duly registered voters, in at least five of the eight wards. This numerical requirement is based on the board's published registration totals in effect at least 30 days before the petition was filed. And in this case, that registration total list was December 31, 2021.

I'm going to start the summary of our findings. The petition for Initiative Measure 82 contains 7,966 pages. And those were filed on February 22, 2022. The petition contains 33,228 signatures. After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, the total number of eligible signatures counted for qualifying the initiative for the ballot, was initially found to be 26,935. A subsequent review found the initiative to actually have 27,026 valid signatures. This total exceeded the required five percent minimum of 26,204 by 822.

A random sample procedure is conducted to determine the required level of confidence in

whether or not a sufficient number of valid signatures of registered voters are contained in the petition. To help facilitate the sampling process, the Office of Planning, Data Management Division, randomly selected a sample of 100 petition signatures from each ward. initial findings are noted, but it was determined that we could not certify as of that first sampling. As a result of the initial random sample, Wards 1, 3, and 4, were accepted. Wards 5, 7, and 8, were rejected, and no decision could be made regarding Wards 2 and 6. As a result, a subsequent sample was done for Wards 2 and 6. The result of that sampling, consisting of both 100 and 150 signatures, concluded to accept Ward 2, but no decision could be made for Ward 6.

The sampling of 150 voters from Ward 6 similarly resulted in no decision. Based on the no decision determination for Ward 6, the Department of Planning recommended a signature validation by BOE of all registrants in the sampling universe, which at the time, represented

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

4,656 signers. On review of the signatures by BOE, it was determined that 5,135 Ward 6 registered voters should have actually been assigned to the Ward 6 universe, and increase that universe by 479 additional signatures. And that was at the time that the petition was signed, and that was prior to the boards redistricting process.

So that correction was made to the universe in the Ward, prior to the sampling of signatures. At the conclusion of the petition signature validation of Ward 6 petition signers, it was determined that 5,135 registered voter signatures were reviewed, and 4,761 were validated. These results were provided to Planning, DMD for statistical analysis. And it is the determination from DMD that the initiative shows acceptance in five of the eight wards and rejection of three wards.

In conclusion, based on the petition verification process, the random sample of signatures, the sampling of the universe of

signatures conducted for Ward 6, and the statistical analyses of the sampling of the district as a whole, and by ward, it is my recommendation that Initiative Measure 82, District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021, is numerically sufficient, and should be certified for ballot access by the board. And of course, we will be available to answer questions and provide additional information during public matters. And at this point, I turned it over to our board chair. Thank you.

Evans, thank you so much. Thank you everybody out there for bearing with us. A lot of you listening have followed this process over the last several special hearings, and now this hearing. I think as many people may recall, when we were last together on this issue on March 24th, in the morning, when the hearing commenced, as the director said, there were three of the necessary five wards were confirmed as meeting the signature requirement. Mid-way through the

day, a fourth ward, Ward 2 passed and was accepted, and Ward 6 was going through a series of random sampling, none of which could arrive at the necessary 95 percent level of confidence.

And eventually, it was determined that the only way to really get to the accurate answer was to do a complete re-review of all the signatures submitted, and that's what took place since March 24th up to this date by a lot of people working extremely hard to get the answer correct.

So I want to publicly thank everybody who pitched in to conduct that review, not just since March 24th, but throughout this entire process. And it was important, we realized, to be as accurate as possible, because it appeared to be extremely close, at least as of March 24th. And as the director just explained, there was also a need to readjust ward assignments for signatories to the petitions, to ensure that there was a consistency of viewing signatories as of the ward boundaries, prior to the most recent adjustment.

The curve ball in the middle of all this is the Council adopted new ward boundaries that impacted Ward 6, among other places, and that was implemented at the BOE level in late January I believe, January 28th. But the way this is counted 30 days prior to the submission of the petition on February 22nd, that's January 22nd. And the locked official registry in place as of that date was December 31, 2021. The difference between that and January 22nd is immaterial, it's extremely insignificant. That issue has been raised and it's not outcome determinative here.

So it wasn't until the January 28th rolls that the new ward boundaries were adjusted. So clearly, the recommendation incorporates the use of the December 31st rolls as the denominator here. It's even if that was shifted to January 22nd, or 19th, or whatever the date it is, it would be immaterial, I believe. But at the end of the day, once those ward adjustments were made, and all the signatures were compared, the

proposers cleared the necessary five percent threshold in the five wards indicated, and DC as a whole, by a pretty decent margin. I think in Ward 6, it ended up being 4,761 out of 4,656 needed, which clears it by 122 signatures.

So I mean, on that day, March 24th, we weren't ready to reach any determination with a level of confidence. So we needed to take the extra time. I'm glad we did, because we're not owed the answer, we owe that accurate answer to the public. And I believe we have it now. And so those are my comments, and I guess it's a precursor to therefore, make the motion that we adopt the recommendations of the Executive Director and ourselves, as the three-member board, issue a memorandum opinion, which was drafted, which largely incorporates everything that Director Evans just read.

The core of it incorporates the procedures and the procedural history that's been noted, and the ultimate numbers that were found after the ward adjustment is all set forth in our

memorandum opinion, making it clear that the requisite number of signatures were all collected. And I'll talk for a moment what that means in terms of when this goes on the ballot. So for now, my motion incorporates everything that has been reported, and to likewise determine that Initiative 82 meets the statutory requirements for certification to the ballot, in accordance with district law.

MEMBER GILL: And I can go second, so I second the acceptance of this Executive Director's determination. I guess, you know, the interesting issue on, are the rolls dynamic or are they official to the last of the month? I think the fact that if they're dynamic, then the January 22nd date is important and it doesn't affect the outcome. And I think to me, that's -- because I do think this issue of when the roll is official, you know, equity would say everyone went into this with December 31st as the official date.

And so I think we would be hard

pressed to say, oh even though I understood the rules as one way, we're going to change them later. That being said, I think it's important to recognize that, you know, the rolls, we accept same-day voting, and therefore, that means the roll is updated to that point. But on the date this was filed, under that argument, and that was the sequential date. And under that it's not determinative, therefore I accept.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: I just want to thank everybody who worked on this. I know when we got down to this that the sampling wasn't working for Ward 6, it was a lot of work that had go into getting what that accurate number was to make sure that we got the accurate result back. So I'm going to thank everybody for doing that effort. Yes, and I agree and concur with your motion, so --

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. And I'm also told it's rare for the board to issue a written opinion in a situation like this. But given the

1	heightened attention that we've received, and
2	concern by both the proposers and the challengers
3	who are paying attention to every detail, and
4	thank you for that, because it's helped improve
5	our attention to detail as well. We thought it
6	was important to set forth in one memorandum
7	opinion, the board's determination. It's been
8	circulated among the board members, we've all had
9	a chance to review it. And so just to be clear
10	for the record, I would also move that we adopt
11	the written memorandum opinion and order that has
12	been circulated among us.
13	MEMBER GILL: Second.
14	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
15	(Chorus of aye.)
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. With that, I
17	don't have anything else we need to address in
18	that regard. We'll move on to
19	MEMBER GILL: I know some folks want
20	to
21	CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes.
22	MEMBER GILL: comment on this, so

are we going straight to that or are we waiting 1 2 for public matters? Yes, I think, we've 3 CHAIR THOMPSON: 4 got other issues, General reports, and OCF 5 report. And then usually we do public comment at the end, but --6 7 MEMBER GILL: I think we could do it 8 now. 9 CHAIR THOMPSON: It's a good comment. 10 It seems like it's most pertinent to hear from 11 the public on this issue now. If someone might 12 want to address that little footnote issue as 13 between January 22nd and December 31st. And I 14 know there's probably also questions about, not 15 just the details, but about when Initiative 82 16 will be on the ballot. Before I open this to the 17 public, anything you want --18 MEMBER GILL: I think it was -- I 19 think maybe one representative from each. We 20 know Andrew and Ms. Adams available also, maybe 21 just to hear from both.

CHAIR THOMPSON:

22

So we'll hear from

the proposer first, and then the challenger, and then anybody else. So anybody on behalf of the proposer would like to speak first.

MR. O'LEARY: Sure. I'm happy to speak. My name is Ryan O'Leary, I live at 1665

Lamont Street NW, Washington, DC. All I have to say is thank you to the board and the staff for all of your hard work. I know it hasn't been easy and I've been with you in the offices for a lot of it. And so I just appreciate all the work you've put into this, and I'm excited for this to be on the primary ballot.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, Ryan.

Anyone for the challenger? I think Andrew Kline is here with us?

MR. KLINE: Yes, good morning, thank
you. I just want to confirm that the board will
be following the statute and having this
initiative that's (audio interference) certified
on the next city-wide ballot, which is at least
90 days after the date of certification. I did
not see the primary ballot, the general election

ballot, that is the new statute. It seems to us that's the appropriate time when this matter would be on the ballot if the certification be formed.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: I'm sorry, Mr.

Kline, if you could state your name and address
for the record or the address for your firm.

MR. KLINE: Sure, absolutely. Andrew Kline, K-L-I-N-E, 1225 19th Street, Northwest, Suite 320, Washington, DC, 20036.

MS. STROUD: I will speak to the issue of when the measure will appear on the ballot. Pursuant to DC Official Code Section 1-1001.16P1, the statute reads that, the board shall conduct an election on an initiative measure at the next primary, general, or citywide special election, held at least 90 days after the date on which the measure has been certified, as qualified to appear on the ballot. The certification is occurring today, and the board members have just voted to certify it as qualified to appear on the ballot. And so since we are beyond the 90 day

time-frame, the measure would not appear on the primary election ballot, but rather instead, on the general election ballot, in accordance with the law. That would be when the measure would appear on the ballot.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Right. Yes, thank you for that. This is something we looked at very closely, because I believe the proposers, at least initially, were helpful to see it on the primary ballot. When you go back and look at the code sections that Terri Stroud just cited, it clearly says 90 days after the date on which the measure has been certified, which is today, which is beyond June 21st, and therefore, places the matter on the general election ballot in November.

And when you go back and look at the regulations of 1009.9.11.12, there's clearly a process whereby the board has 30 days to either accept, or reject, or declare, there's not enough information, basically, you have to keep taking random sampling to arrive at an answer. And it's

a little unfair. What do you do if the random sampling continues to produce inconclusive results? And I'll just read some of the key language in that regard from our written opinion that we just adopted.

Despite best efforts, that

determination could not be reached within the 30day time frame, faced with the unprecedented

likelihood that continued random sampling, even
those progressively larger sample sizes, would,
for statistical reasons related to the narrow
margin of signatures over the number required for
Ward 6, also generates inconclusive results. The
board ordered that the entire universe of valid
signatures for Ward 6 to be reviewed for
signature authentication, and that led to this
last almost two-week period where that additional
review took place.

So following the letter of the statute, the petition was not certified positively as of March 24th, and therefore could not be certified in a timely manner for purposes

of appearing on the primary ballot. However, the statute quite clearly provides that, whenever that certification date takes place, which is today, it goes on the ballot next after the 91st day, which is the general election. So the bottom line is Initiative 82 is going to be on the ballot for the voters to decide in November. And I think that's the correct reading of the statutes in terms of the timing.

I'm so glad we got the answer right.

And thank you to the proposers for all the work
you did. It's really up to the voters to decide
and that's really kind of an overriding
philosophical principle that I think we have,
that I know I have, which is, on all these
issues, trying to err on the side of the vote of
democracy and let the voters decide, not us. So
that's where we are. I'm sorry, I just kind of
went in with a speech my own Mr. Kline, anything
else?

MR. KLINE: The only other issue I would raise is the one that you spoke to. Is it

the board's position that the official records as of January 22nd are closer to the numbers that existed as of December 31st, rather than those that existed as of January 31st?

CHAIR THOMPSON: I think, yes, I think if you go back the precise number of days, you land on January 22nd, and the last official registry in place was the one published on December 31st. And to Mr. Gill's point, there is an element of equity here because the proposers -- that's what the proposers knew about, that December 31st publication date. That's what was knowable to them. And therefore, they hit the streets with certain targets in mind, based on those officially published lists. There was no way of knowing what it was on January 18th, or 19th, or 20th, or 22nd, as the case may be. you can capture that moment in time -- clearly, in hindsight, forensically, you can.

But the equity argument, the equity point is that, it wouldn't be fair to adopt a denominator based on an unknowable list that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not published. With all of that said, they were fairly minor changes between December 31st and January 22nd. If you forensically reconstruct the last half of January 22nd, it's extremely close, in some cases, I think even identical to the ward numbers from December 31st. Very sort of minor changes in the numbers, so by January 31st, there were more significant changes because of the ward boundaries shifting.

But that is not -- just because it was closer to the 22nd, it's kind of like the price is right rules or something, like, I don't think you take that and apply it retroactively as a pro-proxy for January 22nd. From the 22nd, you look back to the 31st or at a minimum, you just look to the 22nd. So I think, I think it becomes a footnote issue in terms of numbers, eventually.

MR. KLINE: We respectfully disagree in terms of what responsibilities are in the statute and I've also noted the matter of equity. We re-requested the voter rolls, in connection with preparing the challenge, we were provided

with numbers that reflected the new ward distribution, not the old numbers. So after the (audio interference) information, certainly under any circumstance, given the way that the statue is worded, one might not know this decision, how many signatures would be needed on a certain That is why one attempts to collect more date. signatures, and submit them, so that there is a margin of error. I don't think that that socalled equity changes what's in the statute, nor changes the responsibility of the Board of Elections to accurately maintain a uniform, interactive, computerized, voter registration list, which is the official voter registration list for all elections.

The December 31st publishing is merely informational, it is not official in any way.

It's never been certified, the board has never voted on it, it's never been before this board request as being official. It is merely a number that was published for informational purposes.

And now the district relies on it as official,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

contrary to the language of the statute.

MS. STROUD: Mr. Chair, we do have
Cliff Tatum in the room, who is prepared to give
additional information regarding the numbers and
the list that have been referenced.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Please go ahead, Mr. Tatum.

MR. TATUM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The board took a look at the numbers that were published in the register as of December 31st, 2021. And that number of total registered voters was 524,088. We also took a look at the numbers as of January the 19th, 2022, and the citywide numbers were 524,482. And for January the 27th, 2022, the numbers were 524,790.

MS. STROUD: Mr. Tatum, I would just like to interrupt for one second. I would like to state that these were published in response to request for voter files, which is the reason why we printed or took snapshots on those days, because that's because individuals call in or write in requesting the voter file in its

entirety. And so we had occasion, pursuant to those requests, to have those snapshots for January 19th and January 27th.

MR. TATUM: That is correct. So we did not generate a snapshot for January the 22nd, 2022, so we do not have those numbers available. But based on the general mat that you've indicated there, the difference between the December 2022 number -- 2021 numbers, and the February 2022 numbers, is roughly 5,864. If you take five percent of that, would be the signature requirements, that number drops down to about 293. Break that down into Ward 8, into 8 for distribution, that turns out to be roughly 293 divided by 8, roughly --

MS. STROUD: But do we have the ward breakdowns for those dates, the 19th and the 27th, when we actually did do the voter registration files in response to the --

MR. TATUM: I do not have that file, those numbers in front of me here, but yes, we would have the breakdown, yes. Turns out roughly

to be about 35 voters per ward. 1 2 CHAIR THOMPSON: You're comparing December 31st with January 27th? 3 4 MR. TATUM: February. CHAIR THOMPSON: February? 5 February 28th. 6 MR. TATUM: Yes. 7 CHAIR THOMPSON: And I take it if you 8 were to compare December 31st with January 22nd, It's a much much smaller --9 That is correct. 10 MR. TATUM: 11 CHAIR THOMPSON: -- margin. So it 12 appears to me, from all the numbers, that this is 13 an immaterial or moot point. We could be 14 interesting to debate about, I suppose. If you were to deem the January 22nd voter rolls as the 15 16 quote official list, the chip and numbers would be so inconsequential, it would not the outcome 17 18 determinative on this issue, either with respect 19 to DC as a whole, Ward 6, or any other given 20 ward; is that correct? 21 MR. TATUM: That's correct. It's roughly 954, the difference is 954. And then you 22

break that down into eight wards and it becomes how many voters would sign in the eight wards.

CHAIR THOMPSON: It also appears to be the case, even if you used the February list, it's still going to be immaterial and not outcome determinative on this issue.

MR. TATUM: That's correct.

So it's just -- I CHAIR THOMPSON: keep calling it a footnote point, because it doesn't determine the outcome. But for what it's worth, the statute, the charter itself, quite clearly says to use, in this case, as of January 22nd, the "latest" official count. So the charter and the corresponding statute and regulation all fairly contemplate the notion that it's something prior. This isn't necessarily on that date. And I certainly don't read code section 1-1001.05A1 to the contrary, as mandating a dynamic list on any given moment, not just any day, but any given moment in time, there's an official list that can be, you know, pegged under the Help America Vote Act, but I guess that's a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

legal debate, if we have to have it for another time.

I think we got the answer right as a matter of law, and as the facts show, it really doesn't matter where you've gone with this date issue. The proposers still have the requisite number of signatures. But I understand the argument's been made and preserved. I haven't paid attention to other public comments out there, are there other hands raised?

MR. EIDINGER: Yes. Adam Eidinger.

2448 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC.

I'm the Treasurer of the campaign, To Build a

Better Restaurant Industry. Overall, I mean,

we're excited that we're qualifying for the

ballot, but there's a huge concern with our

campaign that we played by the rules, we

submitted the signatures on time to qualify for

the primary ballot, and you may have heard the

proposer, Ryan O'Leary already say, we look

forward to being on the primary ballot. If you

look on the DC BOE website right now, on the top

of the page, it says, all voters will have the ability to vote in the primary ballot on the Initiative 82, it's said that now for a few days. The only reason that statutorily that we're being denied the primary ballot is because of the way that the board reviews the wards, and using sampling.

I just don't understand -- my question is: Why aren't you just tracking the wards as you're going along with validations and then just running the result? Instead of having to do this complex sampling method, why not just check wards as you go along? We do that with our own internal validation. And because I understand a whole different process and that's what caused the delay. And now we're like 77 days out from the primary and we're only determining today and your client has a point, and you're making the right call, putting it on the general election ballot.

Our lawyers have said the same thing, but it still isn't fair how this is going down.

I mean, we could have had a bigger surplus, I suppose. I acknowledged that. But we still had a healthy surplus, and this question of the ward boundaries shouldn't even be that hard to determine. So can I get an answer as to why it's done this way with the sampling and not just tracking as you go along with the entire ballot validation?

MS. STROUD: The statute allows for the bona fide random and statistical sampling method leading up. And I just want to state for the record that we had never been faced with a situation where we could not accomplish the up or down determination within 30 days. This is not to say that we are required to adhere to this process, but that's the process that is allowed for by statute, and that's what we did in this instance. And that's, yeah, I mean --

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, the random sampling process is outlined in the DC code, that 1-1001.16 in the corresponding regulation at title 3-1009, sorry, which lays out this two-step

process that the director referred to earlier, where first, basic condition of qualifications are reviewed and determined, regarding duplicates, and dates, and that sort of thing. And the final step, the signature verification itself, while clearly, lays out this process of using the random sampling approach, which, on every occasion up to now, allowed the board to achieve 95 percent certainty. That's a really high threshold, by the 30th date, and you can make the final determination up or down.

However, the regulation clearly tells you to keep going until you can get to 95 percent certainty, and so we just ran out of time.

Because it was so close, and unfortunately we had to take an extra -- and they used something in that neighborhood to reach the final and correct determination, and it's unprecedented in terms of the process on our part, certainly not the first -- I'm sure not the first, election result, or signature verification result, or whatever the case may be that, where the election board needed

more time to get it right. So when all is said and done, it's important that we got it right and that one would be on the general ballot.

MS. EVANS: I think, you know, arguably if we didn't do a sampling and looked at -- because we do have to validate the signatures. And I do think that that process could take longer, were it not for the ability to do a random sample and just take on the random sample universe. So arguably, it could take, but that's -- I don't think the issue is the sampling. I will say that.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All right.

Yes. Anybody else? Just on this issue, because we've got general matters in OCF, and then more public comment at the end. But on this particular issue regarding Initiative 82, who's ever next.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, this is
Richard Bianco. I represent John Bagwell. We
filed the motion to intervene in this matter. I
didn't receive a copy of whatever memorandum has

been circulated. I assume, just based on the discussion that's being had here, that our motion was either not considered or denied. I don't expect to re-argue it, we fully briefed the matter, and I believe there was an opposition filed on Friday. I just wanted to ensure that I note for the record our objection to the either denial or non-consideration of our motion to intervene, to ensure that the issue was preserved for appeal.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Bianco, you did not intervene with respect to this matter. This is a matter that is separate from the challenge, to --regarding more to where you did intervene. So this is not that matter. The parties agreed to table the hearing on the challenge until after this was resolved. And so when we take up that matter, that's when your motion to intervene will be entertained.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you so much,

Counsel. I appreciate that clarification. I

just wanted to make sure that our issue was

preserved. I see that it has. Thank you very 1 2 much. You're welcome. 3 MS. EVANS: 4 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Who is next? 5 We would just MR. KLINE: Yes. 6 formally object to certification at this time as 7 to the challenge that was properly filed and has 8 been determined (audio interference). 9 CHAIR THOMPSON: And yes. Thank you 10 for that. I understand there will be a pre-11 hearing process on the challenge and then, if 12 necessary, another hearing by the board to 13 address the challenge itself, which in relevant 14 part, I think pertains to Ward 2, and to the extent that that goes forward, at that time we 15 16 will roll out the motion to intervene as well. 17 MR. SCHILLER: This is Nikolas 18 Schiller, 2448 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC. I'm chiming in about two things. 19 20 Number one, Friday, April 8th, in two days, is 21 the 180th day for the Initiative 82 petition,

which means the campaign could've been collecting

signatures all the way up until this Friday. The campaign followed the published calendar on the Board of Elections' website to the tee. We submitted our petitions on the deadline. And because of the Board of Elections' internal error when it comes to the Ward 6 boundaries, we are being denied ballot access to the primary. I was at the Board of Elections on June of 2021, to submit the petition. When the -- I'm sorry dismiss the ballot initiative language. The ballot initiative language provides a pay raise to tipped workers.

And the first pay raise goes into effect on the 1st of January, 2023. If this issue was voted on during the general election, it will be transferred to congress in January of 2023, and will not become effective until at least the end of February or the first week of March of 2023, thereby delaying the pay raise. The ballot initiative was supposed to give workers, assuming it gets passed by the voters this general election. The entire point of this

ballot initiative was to be placed on the primary ballot. And it was due to the Board of Elections' error that we're now denying voters the opportunity to take part on this in the primary, and delay tip workers raises if this ballot initiative passes. It's clearly unfair. And I was a person who worked the Referendum Number 8 back in 2018, and it was also Board of Elections' error that denied voters the opportunity to take part in that referendum, which was aimed to overturn the board of the DC counsel's repeal of Initiative 77, which this ballot initiative does.

So this is, like, again and again, we have seen Board of Elections' errors, denying voters the opportunity to give tipped workers, hard workers in the District of Columbia, the fair pay raise that they deserve. And we didn't do anything wrong, but we are being penalized for an error that was made by the Board of Elections with respect to Ward 6, in the counting of the signatures that we turned in on time. The

statute is clear, 90 days, I get it. But there needs to be some mechanism that the Board of Elections can except an error and be able to provide ballot initiative campaigns the ability to be placed on the ballot when they follow the rules that have been published. That's all I have to say. Thank you for your time.

Thank you for your MS. EVANS: The only thing that I just wanted to make sure I include on the record, is the fact that the areas that you referred to really had to do with re-districting. And the way redistricting happened at the time and not having all of the data in place before we started this And so we needed to go back and just process. make sure people were in the correct ward, as the list we were using. And so I wouldn't necessarily classify it as an error, but we just wanted to make sure we got it 100 percent right. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. I mean,
I also wish we could have leniency here and sort

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of do this retroactively to March 24th, sort of deem that to be, in hindsight, the date of certification, because I certainly hear you, what you just said. But the statutes are really clear. I mean, we can only -- we have to follow the letter of the statute in this particular case. It's the 90th day after certification, which is today. And I can only guess as to the reason for waiting 90 days is that the DC Council had in mind when they passed 1-1001.16, but that's what it tells us to do, so we have to do it.

You know, obviously, with the point being made that the initiative's going to the voters on the issue here, June 21st or November 8th. So it will be on the ballot and -- rest assured. Well, barring a successful challenge, which we have yet to address.

MR. CAPOZZI: Hello, this is John
Capozzi, 3612 Austin Street, proud member of the
Hill Crest community. My comments actually are
similar to Nick Schiller's comments, but I think

a couple of things. First of all, I think it's been clear from the beginning that this initiative faced some strong legal challenges. So not living within the 30 days of verifying the signatures and wards, it was clear that I didn't even know there was sampling. I thought you guys checked all the signatures and did exactly what we did, which is you verify where each person lives to make sure the five percent is achieved. But apparently this other, you know, procedure, is what delayed us to get pass this 90 days.

And so, Mr. Chairman, when you're congratulating the board in terms of, like, the efficiency of the group, I mean, clearly what's happened is, a lot of time and effort was spent to collect the signatures, and knowing that there was a determined legal challenge against them, you still are able to go past 90 days.

So there are two remedies for this that I want to recommend you consider. One is, the Council can put this at issue on the ballot for the primary. I ask you to consider going to

them and asking them to do that, based on the fact that due to the inefficiency of the procedures of verifying the signatures within 90 days, that would actually solve this problem.

And secondly, you know, based on what Mr. Kline said, and other legal representation, it's clear that this is not going to be over.

Your confidence that this is going to be on the November ballot, I think is misplaced, because they're going to say, hey, you know what? They followed the procedures for the primary ballot, but you know what, now it's going to November, so they're going to challenge it based on that.

So I want you to consider having your counsel go to court and represent this challenge in a way that ensures that it will be on the November ballot if that is your wish at this time. Because, you know, either way, like you can go to court now and say, hey, it's 90 days, but, you know, it's 77, because the fact is that we were inefficient in our procedures. Or you can defend it for November ballot so that it

actually does appear before the voters.

But it's clear that the people who oppose this initiative are not going to end at the end of this hearing. I mean, Mr. Kline just said that he's determined to continue, so I need your legal counsel to be in court with, you know, the people from the initiative, to defend it, to ensure that it does make it on the ballot. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you so much for your comment. Anyone else have their hand raised on this issue?

MR. CAPOZZI: Mr. Chairman, it was a request, it was not a comment.

mean, there's a lot of steps to this process.

What's before us today is whether the certified petition is eligible for the ballot and we've now certified it as eligible for the ballot, and addressed some of the detailed issues involved in that result. Next, we'll take up the challenge that's been filed, unless it's resolved or

withdrawn, which focuses on Ward 2 and hear what they have to say and hear what the intervenor has to say if that motion is granted and, you know, everybody gets their due say and -- and this process and procedures allow for challengers.

And there's nothing wrong with what they're doing. They have a right to do it and we'll hear their challenge and consider the merits of the arguments they make.

If they have additional arguments to make, that are timely and that we're allowed to address, we'll address them at that time. But, you know, we can only -- we can only sort of comment on where we are today, which is certification is achieved and you know, onward from here in terms of next steps. And you're right to be -- to point out that it's not yet clear exactly what's happening next. We haven't even heard the challenge for that matter.

But, you know, this thing all comes in steps and it's, hopefully, a good day for the proposer of Initiative 82 to find out that

they've now been formally -- that their initiative has now been formally approved to be on the ballot. And we'll certainly continue to think hard and seriously about the date of the vote. So, you know, we've reached our preliminary determination in that regard obviously, as just explained, I promise you, we'll continue to think about it. Well --

MR. AMENE: Mr. Chair?

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. AMENE: My name is Abel Amene, I live at 506 Longfellow Street, Northwest, in Washington DC. I was a volunteer for this campaign and helped collect signatures, I was out in the cold weekend after weekend, as a volunteer. I'm a former restaurant worker. This is very disappointing and I would like an acknowledgment from this board that -- that it caused this problem.

I remember attending the meeting, in which the chair, I remember saying that if the worst that can happen is that we miss the 30-day

period, I'm not worried about that. And if you go back in your recording you will see that. And now earlier you acknowledged that -- that the statute over and over again warrants this board to get this done within 30 days, and this board failed to do that. And not only failed, but failed to recognize this campaign several times acknowledging during those meetings that you were looking at the wrong maps. So I would like the board to acknowledge that the error came from you and that not -- that the campaign had no problem there.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you so much for your time out gathering signatures. It's a -it's a valuable process. The BOE followed the statutes and the regs and I -- I respectfully disagree that any errors were committed. There was -- nothing was remiss, nobody was irresponsible and quite -- quite the contrary, a lot of people worked around the clock for a lot of days to get -- to get this right. And I believe they did and I remain impressed by that

and proud of that effort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay. Any other public comment on this issue? Okay. Thank you. I really appreciate everybody's patience. There's -- there's more to this process as we've -- as we've noted. So we -- we may be together again soon and in another hearing, possibly with respect to the challenge at hand. With that, we'll move on to general matters and hear from the Executive Director Evans in that regard.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, good MS. EVANS: I will begin with voter education and afternoon. During the month of March, the Voter outreach. Education and Outreach Division conducted 31 outreach or recruitment events on behalf of the agency. 15 of the events were conducted in Wards 7 and 8. One notable event was Let It Ride and Walk Senior Popup. And that was held in conjunction with the Department of Aging and Community Living. And the event highlighted the various modes of transportation available to Resource agencies such as the Board of seniors.

Elections participated in that event. In addition to educating seniors, we provided voter registration services.

In regards to our voter registration rolls, we are currently processing new voter registrations and voter focus in producing registration reports. In March, we registered 2,261 new voters, and processed 1,709 registration changes. In total, we mailed 3,970 voter registration cards. As we are preparing to mail ballots for the June primary, we are encouraging voters to review and update their voter registration information. We are also working on the accuracy of our voter registration rolls by reviewing files and moving voters to an inactive status.

As a result of voter maintenance efforts, we moved 37,811 registered voters from an active to an inactive status in March. The number of current active voters is now 48,257 voters. As far as our online voter registration, our online voter registration portal continues to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

gain popularity. Those registering to vote can complete the process with a cell phone, tablet, laptop, or desktop computer. To date, we have registered 3,087 voters using that portal. As far as an update regarding the process and what we're doing to prepare for the June primaries, as mentioned, of course, the June primary will be held on June 21, 2022. Candidate pick up began on January 28th, and petitions were filed on March 23, 2023. The challenge process began on March 26th, and the challenge period ended on Monday, May 4th. We have received a total of 23 challenges.

Also as indicated, we will mail a ballot to every registered voter in the district for the June primary. We're working with K&H Mailhouse to prepare the documents that will be mailed to registered voters. The ballot will be finalized after the ballot lottery that will be held on Friday, April 29th. Our tentative plans to begin mailing ballots on May 16th and the final mailing of ballots will occur on June 10th.

Also as indicated, we have 40 vote centers that will be open for early voting June 10th through June 19th, and there will be no in-person voting on June 20th in observation of Juneteenth. 90 vote centers will be opened on election day, June 21st.

everyone who commented on the location of our proposed vote centers, mail ballot drop-boxes and we have taken all of that feedback very seriously and we have finalized the location for the 55 mail ballot drop-boxes, the 40 early vote centers, and the 90 election day vote centers. As previously mentioned, mail ballot drop-boxes will open on May 27th, and voters can deposit ballots at any vote center during early voting and on election day.

We are currently recruiting election workers and election worker training began on March 22nd. Our goal is to recruit and train between 2,000 and 2,500 election workers for the primary. As of Saturday April 2nd, we had 207

election workers fully trained. And we do have 1 2 our online training portal that is available to supplement in-person training. 3 As far as communications, I'd like to 4 5 say, ready, set, vote, which is the thing you will see on our website. We have revised 6 portions of our website to make it easier and 7 8 more user friendly. We went to ensure information can be found and that it is clear. 9 10 As we continue the process, we appreciate the 11 public to exercise their right to provide 12 feedback so that we can continue with any 13 improvements that are needed. And that concludes 14 my report. 15 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. Any 16 questions from any board members? None here. 17 MEMBER GILL: No questions. 18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. 19 MEMBER GREENFIELD: No questions. 20 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Turning now to 21 the General Counsel's report. 22 I have the first MS. STROUD: Okay.

item on my agenda as the Emergency and Proposed Rulemaking. And the -- an incorrect caption is in the rulemaking, we'll publish the -- we'll have copies of the rulemaking available for the public. But it is actually rulemaking to amend Chapter 30 -- Chapters 30 -- Chapters 30, 33, 37, and 42 of the DCMR. And these are -- this is a rule amendments to the OCF's regulations and so I'll defer to Bill Sanford to explain the purpose of the rulemaking.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Sanford.

MR. SANFORD: Morning, Mr. Chairman, and distinguished Board Members Gill and Greenfield. My name is William Sanford, General Counsel for the Office of Campaign Finance. The Office of Campaign Finance is requesting that the board approve emergency and prepares rulemaking which will achieve consistency between the regulations and legislation that has recently been approved by the Counsel and the Mayor.

Section 30 -- Section 3001 will be amended to

allow candidates and treasurers of political committees to continue to fulfill their required OCF training online.

Section 3008 be amended to include the common contractor provisions of the Campaign

Finance Reform Amendment Act of 2018. It has an applicability date after the November 22nd general election. The covered contractor provisions prohibit principles of entities that have contracts with the District government or are competing for contracts with the District government, with an aggregate value of \$250,000, from making contributions to political campaigns in the District of Columbia throughout the duration of the contract or the competition for the contract.

Section 3011 provides for reimbursement of childcare expenses from campaign funds. Section 3301 extends and further explains the prohibition on the use of government services for campaign related purposes. This was the result of the board's request as a result of a

case that was before the board involving this issue during the last election year, 2020. This provision will include and expands the restrictions to e-mail accounts, social media accounts, web pages, Internet domains, linking to candidates' political groups or websites,

Tweeting or re-Tweeting a link or an article on candidate of office, posting photographs or cartoons of a candidate or political group in support of or opposition of that candidate or political group to a District government account.

Section 3711 imposes fines of \$4,000 each against fair election candidates who fail to remit unexpended funds from a campaign account or fail to remit unexpended matching funds from campaign accounts, and fail to donate equipment purchased by the fair elections campaign. And finally, Section 4200 authorizes mandatory OCF training online during public health emergencies that have been declared by the Mayor.

Section 4201A gives the recalculation for matching funds for candidates in the fair

elections program based upon the legislation that was recently passed, in which the calculation will be the most recent general elections as opposed to specific election cycles or specific offices. And that should conclude the issues involved in this proposed rulemaking.

Okay. So I would request MS. STROUD: that the board permit this rulemaking to be submitted to the DC Register. It means that it will appear in the DC Register next Friday, which is April 15th, and because it's emergency and proposed rulemaking, they will remain in effect until August 13th, which is the 120th day after publication of the DC Register. And we will actually begin to make rulemaking available online for review and comment. And so members of the public will have the ability to pick them up from offline, and make comments, submit comments to the board with respect to the rulemakings. So that is my recommendation. I request that the board move to permit the rulemaking to be submitted to the DC Register for publication and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

comment.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIR THOMPSON: So mote.

3 MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?

(Chorus of aye.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you so

7 much for that.

MR. SANFORD: Thank you.

MS. STROUD: Yeah. And the next item on my agenda is litigation status. The first matter is Jason Christopher Long v. Board of Elections. This matter involves a poll worker payment. An individual filing a complaint seeking \$10,000 in damages, claiming negligence and unjust enrichment, alleging that the board issued a check inappropriately, then had the payments stopped, causing him to have a negative balance and a return check fee. This matter is being continued to June 17th.

The next matter is Henderson v. Board of Elections. Henderson filed a recall petition against advisory neighborhood commissioner

Sydelle Moore, commissioner in single-member district 5B05. The petition was rejected due to an insufficient number of signatures and the matter was appealed. On January 25th, the court issued an order ordering the board to file the administrative record in this matter by May 23rd.

And the final matter is Public Interest Legal Foundation v. Executive Director Evans in her official capacity. This has to do with the denial of a request for records under the National Voter Registration Act. The suit alleges that the board is out of compliance with the NVR-based public records provision. board is being represented by the Office of the They filed a motion to dismiss Attorney General. on February 3rd. The plaintiff filed a response on February 17th, and the OAG filed a reply on March 17th. And so we're still just awaiting to see what happens next in the court. And that concludes my report.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for that. Unless you have questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 MEMBER GILL: No questions. 2 CHAIR THOMPSON: Moving on down to the campaign finance report. Thank you, Cecily, for 3 4 being so patient throughout all this. MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: 5 Yeah. 6 CHAIR THOMPSON: Please -- please 7 proceed. 8 MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Good 9 (Simultaneous speaking) the Office of afternoon. 10 Campaign Finance and during the month of March, we attended -- thank you -- five Advisory 11 12 Neighborhood Commission meetings. And basically, 13 at those meetings, we present information on the 14 programs in the Office of Campaign Finance, including the traditional campaign finance 15 16 program, and the fair elections program. And the 17 dates were March 2nd, ANC 1C; March 9th, ANC 1A; 18 March 9th also, ANC 3C; March 15th, ANC 3F; and 19 on March 28th, ANC 3G. 20 In the Fair Elections Program 21 Division, during the month of March, I would first point out that we are in the process of 22

planning for the debate -- the virtual debates that we will be conducting in the -- in each of the contested citywide races. The -- under the fair elections program, the candidates who are in contested citywide races are required by the act to participate in the debate.

And as of this day, we will be holding debates for the covered offices of the Mediary, the Attorney General, the Council Chairman, as well as for the at-large members of the Council. The debates, and I will provide more information at the May meeting for the debates, probably, more than likely will be scheduled after the end of the challenge period once we know who in fact, has qualified for ballot access. I would also indicate that the candidates in the traditional program who achieve ballot access will also be invited to participate in the debates.

Also, we want -- we intend to schedule the debates before the beginning of the mailing of the ballots to the voters, so that voters will have the information about the candidates in

these races before then. As of this date, during the 2022 election cycle, and again, I will just mention that this is the second election cycle during which the fair elections program has become fully operational, the agency has disbursed -- authorized for disbursement, the total sum of \$7,183,808.50 from the fair election fund in base amount and matching payments to the 23 candidates who have been certified to participate in the June 21, 2022, primary elections.

During the month of Mach, we certified six new additional candidates in the fair elections program, and we also authorized 26 disbursements from the fund. I will basically provide the information concerning the six new candidates. The information concerning the 26 disbursements is listed in our report and for members of our public, I would indicate that the report will be posted on our website by the end of the day.

The first was Nate Fleming, Committee

to Elect Nate Fleming. The candidate was certified on March 1, 2022, as a participating candidate in the fair elections program. Again, in in the June 21, 2022, primary election for the covered office of at-large member of the Council. And the sums are \$20,000 for the first half of the base amount payment for this covered office. And also \$67,602.25 was authorized in matching payments.

Brian L. Schwalb, Brian for DC. The candidate was certified on March 1, 2022, as a participating candidate in the June 21, 2022, primary election for the covered Office of Attorney General. And the sums are \$20,000 for the first half of the base amount payment, and \$432,160 in matching payments were authorized for disbursement. And I'll just mention on the record, too, that as far as the base amount payment is concerned, the second-half is authorized once the candidates have in fact achieved ballot access.

The third candidate who was certified

during the month of March is William Phillip
Thomas, Phil for Ward 3. The candidate was
certified on March 15th, as a participating
candidate in the fair elections program in the
June 21st primary elections for the covered
office of council member from Ward 3. And the
sums of \$20,000 in the first half of the base
amount payment was authorized for disbursement.
And \$48,850 in matching payments.

The fourth candidate is Patricia

Dunkin, Tricia Duncan for Ward 3. The candidate

was certified on March 15th, again, in the fair

elections program to participate in the June 21st

primary election for the covered office of Member

of the Council from Ward 3. And the sums of

\$20,000, which again, represent the first half of

the base amount payment for this covered office,

and \$40,125 in matching payments were authorized

for disbursement.

The next candidate is Matthew Frumin,

Matthew Frumin for Ward 3. The candidate was,

again, certified on March 18th in the fair

elections program to participate in the June 21st primary election for the covered office of council member from Ward 3. And the sums of \$20,000 for the first half of the base amount payment, and \$88,375 in matching payments were authorized for disbursement.

The last candidate that was certified during the month of March was David Krucoff,

David for Ward 3. The candidate was certified on March the 26th, as a participating candidate in the primary election for the covered office of Member of the Council from Ward 3. And the sums are \$20,000 for the first half of the base amount payment, and \$32,332 in matching payments were authorized for disbursement.

Also during the month of March, the
Fair Elections Division conducted 58 reviews of
the reports of receipts and expenditures which
were filed on March 10th. I also issued 31
requests for additional information as a result
of those staff reviews and it conducted six
candidate treasurer consultations. And those are

conducted after the filing of the first report, as well as the participation of the candidate treasury in the mandatory training program.

Also, I would mention, with remitted funds, again, as of March 30, 2022, the total sum of \$153,261.39 has been remitted to a deposit in the Fair Elections Fund from those candidates who participated during the 2020 election cycle.

Also on March 18, 2022, Mary Cheh, Cheh 2022

Principle Campaign Committee remitted the sum of \$65,509.51 from the campaign operation because the candidate has withdrawn as a participating candidate in the primary election.

With the ongoing post-election full field audit in the Fair Elections Division, I would just again mention the status of the 33 audits is in the report and again, the report will be posted this afternoon. But I would indicate that the audits at this point are at various stages including the audit documentation has been received and the audit is in progress or the audit is complete and the preliminary

statement of fines have been issued or the response has been received and under review by the audit manager. And also, I would indicate where there's the failure to submit audit documentation, those matters have been referred to the Office of the General Counsel for enforcement.

Also, it's important to note that as of this date, 17 draft audits of the postelection audits are currently under the review of the office manager for issuance of the final audit report and we expect that several of those were in fact issued this week. In our Public Information and Records Management Division during the month of March, I would report that there were several filing dates. The first was with the Legal Defense Committee, it was the 24th report of received expenditures.

There was one report filer and the required filed a timely file. With our principle campaign committees and our political action committees, March 10th was the date for the

report of the filing of receipts and expenditures. There were 69 required files, 67 timely files, three late files, one requested an extension, which was granted. And there was three failure to file, and six referrals to the Office of the General Counsel.

With our Fair Elections Program, the Principle Campaign Committee, March 10th was also a required filing deadline for the report of receipts and expenditures. There were 37 required filings, 35 timely files, seven requested extensions which were granted, two failed to file, and there were two -- two referrals to the Office of the General Counsel. With our independent expenditure committee, also March 10th was the deadline for the filing of their report of receipts and expenditures. There were three required filings and all three timely filed.

With our Fair Elections Program and Principle Campaign Committee, March 30th was an optional date for the filing of the March 30th

report of receipts and expenditures and seven timely filed that report. With new candidates and committees who registered during the month of March, I will indicate who they are. This is a running list that is published in our reports, which indicate all of the registrations as of this date.

So with the traditional campaign finance program, we currently have 62 candidates who are registered. For the primary, we have 59 candidates, and I would point out that 44 of those candidates have registered as candidates for U.S. Shadow Representative and also for national and local party offices. The 15 remaining candidates who are registered have registered for the covered offices of Mayor, Attorney General, Counsel, Chairman, and Atlarge, and more council offices.

So in the traditional program, the new registrants for the office of the Council At-Large in the primary election is Paul Trantham, who registered on March the 2nd, 2022. In the

Office of the Council Ward 3, for the primary election, Henry Cohen, who registered on March the 24th, 2022. With the Office of Ward 2, DC Democratic State Committee, primary election,

John Fanning registered on March the 25th. Brian Romanowski registered on March the 24th. Janice Ferebee registered on March the 25th. Margaret

E. Roggensack registered on March the 24th. And the -- for the Office of Ward 3, on the Democratic State Committee, for the primary election, Hugh Allen registered on March the 7th.

On March the 4th, Michael Haresign registered and also Elizabeth Mitchel and Shelley Tomkin. For the Office of Ward 4, the DC Democratic State Committee, for the primary election, Charles Gaither registered on March the 24th. Renee Bowser, Paul Johnson, Antoine Kirby, and Anne Strauss all registered on March the 4th. In the Office of Ward 5, DC Democratic State Committee, for the primary election, Timothy Thomas registered on March the 28th. For the Office of Ward 6, DC Democratic State Committee,

for the primary election, Frank Avery registered on March the 29th, Dorinda Wright registered on March the 30th.

For Office of Ward 7, DC Democratic State Committee, for the primary election, Dorothy Douglas registered on March the 22nd. Sirraya Grant registered on March the 23rd, and Jimmie Williams registered on March the 30th. For the Office of Ward 8, DC Democratic State Committee, for the primary election, David Meadows, Wanda Lockridge, Anthony Muhammad, Joseph "Jack" Johnson, Regina Pixley, and Sandra Williams all registered on March the 30th. the Office of Ward 3, DC Republican Committee, for the republic -- for the primary election, Carl Van Atkins (phonetic) registered on March the 15th. Office of Ward 4, the DC Republican Committee, for the primary election, Nicolle Lyon registered on -- I'm sorry. That was a registration in February.

But one thing I did also want to point out that with respect to our traditional primary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

with our traditional candidates, we also have three candidates who are registered to participate in the general election as of this date, too. With our fair elections program, we currently have 42 candidates who are registered in the program, 37 are registered in the primary, and five have registered to participate in the general election.

In terms of the new registrants for the month of March, Office of the Council AtLarge, for the general election, we have Graham
McLaughlin who registered on March the 17th,
Elissa Silverman who registered on March the
18th, Karim D. Marshall who registered on March
the 25th. For the Office of the Council Ward 3,
for the primary election, Eric Goulet registered
on March the 3rd, Beau Finley registered on March
the 4th, and Monte Monash registered on March the
15th.

For the Office of the State Board of Education from Ward 1 in the general election,

Isabella S. Pimienta registered on March the

14th. For the Office of the State Board of
Education, Ward 5 for the general election,
Carisa S. Beatty registered on March the 30th,
2022. There are currently four initiative
committees that are registered in the office.
During the month of March, there were 38
candidates and treasury who completed the OCF
entrance conference -- conference presentation.
And the names of those candidates and treasurers
are listed in our report. Again, the report will
be available this afternoon.

Again, there were eight referrals to the Office of the General Counsel for enforcement of the failure to timely file or to file the March 10th report of receipts and expenditures and the names of those committees and their treasurer is listed in the report as well. In the traditional audit program, the Reports Analysis and Audit Division, during the month of March, the audit branch conducted 95 desk reviews of the reports of receipts and expenditures that have been filed in the -- with the agency, and it

also issued 15 requests for additional information with respect to those desk reviews.

There were no audit issues during the month of March. There are currently eight ongoing audits in our traditional program. There are four candidates in the upcoming '22 -- 2022 election, and these periodic random audits were conducted or being conducted of the January the 31st, 2022 report. There were four. The audit notification letter were issued on February the 11th with a due date of March 7th for the submission of the finance reports, and those committees, their names are listed and also the status of each audit.

With our candidates in the upcoming 2022 election, we currently initiated two periodic random audits of March 10, 2022, reports. With those audits, the letters were issued on March the 24th, with a due date of March -- April the 11th for the submission of financial records. We also have two ongoing audits of Political Action Committee. The

January 31, 2022, report, the audit notification letters were issued on February the 25th, with a due date of full financial records of March 14, 2022. And again, the particulars with each of those committees is listed in our report and will be posted this afternoon. I would ask the General Counsel to now provide the report of the Office of the General Counsel to the Office of Campaign Finance.

MR. SANFORD: Thank you, Director.

Good -- good afternoon, again, Mr. Chairman and distinguished board members. My name is William Sanford, General Counsel for the Office of Campaign Finance. During the month of March 2022, the Office of the General Counsel received 11 referrals which included eight referrals from the Public Information and Records Management Division, and three referrals from the reports, announced as an audit division. The office completed four informal hearings and issued four orders in which the agency impounds \$2,150 in fines.

imposed fines against the following respondents:

A fine of \$1,200 was imposed against the Capital
Stonewall Democrats. A fine of \$250 was imposed
against the DC Libertarian Party. A fine of \$600
was imposed against Oye for Representative 2022.
And a fine of \$100 was -- was imposed against
Harry "Tommy" Thomas Works, candidate for shadow
representative. And during the month of March
2022, the office collected \$100 in fines and that

fine was paid by Harry Thomas -- Harry "Tommy"

Thomas Works' principle campaign committee.

The Office of the General Counsel

During the month of March 2022, the Office of the General Counsel transmitted nine petitions for enforcement to the Office of the General Counsel for the Board of Elections, and the petitions were filed against the following respondents: Ken Ludlow, Ward 8 Strong, based upon a fine of \$1,650. Friends of Courtney Snowden, based upon a fine of \$1,650. Committee to Elect Shawn Patterson based upon a fine of \$1,650. (Audio interference) for Ward 4, based

upon a fine of \$1,650. Patterson for Ward 8, based upon a fine of \$1,650. Carter At-Large, based upon a fine of \$1,650. Bonita Goode, based upon a fine of \$1,650. Committee to Elect Harry Red, based upon a fine of \$1,650. And Edwards for Ward 4 2020, based upon a fine of \$1,650.

Conditions for enforcement are transmitted from the Office of Campaign Finance to the General Counsel for the Board of Elections in matters in which the respondent has neither satisfied the outstanding fine or filed an appeal or a notice for review within the prescribed time period. During the month of March 2022, there are now open investigations carried by the Office of the General Counsel. There were no requests for an interpretive opinions and there was no show cause proceedings conducted. And that should conclude my report. The contents of this report will be published at the Office of Campaign Finance website by close of business today, April 6, 2022.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

very much, both of you.
MS. STROUD: Thank you.
CHAIR THOMPSON: I don't have any
questions. Any questions?
MEMBER GILL: No questions.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. With that, the
final item on the agenda is general public
comment. We probably exhaustively covered
Initiative 82, so but but, you know, anybody
out there can still raise make a comment on
anything they would like.
MS. BRIZILL: Mr. Chairman, this is
Dorothy Brizill, and I would like to raise a
public comment.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Go ahead, Ms.
Brizill.
MS. BRIZILL: I would like to ask
Terri Stroud, what is the plan going forward
regarding handling the complaint that was
recently filed by Mr. Spiva regarding Kenyan
McDuffie's eligibility to be a

to -- for a board hearing, but that matter has to be resolved by April 18th. And so it will be by then. And we'll proceed with -- in the same manner as we proceed with challenges to not name petitions in terms of the time frame, but it will be resolved by the 18th.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. Anybody else? I have a hand raised it looks like.

MR. CAPOZZI: Yeah, this is John Capozzi again, 3612 Boston Street, Southeast Hillcrest community member. I did have a few recommendations. I don't get to join the Board too often, so I appreciate getting to talk to you I don't want to come off as too angry. today. My first comments, it's just disappointment that based on how things went that we're not going to see this on the ballot in June. And based on the legal challenges I heard about today, they're going to continue to try to keep it off the So I did want to go back to ballot in November. my question that you didn't answer, which is, writing to the City Council and asking them to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

resolve this issue by placing it on the ballot in June, which they have the perfect right to do.

But I'm also focused on the list that was talked about for the first challenge that came up today. I guess in a rare case of bipartisanship as an ardent Democrat, I agreed with Ms. Furstenberg, as a Republican, that the list that is out there for the people who are voters, is serious problem of the city. think, you know, Ms. Evans just pointed that out today by saying that 37,000 plus people are being removed from that list. And Mr. Chairman, I would also caution you, like, the language they use is very important and when you use the word disenfranchisement to say that when people are taken off that list, that is an issue. actually resolved that here in the city a long time ago by having same-day registration here in the District.

So even if no one was on this list, everyone could come and vote that day and still be, you know, have their democratic rights

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

preserved. And so in this case, there is a nuance to this, which is, right now, a postcard has to be returned for people to be taken off the list as a registered voter, which puts the onus on someone who lives at an address of someone who's moved to return it. Otherwise, those people stay on the rolls indefinitely. I would look at how you can change that. I think that would be an important update to get this list to be more accurate.

And it's not just the fact that people have to collect a whole bunch more signatures because the list is so inaccurate and I'm, you know, like when you remove 37,000 people, that's probably what like, eight percent. I think it's more like a 20 or 30 percent inaccuracy rate. It just does a disservice to all the people running for office, because they have to mail it to all these people who are no longer here or not alive. And so that is an issue that I think is really important to focus more on that.

And then, ask the public to get

involved. You know, I've volunteered to do it.

I've talked to other people in Ward 7 who -- we can go door to door to the voters who have not returned, you know, who have not voted in, let's say two cycles and get an affidavit from that individual who lives at that current address and say, this person's just not here anymore, they moved a long time ago. We don't even know who they are. That would be one way we could get these lists to be more accurate. I think that should be a goal of the board to really enlist the public to do that.

Just asking at a board meeting like
this when we have, you know, 40 people that they
update their registration, I just don't feel that
that is going to change this level of
dissatisfaction with the voter rolls that you're
hearing. And finally, on the COVID side, I mean,
I was out collecting signatures for the
initiative. And one of the ways I collected
signatures is to go to the lines at the libraries
of people looking for COVID tests. You know,

there'd be between 50 and 100-and-some people in line when those things would open.

And so as an example, at least a few voters pointed out to me, listen, I mean, we're here during the pandemic. You're collecting signatures, you're getting close to me. That's an issue. So if the board had actually made it easier for people to be able to identify voters that supported the initiative versus actually collecting in-person signatures, I -- I think that's something that just wasn't looked at enough because, you know, some voters were unhappy with the idea that we were actually coming to them with a clipboard and a petition to get their signature.

And then, I do -- I also -- and I did learn something today which I really appreciate which Ms. Evans mentioned there's 23 people that have had challenges filed against them by Monday at 5:00. Now, I'm not sure what the big secret is, but can she read those 23 names of the candidates or because there are candidates

sitting out there now and people that I've talked to that have no idea who these people are that are challenged. And I don't know why they can't be posted on the website within a few hours of when the challenges are filed.

Now if there is a procedure to preliminarily look at them to see if the challenges are legitimate, I can understand that, but it -- it's another thing to not notify a candidate immediately, as well as the general public that someone's been challenged and then, you know, determine at some point whether they're going to actually be on the ballot. So -- so if I could request that those 23 names be read now, I would really appreciate that.

And also, the answer to my question about writing to the council and letting them know that due to our inefficiency of verification within 30 days, it won't be on the June ballot, but they can correct that by actually placing the other ballots themselves. And thank you for listening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you. And just a couple of quick things. We do have measures in place for electronic collection of signatures, particularly with COVID in place. And as far as the challenges, they have been placed on the They are currently on our website. website. I'm going to see if I have the list before me. mean, there are 23 names. I think in the interest of time, they are and have been listed on the website. So it would be acceptable for those to be accessed instead of me reading them.

Okay. So I have then Heather Lye.

Candidate Kenyan McDuffie for Attorney General.

James Harnett. Candidate Corren Brown, Mayor,

Green Party. Patricia Stamper. And please

forgive me if I mispronounce the names. I don't

have the candidate list with how names are

pronounced in front of me. Sirraya Gant, Ward 7

committeewoman challenging Celeste Biagini,

candidate for Ward 2, chairperson, Republican

Party. James Harnett. Candidate Nicolle S.A.

Lyon, for Ward 4 chairperson, Republican Party.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

James Harnett. Candidate Andrew Zesser Ward 1 chairperson, Republican Party.

James Harnett. Lori Furstenberg,
Mayor, Republican Party. James Harnett. Bruce
Majors, delegate to U.S. Rep. James Harnett.
Linequa'dominique Jenkins, At-Large. James
Harnett. Bruce Majors, chair, Libertarian Party.
Dorothy Douglas. Candidate Villareal Johnson,
Ward 7 committeeman. Luz Martinez-Munoz
challenging candidate Trayon White, mayor.
Jimmie Williams challenging candidate Tyrell
Holcomb, Ward 7 committeeman. David Meadows
challenging candidate Anthony Muhammad, Ward 8
committee.

Sirraya Gant challenging candidate

Dorothy Douglas, Ward 7 committeewoman. Lisa

Gore challenging Lenequia'dominique Jenkins, for

At-Large City Council. Denise Reed challenging

candidate Mary Eva Candon, Democratic National

Committeewoman. Linda Gray challenging Oye

Owolewa for US Representatives. Dennis Jaffe

challenging candidate Bradley Thomas At-Large

City Council. Nathan Fleming challenging
Linequa'dominique Jenkins, At-Large City Council.
Nathan Fleming challenging candidate Bradley
Thomas, At-Large City Council. Nathan Fleming
challenging candidate Dexter Williams At-Large
City Council. And Mysiki Valentine challenging
candidate Dexter Williams At-Large City Council.
Thank you.

MR. CAPOZZI: And -- and Ms. Evans, and the board, I apologize if I raised this and asked you to read them because to be honest, I couldn't find them on the website anywhere. I'm hoping you're going to put that in the chat as to where it's found because it's not under the list of candidates, which is where you would normally look to find this information.

MS. STROUD: I mean, we opted to make sure that we notified all of the candidates first, and after all of the candidates had been notified, so we had planned to put it up on Friday. And so the candidate should have been known by now. So if they have all been notified,

then we can place that up earlier, but we just wanted to make sure that we timely notified all of the candidates and apparently, they have all, at this point, they've all been notified and so we can place that list on the website now.

MS. EVANS: My apologies. I thought it was already posted.

MS. BRIZILL: Mr. Chairman, this is

Dorothy Brizill --

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. -- if I could just comment, Mr. Capozzi, thank you for all your comments. There was -- there was a bit more in there that you mentioned and I will certainly look into your idea of whether the DC Council has some ability to take action here. I just don't know on the fly what the answer to that is, but I'm going to look into it. And you know, thank you again for your emphasis on voter registration accuracy. You know, I appreciate that there's the ultimate safeguard of same -- day registration, but I am -- I'm keenly interested in -- in a process for, improving the

accuracy as I talked about earlier. So thank you for your comments there. I think with that, anyone else out there for a public comment?

MS. BRIZILL: This is Dorothy Brizill,
I was inadvertently cut off, I'm on my computer.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Go ahead -- go ahead,
Ms. Brizill.

MS. BRIZILL: I wanted to ask Ms. Stroud, what would be the process and procedure that will be followed regarding handling Mr. Spiva's challenge to Mr. McDuffie's candidacy and qualifications for candidacy? The reason I ask that is that I have not participated in a candidate challenge for some time, but in the past, they usually have been because of one person challenging another one's signatures in terms of whether or not they have a sufficient number of signatures or the validity of those signatures. And in the past, General Counsel's Office has had a standard practice of restricting who has access to the hearings, namely restricting it to the candidate and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

individual bringing the challenge.

Given the broad scope of this

particular challenge, what is the intention of

the General Counsel on this matter and will you

post in it there when the proceedings will be

held and will you open it up either -- either by

Zoom or in an in-person meeting to people in the

general public who might be interested?

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. And thank you, just for the record, what's your -- what's your address?

MS. BRIZILL: Dorothy Brizill, the organization is DC Watch and the address is 1327 Girard Street Northwest.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. STROUD: Thank you. We will proceed in the same manner as we do with respect to nominating position challenges. The matter will be resolved by the 20th day after the challenge was filed, which would be on April 18th. There will be a pre-hearing conference in the matter. It will not be open to the public.

That's not how we normally proceed, but the hearing will of course be open. The hearing -the pre-hearing conference begins with settlement conference, where we sort of narrow the issues that are -- that will be before the board, so that -- that proceeding will only be open to the parties, as well as individuals who both parties consent to having be present. And so notice has been given to the pre-hearing conference and notice will be given with respect to the public meeting that will take place when the hearing will be held.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. Anyone else out there still with their hand raised, after -- okay, well, seeing no other hands for public comments and thank you, Mr. Capozzi, for your words I see coming up there. Thank you to everybody else who's hung with us for -- well, it's been a pretty long hearing, I think everybody is ready for lunch. So with that, I would move we adjourn.

MEMBER GILL: Second.

1	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
2	(Chorus of aye.)
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thanks again,
4	everybody.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
6	went off the record at 1:14 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Α
<b>A&amp;C</b> 44:20 <b>a.m</b> 1:12 3:2
Abel 90:11
ability 7:7 76:2 79:8
84:4 100:17 131:15
able 14:4 15:20 17:4
84:3 86:18 126:8 above-entitled 135:5
absentee 6:16
absolutely 13:17 14:11
27:4 33:17 35:4 36:22
64:8 <b>accept</b> 51:1,9 53:15
60:4,9 65:20
acceptable 128:10
acceptance 54:18
59:11 accepted 53:10 56:2
Accepting 48:11,13
access 7:6 22:9 55:7
82:7 104:15,17
106:21 132:21 accessed 128:11
accomplish 77:13
account 99:11,14
accountable 32:10
accounts 99:4,5,16
<b>accuracy</b> 34:6 43:19 45:3,17 50:4 93:14
131:19 132:1
accurate 29:9 34:13,21
38:21 48:22 56:6,15
58:10 60:15,16 124:10 125:10
accurately 70:12
<b>achieve</b> 78:9 97:19
104:17
achieved 86:9 89:15 106:21
acknowledge 91:10
acknowledged 77:2
91:3
acknowledging 91:8
acknowledgment 90:18 acquisitions 14:16
act 12:8 39:21 50:22
55:5 74:22 98:6
102:11 104:5
Acting 14:1 action 110:21 117:22
131:15
active 35:11 47:12
93:19,20
Adam 75:11
Adams 62:20 add 35:8
<b>uuu</b> 00.0

addition 93:2 additional 54:5 55:9 66:17 71:4 89:10 105:13 108:20 117:1 address 22:15,17 25:7 28:1 34:14 61:17 62:12 64:6,7 81:13 85:18 89:12,12 124:5 125:6 133:11.13 addressed 88:20 addresses 27:22 28:2 34:15 adds 50:6 **adhere** 77:15 adjourn 134:21 Adjournment 2:13 adjusted 57:15 adjustment 56:22 58:22 adjustments 57:21 administration 6:20 administrative 102:6 adopt 3:21 4:11 7:20 8:13 58:14 61:10 68:21 adopted 57:2 66:5 Adoption 2:4 advance 3:22 adverse 22:7,13 advice 10:7 advisory 101:22 103:11 affect 59:17 affidavit 125:5 afternoon 92:12 103:9 109:18 116:11 118:6 118:11 age 7:7 agencies 43:9 92:22 agency 7:14 43:22 92:16 105:5 116:22 118.21 agenda 3:21,22 4:1,17 22:6 97:1 101:10 121:7 Agenda/Approval 2:4 aggravate 15:4 aggregate 44:16 98:12 **Aging** 92:19 ago 28:14 123:18 125:8 agree 33:15,17 38:14 38:14 43:1,15 60:18 agreed 80:15 123:6 agreement 48:5 Ah 11:5 ahead 40:12,14,16 71:6 90:10 121:15 132:6,6

Alice 8:12 12:14 15:19 15:22 20:7 **alive** 124:19 **alleges** 102:12 alleging 101:15 Allen 113:11 allow 89:5 98:1 allowed 10:19 77:16 78:8 89:11 allowing 10:16 **allows** 77:9 alma 11:4 amazing 20:13 amenable 10:21 **amend** 97:5 amended 97:22 98:4 **Amendment** 98:6 amendments 97:8 **Amene** 90:9,11,11 America 74:22 amount 25:16 27:16 32:14 34:21 105:8 106:7,15,18 107:8,17 108:4,13 **amounts** 39:19 analyses 55:2 **analysis** 54:16 116:19 **ANC** 103:17,17,18,18 103:19 **Andrew** 62:20 63:14 64:8 129:1 angry 122:14 **Anne** 113:18 announced 13:1 118:19 answer 20:10 21:18 29:11 31:11 55:8 56:6 56:10 58:10,10 65:22 67:10 75:3 77:5 122:21 127:16 131:16 **answers** 46:21 **Anthony** 114:11 129:13 **Antoine** 113:17 anybody 63:2,2 79:14 121:9 122:7 anymore 47:12 125:7 anytime 21:9 **anyway** 20:15 apologies 131:6 **apologize** 30:4 130:10 apparently 22:12 86:10 131:3 appeal 22:7,14 36:19 41:12,15 45:8 80:10 120:11 appealed 102:4 appear 64:12,19,21

appearing 67:1 appears 73:12 74:3 applicability 98:7 **apply** 33:5 69:13 appreciate 10:19 11:16 12:9 13:7 19:17 20:17 39:5,6,13 50:10 63:10 80:21 92:4 95:7 96:10 122:13 126:17 127:15 131.19 appreciated 11:16 19:11 21:11 appreciates 7:14 appreciation 5:4 approach 78:7 appropriate 64:2 approve 4:7 97:18 approved 90:2 97:21 **April** 1:9 3:9 22:15 40:7 81:20 94:20 95:22 100:11 117:20 120:21 122:2 133:20 arbitrary 35:2 **ardent** 123:6 area 14:10 areas 84:11 arguably 79:5,10 argument 60:7 68:20 argument's 75:8 arguments 40:9 89:9 89:10 arrive 56:3 65:22 article 99:7 **Ascertainment** 2:3 **Aside** 34:4 asked 130:11 asking 26:16 87:1 122:22 125:13 assessment 27:8 28:16 assigned 54:4 assignments 56:18 assistance 12:5,10 **assume** 80:1 assuming 82:21 **assured** 85:17 **At-** 112:17,20 115:10 at-large 104:10 106:5 120:2 129:6,18,22 130:2.4.5.7 **Atkins** 114:16 attain 25:18 attempt 38:5 attempts 70:7 attended 103:11 attending 90:20 attention 49:21 61:1,3,5 75:9 attest 12:21

65:1,5 88:1 100:10

appeared 56:15

133:15

Ain't 14:6

aimed 83:11

added 36:4,8

attested 8:9 127:13,19 30:17 34:16 36:21 118:14 119:12 120:8 attorney 5:13 102:15 **balloting** 45:19 46:3 39:22 40:1 53:21 54:2 120:20 104:9 106:14 112:17 **ballots** 6:17 45:17 57:4 75:22 91:15 **campaigns** 84:4 98:13 128:13 candidacy 132:11,12 93:11 94:21,22 95:16 **bona** 77:10 audio 39:14 63:19 70:3 104:21 127:21 **Bonita** 120:3 candidate 23:12 25:17 81:8 119:22 barriers 7:8 **borders** 15:12 30:20,21 31:8,9,21 audit 109:15,20,21,22 barring 85:17 **Boston** 122:10 35:18 42:7,15 44:19 110:3,4,12 116:18,19 base 28:16,17 105:8 **bottom** 67:6 45:12 94:8 99:8,9,10 116:20 117:3,9,14 106:7,15,18 107:7,17 **bought** 14:18 106:1,3,11,12,22 118:1,19 bound 35:19,21 108:4.13 107:2,4,10,11,20,21 boundaries 56:21 57:2 audits 109:17,19 110:9 based 32:10 37:10 52:3 108:7,9,10,22 109:2 110:10 117:5,7,17,18 53:18 54:20 68:14,22 57:15 69:9 77:4 82:6 109:12,13 119:8 72:7 80:1 87:1,5,13 Bowser 5:14 113:17 127:10 128:13,14,17 117:22 August 36:6,6 100:13 100:1 119:18,20,21 boxes 7:2 128:20,21 129:1,8,10 **Austin** 85:20 119:22 120:2,3,3,5,6 **Bradley** 129:22 130:3 129:11,13,15,19,22 authentication 66:16 122:16,17 **branch** 116:20 130:3,5,7,21 132:14 authorized 105:6,14 **basic** 18:2 78:2 break 72:13 74:1 132:22 breakdown 72:22 candidates 27:13 34:10 106:8,16,20 107:8,18 basically 65:21 103:12 breakdowns 72:17 39:8 44:11 46:8 48:3 108:6,15 105:15 **basis** 12:9 **Brian** 106:10,10 113:5 authorizes 99:18 98:1 99:13,22 104:4 **AUTOMATED** 3:6 basketball 8:4 briefed 80:4 104:16,22 105:9,13 available 35:18 55:8 105:17 106:20 109:7 bearing 55:14 **briefly** 51:14 62:20 72:6 92:21 96:2 **Beatty** 116:3 bringing 39:5 133:1 112:2,9,11,12,12,15 97:4 100:15 116:11 Beau 115:17 **Brizill** 121:12,13,16,17 115:1,2,5 116:7,9 began 94:8,10 95:19 **Avenue** 75:12 81:18 131:8,9 132:4,4,7,8 117:6,15 126:22,22 **Avery** 114:1 beginning 86:2 104:20 133:12.12 130:15.18.19 131:3 awaiting 102:18 **beains** 134:3 **broad** 133:2 candidates' 99:6 aware 33:7 40:18 behalf 12:4 63:2 92:15 Brookings 17:14 Candon 129:19 aye 4:5,14 8:1 48:10,15 **believe** 19:2 29:1 33:1,2 **Brooks** 23:2,7,8,22 capabilities 14:10 61:15 101:5 135:2 50:15 57:5,20 58:11 24:3,6,8,11,14,17,20 capacity 102:9 65:8 80:5 91:22 25:1,18 26:3,10,16 **Capital** 119:3 В Bennett 4:20,21 5:3,10 27:5,11 28:12,13,21 Capozzi 85:19,20 88:13 **B** 17:19 5:11 7:18 8:3 13:16 36:15 37:22 40:20 122:9,10 130:9 back 20:12 28:21 31:1,7 13:18 19:5 28:10 41:2 50:9.12 131:11 134:16 36:19 45:18 60:16 best 14:5 17:7 34:1 **Brown** 128:14 caption 97:2 65:10,17 68:6 69:15 66:6 **Bruce** 129:4,7 capture 68:18 83:8 84:15 91:2 **better** 8:16 14:6,11,11 budget 15:1 cards 93:10 122:20 15:3,10 75:14 **Build** 75:13 care 16:10 bad 11:4 beyond 11:14 64:22 bunch 124:12 career 5:12 14:14 15:2 Bagwell 79:20 65:14 business 5:13 120:20 careful 49:8,11 **Biagini** 128:19 caring 11:11,12 **balance** 101:18 Bianco 79:19,20 80:11 **Carisa** 116:3 **ball** 16:9,9 57:1 C 17:19 ballot 7:2 22:9 23:16,20 80:20 Carl 114:16 big 126:20 calculation 100:2 **carried** 120:14 35:5,6 38:7 40:2 bigger 77:1 **Carter** 120:2 45:13 48:2 51:8,21 calendar 82:2 cartoons 99:9 52:16 55:7 59:4,8 biggest 12:22 call 2:2 10:6,22 11:14 62:16 63:12,20,22 **Bill** 16:13 97:9 20:6,9 71:21 76:19 case 23:16 42:1 52:6 billion 14:22 called 15:17 20:11 68:17 74:4,12 78:22 64:1,3,12,19,22 65:2 65:3,5,10,15 67:1,4,7 bipartisanship 123:6 70:10 85:7 99:1 123:5 124:1 bit 20:8 34:7 47:19 cases 44:12 69:5 75:16,19,21 76:2,5,20 **calling** 10:15 74:9 131:12 cast 6:14,16 77:7 79:3 82:7,10,11 campaign 2:9 12:4 82:20 83:1,2,6,13 **blood** 18:5 16:11 21:13 75:13,17 cause 120:17 board's 6:5 51:3 52:4 caused 76:15 90:19 84:4,5 85:16 86:21 81:22 82:2 90:14 91:7 61:7 68:1 98:22 87:9,11,17,22 88:8,18 91:11 97:16,17 98:5 **causing** 101:17 boards 54:7 **caution** 123:13 88:19 90:3 94:15,18 98:18,21 99:14,16,17 94:19 95:9,12,14 **BOE** 5:1,6 13:11 21:12 103:3,10,14,15 Cecily 12:1 16:12 20:9 103:3 104:15,17 106:21 21:20 25:20,22 26:7 109:10,11 110:21 122:17,20 123:1 27:4,21,22 28:1 29:6 111:8,21 112:8 118:9 **Celeste** 128:19

cell 94:2 checked 86:7 **colleague** 9:11 11:17 110:21,22 112:3 **center** 95:16 **Cheh** 109:9,9 colleagues 21:17 116:5,16 117:13 **centers** 7:3 95:1,5,9,13 childcare 98:18 **collect** 23:15 27:15 118:5 **chiming** 81:19 95:13 32:21 37:13 41:17 committeewoman certain 68:14 70:6 **chip** 73:16 70:7 86:16 90:14 128:19 129:16,20 certainly 12:14 18:6 choices 11:4 124:12 common 98:5 20:16 43:15,17 70:3 choose 6:7 collected 59:3 119:10 communicated 42:13 74:17 78:19 85:3 90:3 **chores** 44:18 125:20 communication 6:4 collecting 32:10 42:11 131:14 **Chorus** 4:5,14 8:1 communications 96:4 certainty 42:9 78:9,14 48:10,15 61:15 101:5 44:15 81:22 125:19 community 85:21 92:20 certification 51:8 59:8 135:2 126:5,10 122:11 collection 128:3 63:21 64:3,19 67:3 Christopher 101:11 **companies** 14:19,19 81:6 85:3,7 89:15 circulated 61:8,12 80:1 Collier-12:1 compare 73:8 **COLLIER-MONTGO... certified** 55:7 63:19 circulating 38:9 compared 57:22 circulators 52:14 64:18 65:13 66:20,22 12:3 103:5,8 comparing 73:2 70:18 88:17,19 105:9 Columbia 1:2,11 3:11 circumstance 70:4 competing 98:11 105:12 106:2,11,22 circumstances 23:3 5:12 6:9,10,22 7:13 competition 98:15 107:3,12,22 108:7,9 cited 65:11 8:18 11:13 22:11 complaint 42:22 101:13 certify 53:8 64:21 citizen 29:5 47:9 23:12 31:18 34:22 121:19 citizens 6:13 7:6,12 38:17 40:3 47:10 **cetera** 43:9,14 **complete** 31:6 33:22 **Chairman** 46:14 86:12 15:12 19:8 50:21 55:5 83:17 56:7 94:2 109:22 88:13 97:13 104:9 city 15:6,12 16:4 39:7 98:14 completed 116:7 112:17 118:11 121:12 45:13,15 122:22 combined 39:17 118:20 123:12 131:8 123:9,17 129:18 **come** 5:4 18:4 23:2 completing 52:12 **chairperson** 128:20,22 130:1,2,4,6,7 122:14 123:21 complex 76:12 129:2 citv-wide 63:20 comes 12:18 82:6 compliance 102:12 **chairs** 15:17 **citywide** 52:1 64:16 89:20 computer 94:3 132:5 computerized 70:13 **challenge** 69:22 80:13 71:13 104:3,5 coming 19:3 126:14 80:16 81:7,11,13 claiming 101:14 134:17 concern 45:19 61:2 85:17 86:17 87:13,15 clarification 80:21 commenced 55:19 75:16 88:21 89:8,19 92:8 classify 84:18 commends 7:17 concerned 106:19 **concerning** 105:16,17 94:10,11 104:14 clauses 5:5 comment 60:10 61:22 123:4 132:11,14 clear 30:4,8,18 41:16 62:5,9 79:16 84:9 **concerns** 45:2,6 133:1,3,20 46:5 48:8 59:1 61:9 88:11,14 89:14 92:2 **conclude** 100:5 120:18 **challenged** 23:17 127:3 84:1 85:5 86:2.5 87:7 100:16 101:1 121:8 concluded 53:15 127:11 88:2 89:18 96:9 121:10,14 131:11 concludes 96:13 102:20 challenger 63:1,14 cleared 42:20 58:1 132:3 clearly 42:20 57:16 challengers 61:2 89:5 commented 95:8 **conclusion** 54:11,20 **challenges** 86:3 94:13 65:12,18 67:2 68:18 comments 15:7 21:12 **concur** 60:18 122:4,18 126:19 74:12 78:6,12 83:6 33:12 35:8 40:13 condition 78:2 127:5,8 128:5 133:18 86:14 41:14 45:11 58:12 Conditions 120:7 **clears** 58:5 75:9 85:21,22 100:18 **conduct** 35:14 56:12 challenging 128:19 129:10,11,13,15,17 client 76:18 100:18 122:15 131:12 64:14 **Cliff** 71:3 132:2 134:16 conducted 7:9 51:17 129:18,20,22 130:1,3 130:5,6 132:16 clipboard 126:14 Commission 103:12 52:21 55:1 92:14,16 clock 91:20 commissioner 44:20 108:17,21 109:1 **chance** 4:9 17:14 61:9 **change** 6:13 34:20 60:2 close 14:22 56:16 69:5 101:22 102:1 116:20 117:8,8 124:8 125:16 78:15 120:20 126:6 committed 91:17 120:17 **changes** 10:2 69:2,7,8 closely 65:8 committee 105:22 conducting 104:2 closer 68:2 69:11 70:10,11 93:9 109:10 110:17 111:8 conference 116:8,8 changing 43:6 **closing** 39:16 111:15,21 113:4,10 133:21 134:3,4,9 Chapter 97:6 coast 17:22 113:15,20,22 114:5 confidence 11:21 52:22 **Chapters** 97:6,6 code 31:18,19 64:13 114:10,14,18 117:22 56:4 58:8 87:8 **Charles** 113:16 65:11 74:17 77:20 119:12,20 120:4 confirm 40:21 63:17 **charter** 74:11,14 **Cohen** 113:2 129:14 **confirmed** 5:15 55:21 cohesive 16:2 committeeman 129:9 **chat** 130:13 congeniality 7:10 check 38:5 76:12 coincide 35:16 129:12 congratulating 86:13 101:16,18 cold 90:15 committees 98:2 congress 82:16

conjunction 92:19 connected 3:4 connection 69:21 consent 134:8 consequential 9:8,9 consider 86:20,22 87:14 89:8 consideration 49:7 **considered** 8:6 80:3 consisted 30:10 consistency 56:20 97:19 consisting 53:14 constantly 16:13 43:5 Constitution 39:20 constitutional 40:9 consultations 108:22 consummate 11:2 contact 12:8 47:22 contain 51:11 contained 32:12 51:4 contains 29:10 51:19 52:10,11 contemplate 74:15 contents 2:1 120:18 contested 104:3.5 continue 88:5 90:3,8 96:10,12 98:2 122:19 **continued** 66:9 101:19 continues 12:20 66:2 93:22 contract 98:15,16 contractor 98:5,8 contracts 98:10,11 **contrary** 71:1 74:18 91:19 contributions 5:6 98:13 convened 1:11 convince 14:4 **copies** 97:4 **copy** 28:14 79:22 core 58:19 **corporate** 14:15,16 correct 25:22 26:2,15 29:7 34:11,12 41:2 56:10 67:8 72:4 73:10 73:20,21 74:7 78:17 84:16 127:20 correction 54:9 corrections 4:10 **Corren** 128:14 corresponding 74:14 77:21 could've 38:6 81:22 **council** 5:15 57:2 85:9 86:21 104:9,10 106:5 107:6,15 108:3,12

112:18,20 113:1 115:10,15 122:22 127:17 129:18 130:1 130:2,4,6,7 131:15 counsel 1:20 14:5,8 22:17 41:15 80:21 87:15 88:6 97:16,21 110:6 111:6,14 112:17 116:13 118:7 118:8,13,15 119:1,14 119:16 120:9,15 133:4 counsel's 2:7 48:12,14 83:12 96:21 132:19 count 32:11 74:13 counted 52:15 57:6 counting 83:21 countless 6:3 country 14:6,9 15:7,10 17:8,11,13 33:21,22 couple 21:6,15 28:14 45:10 49:2 86:1 128:2 course 40:6 42:7,14 55:8 94:7 134:2 court 87:15,19 88:6 102:4.19 **Courtney** 119:19 covered 98:8 104:8 106:5,7,13 107:5,14 107:17 108:2,11 112:16 121:8 **COVID** 125:18,22 128:4 **COVID-19** 6:18 created 37:5 creative 7:1 Credit 50:22 55:5 Crest 85:21 **cry** 13:19 current 13:4 37:6 93:20 125:6 currently 93:5 95:18 110:10 112:9 115:5 116:4 117:4,16 128:6 **curve** 57:1 **cut** 132:5 cycle 12:7 50:2 105:2,3 109:8 cycles 6:6 100:4 125:5

D 5:10,10 7:17 17:19 115:14 damages 101:14 data 53:4 84:14 date 24:19 32:5,6 36:14 37:8,10,11 38:16 44:9 56:9 57:9,19 59:16.21

D

60:6,8 63:21 64:17

65:12 67:3 68:12 70:7 74:17 75:5 78:10 85:2 90:4 94:3 98:7 105:1 110:9,22 111:22 112:7 115:4 117:11 117:19 118:3 dates 72:17 78:4 103:17 110:16 David 108:8,9 114:10 129:12 day 6:15 18:13 19:16 20:18 32:5,6 33:2,4 35:2 41:20 56:1 57:21 58:6 64:22 66:8 67:5 74:20 81:21 85:7 89:21 95:5,13,17 100:13 104:7 105:21 123:21 131:21 133:19 days 52:5 57:6 63:21 64:17 65:12,19 68:6 71:20 76:3,16 77:14 81:20 84:1 85:9 86:4 86:11,18 87:4,19 91:5 91:21 127:19 **DC** 5:15,16 6:1,11 7:1,5 7:16 15:3.7.8.9.11 17:9.12.18.22 25:10 30:10 39:17 58:2 63:6 64:10,13 73:19 75:12 75:22 77:20 81:19 83:11 85:9 90:13 100:9,10,14,22 106:10 113:3,14,19 113:22 114:4,9,14,17 119:5 131:14 133:13 **DCMR** 97:7 dead 28:3,4 34:13 deadline 82:4 111:9,16 dear 10:13 debate 73:14 75:1 104:1.6 debates 104:1,8,11,12 104:18,20 deceased 43:13 **December** 52:7 57:9,17 59:20 62:13 68:3,9,12 69:2,6 70:16 71:10 72:9 73:3,8 decent 58:3 **decide** 67:7,12,17 decision 39:12 53:11 53:16,18,19 70:5 decisions 19:21 declare 65:20 declared 99:20 decline 41:12,14 45:7

21:11 deem 73:15 85:2 deeply 7:14 21:11 defend 87:22 88:7 **Defense** 110:17 **defer** 97:9 **definitely** 9:16 10:5 12:19,21 degree 43:19 delay 76:16 83:5 delayed 86:11 delaying 82:19 delegate 129:5 delegates 6:8 demand 35:4,6 38:20 38:22 39:1,22 democracy 67:17 **Democrat** 28:7 123:6 democratic 113:4,10,15 113:19,22 114:4,9 123:22 129:19 Democrats 119:4 denial 80:8 102:10 denied 76:5 80:3 82:7 83:9 **Denise** 129:18 **Dennis** 129:21 denominator 49:5 57:17 68:22 denying 83:3,15 Department 53:20 92:19 deposit 95:15 109:6 deserve 83:18 desk 116:20 117:2 desktop 94:3 **despite** 11:3 66:6 **detail** 61:3,5 detailed 88:20 details 62:15 determination 22:8,14 39:18 40:1 51:1 53:19 54:17 58:7 59:12 61:7 66:7 77:14 78:11,18 90:6 determinative 57:13 60:9 73:18 74:6 **determine** 51:17 52:22 59:6 74:10 77:5 127:12 **determined** 34:5 51:6 53:7 54:2,13 56:5 78:3 81:8 86:17 88:5 determining 76:17 devoted 5:20 **Dexter** 130:5.7 dies 43:7 difference 57:10 72:8

dedicated 7:18

**dedication** 7:15 8:16

73:22 dollars 15:1 eight 17:17 52:2 54:18 **ensure** 6:21 7:6 56:19 different 16:20 28:3 domains 99:5 74:1,2 116:12 117:4 80:6,9 88:8 96:8 76:15 **donate** 99:16 118:16 124:15 **ensures** 87:16 difficult 46:4 door 125:3,3 either 41:18,21 65:19 entertained 80:19 director 1:19 14:1 **Dorinda** 114:2 73:18 80:3,7 87:18 enthusiasm 21:16 15:16 55:12,20 56:17 dorm 46:5,7 133:6,6 entire 56:13 66:14 77:7 58:15,18 78:1 92:10 **Dorothy** 114:6 121:13 **Elect** 106:1 119:21 82:22 102:8 118:10 129:8,16 131:9 132:4 120:4 entirety 72:1 Director's 2:6 50:14 election 6:6,15,20,21 entities 98:9 133:12 double 27:15 50:5 entrance 116:8 59:12 9:22 23:21 31:13,20 **EP** 11:18 Directors 8:11 **Douglas** 114:6 129:8,16 32:6,7 40:4 49:13 disagree 35:12 69:18 downstream 50:7 50:2 63:22 64:15,16 equal 42:17 draft 110:9 65:2,3,15 67:5 76:19 equipment 99:16 91:17 disappointing 90:17 drafted 58:17 78:20,22 82:15,22 equity 59:19 68:10,20 disappointment 122:15 driven 49:19 95:5,13,17,18,19,21 68:20 69:20 70:10 disbursed 105:6 drives 6:2 era 6:14,15 96:1 98:8 99:2,13 disbursement 105:6 **drop** 7:2 100:4 105:2,3,7 106:4 Eric 115:16 106:17 107:8,19 drop-boxes 95:9,12,14 106:13 107:14 108:2 err 49:18 67:16 drops 72:12 error 70:9 82:5 83:3,9 108:6,15 108:11 109:8,13 disbursements 105:15 due 40:9 83:2 87:2 89:4 110:10 112:21 113:2 83:20 84:3,18 91:10 105:18 102:2 117:11,19 113:4,11,16,20 114:1 **errors** 47:22 83:15 discriminated 29:15 118:3 127:18 114:5,10,15,18 115:3 91:17 discriminatory 39:19 duly 8:6 32:2 51:22 115:8,11,16,21 116:2 **especially** 9:17 18:8 discussed 51:14 52:1 117:7,16 20:4 50:2 **discussion** 39:4 80:2 **Duncan** 107:11 **EST** 1:12 **elections** 1:4,11,13,17 disenfranchisement **Dunkin** 107:11 3:11 5:2.17 6:7 7:17 et 43:9.14 123:15 duplicate 28:2,2 34:15 8:16 9:10 12:7 15:4,9 Eva 129:19 disenfranchising 49:10 34:15 40:18 15:11 16:12,14 17:12 Evans 1:19 8:12 11:7 dismiss 82:10 102:15 duplicates 78:4 23:9 33:19 34:9 45:22 17:5 38:1 50:15,18 dissatisfaction 125:17 duration 98:15 70:12,15 82:8 83:20 55:13 58:18 79:4 disservice 124:17 duty 11:14 84:3 93:1 99:17 100:1 80:11 81:3 84:8 92:10 distinguished 5:12 dynamic 59:13,15 100:3 101:12,21 92:11 102:9 123:10 97:14 118:12 74:19 103:16,20 104:4 126:18 128:1 130:9 distributed 3:22 4:9 105:4,11,14 106:3 131:6 Ε **distribution** 70:2 72:14 107:4,5,13 108:1,17 event 92:17,20 93:1 district 1:2,11 3:10 5:12 E 113:8 109:7,15 111:7,20 events 6:3 92:15,16 6:9,10,22 7:12 8:18 **e-mail** 99:4 115:4 119:16 120:9 **eventually** 44:21 56:5 11:13 22:11 23:11 earlier 78:1 91:3 131:1 **Elections'** 82:3,5 83:3,9 69:17 31:18 34:22 38:17 132:1 83:15 everybody 3:4,5 4:1 5:1 40:3 47:10 50:21 55:3 early 6:17 38:6 95:2,12 electorate 51:19 5:8 8:22 12:16,20,21 15:21 16:22 18:18 55:5 59:9 70:22 83:17 electronic 128:3 95:16 94:15 98:10,11,14 **element** 68:10 20:22,22 21:2,7,20 **ease** 7:8 99:11 102:2 123:19 easier 49:6 96:7 126:8 eligibility 22:8 121:21 36:22 46:22 49:22 eligible 52:15 88:18,19 55:13 56:11 60:12.17 **districting** 54:8 84:13 east 17:21 diversity 45:16 **Elimination** 50:22 55:5 89:4 134:18,20 135:4 easy 10:5 22:1,2,4 divided 72:15 **Elissa** 115:13 everybody's 4:9 92:4 44:22 45:1 63:9 division 53:5 92:14 educating 93:2 Elizabeth 113:13 everyone's 46:15 emergencies 99:19 103:21 108:17 109:15 education 6:1 92:12,14 evident 29:22 110:14 116:19 118:18 115:21 116:2 **emergency** 97:1,18 evidently 36:5,7 118:19 100:11 evolution 9:9 **Edwards** 120:5 **DMD** 54:16,17 effect 32:8 52:5 82:14 exact 25:16 37:16 46:18 **emphasis** 131:18 document 28:18 100:12 encouraging 93:12 exactly 86:7 89:18 documentation 38:2,9 ended 58:4 94:11 **example** 43:21 126:3 effective 82:17 109:20 110:5 exceeded 52:19 efficiency 86:14 energy 9:19 documents 94:17 excellence 21:19 effort 5:21 43:20 44:2 enforcement 110:7 excellent 7:12 21:22 doq 44:22 60:18 86:15 92:1 116:13 119:15 120:7 enlist 125:11 doing 10:21 13:22 efforts 50:5 66:6 93:18 25.9 excited 63:11 75:15 34:17 60:17 89:7 94:6 **Eidinger** 75:11,11 enrichment 101:15

exciting 18:7 108:17 109:7,15 exclusion 49:19 111:7,20 115:4 **excuse** 27:11 30:4 fairly 69:2 74:15 executing 51:3 **Fanning** 113:5 executive 2:6 5:13 8:11 far 17:7 51:15 93:21 50:14 58:14 59:11 94:5 96:4 106:18 92:9 102:8 128:4 exemplary 7:18 fast 18:21 favor 4:4,13 7:22 48:9 exercise 96:11 61:14 101:4 135:1 exhaustively 121:8 exist 41:19 fear 12:22 **existed** 17:8 68:3,4 **February** 52:11 57:7 expanded 7:5 72:10 73:4,5,6 74:4 82:18 102:16,17 expands 99:3 114:20 117:10 118:2 **expect** 80:4 110:12 fee 101:18 expected 26:1 expenditure 111:15 feedback 95:10 96:12 feel 9:4 11:1 125:15 expenditures 108:18 110:18 111:2,10,17 fell 45:2 fellow 9:2 112:1 116:15,21 expenses 98:18 **Ferebee** 113:7 **explain** 27:6 40:13 fewer 49:20 41:14 97:9 fide 77:10 **explained** 28:13 56:17 field 109:15 fighting 45:12 90:7 explains 98:19 **figure** 9:18 **extends** 98:19 **figures** 34:20 extension 111:4 file 23:18 38:6 47:11 extensions 111:12 71:22 72:20 102:5 **extent** 43:6 81:15 110:20 111:5,13 extra 58:9 78:16 116:14,14 extraordinarily 21:21 filed 23:17 24:5 33:1 28:10 52:6,10 60:7 79:21 **extremely** 56:10,16 80:6 81:7 88:22 94:9 57:11 69:4 101:21 102:15,16,17 eye 16:9 108:19 110:20 111:19 **eyes** 18:5 112:2 116:22 119:17 120:11 121:20 126:19 F 127:5 133:20 **F** 17:19 filer 110:19 face 19:3 files 71:19 72:19 93:15 faced 66:8 77:12 86:3 111:2,3,3,11 facilitate 53:3 filing 23:3 38:10 101:13 fact 11:3 14:20 15:21 109:1 110:16 111:1,9 15:21 21:6 30:10 111:16,22 filings 111:11,18 45:11 49:12 59:15 84:10 87:2,20 104:14 filling 39:6 106:20 110:13 124:11 final 13:15 78:5,11,17 94:22 102:7 110:11 facts 75:4 121:7 fail 99:13,15,16 finalized 94:19 95:11 failed 91:6,6,7 111:13 failure 110:4 111:5 finally 99:18 125:18

find 43:12 89:22 130:12 130:16 **findings** 52:9 53:7 **fine** 119:3,4,5,7,11,19 119:20,21 120:1,2,3,4 120:5,6,11 fines 99:12 110:1 118:22 119:2,10 **Finley** 115:17 firm 64:7 first 3:21 9:17,22 12:6 13:21 20:11 22:6 25:14,15 26:21 27:22 53:8 63:1,3 78:2,19 78:20 82:13,18 86:1 96:22 101:10 103:22 105:22 106:6,15 107:7,16 108:4,13 109:1 110:16 122:15 123:4 130:19 **five** 9:6 18:17 49:4 51:22 52:1,2,20 54:18 55:21 58:1,2 72:11 86:9 103:11 115:7 flattering 15:7 Fleming 105:22 106:1 130:1.3.4 **fly** 131:16 focus 16:16 17:10 93:6 124:21 **focused** 16:3,13,19 123:3 focuses 89:1 **folks** 45:14 46:6 61:19 follow 4:1 35:10,14,15 84:5 85:5 **followed** 55:15 82:2 87:11 91:15 132:10 following 39:13 63:18 66:19 119:2,17 follows 5:9 footnote 62:12 69:17 74:9 forensically 68:19 69:3 forgive 128:16 formally 81:6 90:1,2 formed 64:4 former 4:19 15:17 90:16 forth 37:8 58:22 61:6

**fortunate** 14:7,7 15:13

forward 17:4 20:1 75:21

81:15 121:18

found 17:6 52:17,18

Foundation 102:8

four 9:5 18:17 116:4

58:21 96:9 130:14

forum 3:20

117:6.9 118:20.20 **fourth** 56:1 107:10 frame 66:8 122:5 Frank 114:1 fresh 18:5 Friday 80:6 81:20 82:1 94:20 100:10 130:21 friend 9:12 10:13 11:17 friendly 96:8 friends 21:17 119:19 front 72:21 128:18 Frumin 107:20,21 fulfill 98:2 full 7:6 109:14 118:3 full-time 34:2,4 fully 80:4 96:1 105:5 function 17:3 fund 105:8,15 109:7 funds 98:19 99:14,15 99:22 109:5 Furstenberg 22:9,19 23:1,5,6,9 25:4,6,8,9 25:11,13 26:5,11,14 26:20 27:6,10,19 28:19 29:3,21 30:3 31:17 32:17 33:6,11 35:20 36:16.17 38:11 39:2,11 40:10 41:13 48:18 123:7 129:3 **further** 98:19

### G

**gain** 94:1 **Gaither** 113:16 game 46:16 **Gant** 128:18 129:15 Gary 1:12,14 3:11 12:16 14:6 **gather** 42:16 gathering 91:14 general 1:20 2:7 14:5,8 14:13 17:16,18,21 22:16 23:20 41:15 48:11,13 62:4 63:22 64:16 65:3,15 67:5 72:7 76:19 79:3,15 82:15,22 92:9 96:21 97:15 98:8 100:3 102:15 104:9 106:14 110:6 111:6,14 112:17 115:3,8,11,21 116:2,13 118:7,8,13 118:15 119:1,14,16 120:9,15 121:7 127:10 128:13 132:19 133:4,8 generate 72:5

finance 2:9 12:5 16:11

117:12 118:9,14

financial 117:21 118:3

120:8,20

21:13 97:16,17 98:6

103:3,10,14,15 112:9

116:14

fair 12:7 44:11 68:21

99:22 103:16,20

104:4 105:4,7,13

106:3 107:4,12,22

76:22 83:18 99:13,17

generates 66:13

II			
getting 18:21 29:19	half 69:4 106:6,15	hold 38:12	inaccuracy 39:17
34:2 47:7,8 60:15	107:7,16 108:4,13	holding 104:7	124:16
122:13 126:6	hand 49:3,7 88:11 92:8	Hollman 8:12 50:15	inaccurate 27:4,20 28:9
<b>Gill</b> 1:15 3:15,15 4:2,12	122:8 134:14	HOLMAN 1:19	28:12 29:8 30:6,8
7:21 9:4 29:11 30:1,1	handling 121:19 132:10	honest 130:11	33:22 34:18 35:4,6
30:2,3 31:1,10 33:7	hands 75:10 134:15	honor 5:4	124:13
33:10 40:15,17,22	happen 10:1 90:22	honored 9:10,11	inactive 35:11 93:16,19
41:3 45:9 59:10 61:13	happened 19:13 84:13	honoring 5:10	inadvertently 132:5
61:19,22 62:7,18	86:15	hope 21:4	inappropriately 101:16
96:17 97:14 101:3	happening 89:18	hopefully 5:5 89:21	include 84:10 98:4 99:3
103:1 121:5 134:22	happens 102:19	hoping 130:13	included 6:7 118:16
Gill's 68:9	happy 28:20 63:4	hour 6:20	including 7:2 49:22
<b>Girard</b> 133:14	hard 16:14 18:18 21:10	hours 127:4	103:15 109:20
give 10:17 18:5 23:2	45:20 47:6,13 48:4	House 6:8	inclusion 49:19
27:14 34:10 71:3	56:10 59:22 63:8 77:4	houses 27:21 28:4	inconclusive 66:2,13
82:20 83:16	83:17 90:4	how's 21:14,15	inconsequential 73:17
given 23:14 30:19 36:8	Haresign 113:12	huge 47:21 75:16	incorporates 57:16
36:12 43:4,9 60:22	Harnett 128:14,21	Hugh 113:11	58:17,19 59:5
70:4 73:19 74:19,20	129:1,3,4,5,7	hullabaloo 16:6	incorrect 97:2
133:2 134:9,10	Harry 119:8,11,11	hung 134:18	increase 54:4
<b>gives</b> 99:21	120:4		incredible 8:15 13:22
giving 21:6 26:8 36:2	health 99:19	I	incredibly 9:1
50:20	healthy 77:3	<b>I1B</b> 31:19	incumbent 45:21
glad 26:22 58:9 67:10	hear 5:8 14:1,2 23:5	idea 126:13 127:2	indefinitely 124:7
gloat 10:18	25:8 42:21 45:4 62:10	131:14	independent 111:15
goal 95:20 125:11	62:21,22 85:3 89:1,2	identical 69:5	indicate 104:16 105:19
goals 49:21	89:7 92:9	identify 126:8	109:19 110:3 112:4,6
God 13:5	heard 25:16,21 33:20	imagine 19:18	indicated 33:14 41:15
goodbye 9:6	75:19 89:19 122:18	immaterial 57:11,20	50:19 58:2 72:8 94:14
Goode 120:3	hearing 22:7 55:17,19	73:13 74:5	95:1 individual 31:20 101:13
Gore 129:17 Goulet 115:16	80:16 81:11,12 88:4 92:7 122:1 125:18	immediately 127:10 impacted 57:3	125:6 133:1
government 1:1 15:8,8	134:2,2,11,19	imperfect 44:13 46:14	individually 8:9
98:10,12,20 99:11	hearings 55:16 118:20	49:18	individuals 38:4 71:21
grab 13:14	132:21	imperfections 43:11	134:7
graded 17:14,15	Heather 128:12	implementation 12:7	Industry 75:14
<b>Graham</b> 115:11	height 6:18	implemented 57:4	inefficiency 87:2
Grant 114:7	heightened 61:1	implications 50:7	127:18
granted 89:3 111:4,12	held 64:17 92:18 94:8	importance 48:21	inefficient 87:21
grateful 10:7	94:20 133:6 134:12	important 16:3,4 18:4	informal 118:20
<b>Gray</b> 129:20	<b>Hello</b> 85:19	43:21 49:7,21 56:14	information 23:13 31:6
greatest 43:18	help 53:3 74:22	59:16 60:3 61:6 79:2	43:8 55:9 65:21 70:3
<b>Green</b> 128:15	helped 61:4 90:14	110:8 123:14 124:9	71:4 93:13 96:9
<b>Greenfield</b> 1:15 3:17,18	helpful 65:9	124:21	103:13 104:11,22
9:15 41:9 47:1,2	helping 8:21	importantly 8:17	105:16,17 108:20
60:11 64:5 96:19	Henderson 101:20,21	imposed 119:2,3,4,6,7	110:14 117:2 118:17
97:15	Henry 113:2	imposes 99:12	130:16
group 86:14 99:9,11	hey 87:10,19	impounds 118:21	informational 70:17,21
groups 99:6	hi 12:3 22:22 high 78:10	impressed 91:22	initial 53:7,9
guess 9:4 12:16 18:11 22:5 28:22 29:16	highlighted 92:20	improve 45:5 49:15	initially 52:17 65:9 initiated 117:16
58:12 59:12 74:22	highly 9:1	50:5 61:4 improvements 96:13	initiative 48:19 50:1,17
85:8 123:5	Hill 85:21	improvements 90.13	50:21 51:5,18 52:9,16
guidance 9:17 12:6	Hillcrest 122:11	in-between 34:6	52:18 54:17 55:4 59:7
guided 6:19 7:11	hindsight 68:19 85:2	in-person 95:3 96:3	62:15 63:19 64:15
<b>GW</b> 46:5	history 58:20	126:10 133:7	67:6 76:3 79:17 81:21
	hit 48:4 68:13	inaccuracies 34:18	82:10,11,20 83:1,6,12
н	Holcomb 129:12	35:12	83:13 84:4 86:3 88:3
••			

libraries 125:21 88:7 89:22 90:2 116:4 item 97:1 101:9 121:7 **Kirby** 113:17 121:9 125:20 126:9 Kline 63:14,16 64:6,8,9 life 14:16 18:7 J initiative's 85:14 67:19,21 69:18 81:5 likelihood 66:9 Jack 114:12 insignificant 57:11 87:6 88:4 likewise 59:6 instance 46:10 77:18 **Jaffe** 129:21 knew 42:7 68:11 limbo 46:9 Institute 17:14 **James** 128:14,21 129:1 knowable 44:4,8 68:13 **Linda** 129:20 **instruct** 27:13 **knowing** 68:16 86:16 line 18:19 19:1,15 30:18 129:3,4,5,6 instructions 38:4 Janice 113:6 knowledge 41:5 67:6 126:2 insufficient 102:3 known 4:22 42:9,11,14 Linequa'dominique **January** 23:10 24:20 integrity 7:10 11:11 32:7,9 36:18 37:3,7 44:8 130:22 129:6 130:2 intend 104:19 knows 12:11 13:5 14:17 37:11 41:20,22 43:3 lines 18:9,14 125:21 link 99:7 intention 133:3 57:5,5,7,10,14,18 15:21 interacting 6:1 Krucoff 108:8 linking 99:5 59:16 62:13 68:2,4,7 interactions 6:4 68:16 69:3,4,7,14 kudos 16:22 **Lisa** 129:16 interactive 70:13 71:13,14 72:3,3,5 listed 47:9 105:18 interest 13:12 46:1 73:3,8,15 74:12 82:14 116:10,17 117:13 102:8 128:9 82:16 94:9 102:4 L 106:10 118:5 128:9 interested 131:22 133:8 117:8 118:1 lack 45:22 listen 126:4 interesting 59:13 73:14 Jason 101:11 listening 50:1 55:15 **lady** 19:4 interference 39:15 **Jenkins** 129:6,17 130:2 127:22 lag 43:8 63:19 70:3 81:8 **Jersey** 17:22 Lamont 63:6 lists 31:8,12 40:18 119:22 **Jimmie** 114:8 129:11 land 68:7 43:17,18,22 45:17,20 internal 76:14 82:5 **job** 13:6,22 16:17 19:17 language 7:7 66:4 71:1 46:10,13 49:12 68:15 Internet 99:5 125:10 21:2,3 22:1 34:4,8,12 82:10,11 123:13 litigation 101:10 interpretive 120:16 47:17 49:3,6 laptop 94:3 interrupt 71:17 **John** 79:20 85:19 113:5 Large 112:18,21 115:11 little 34:7 36:19 42:5 intervene 79:21 80:9,12 122:9 largely 58:17 47:19 62:12 66:1 80:14,18 81:16 Johnson 113:17 114:12 **larger** 66:10 live 63:5 90:12 intervenor 89:2 129:8 lived 28:7 30:11 34:14 largest 45:19 interviews 6:3 ioin 122:12 late 20:6 57:4 111:3 lives 86:9 124:5 125:6 introduce 3:14 **Joseph** 114:12 latest 74:13 living 86:4 92:20 **June** 32:7 40:3 65:14 invalid 44:14 law 59:9 65:4 75:4 local 112:14 investigations 120:14 82:8 85:15 93:11 94:6 lawyer 29:5 location 95:8,11 **invite** 13:14 94:7,8,16,22 95:2,3,4 **lawyers** 76:21 locked 57:8 lays 77:22 78:6 **invited** 104:18 95:5 101:19 105:10 Lockridge 114:11 involved 10:5 15:2 106:4,12 107:5,13 leaders 20:19,20 21:1 long 5:11 14:14 18:3,9 88:20 100:6 125:1 108:1 122:17 123:2 **leadership** 7:15 11:19 39:4 44:6 101:11 **involves** 101:12 127:19 20:1,4,19 123:17 125:8 134:19 involving 99:1 Juneteenth 95:4 leading 77:11 long-45:15 irresponsible 91:19 jurisdictions 17:15 learn 126:17 longer 43:13 79:8 Isabella 115:22 led 66:16 124:19 Κ **issuance** 110:11 left 10:10 46:9 Longfellow 90:12 **issue** 22:6,16 31:13 **K-L-I-N-E** 64:9 legal 37:2 75:1 86:3,17 look 14:9 65:10,17 39:5 40:17 42:5 46:5 87:6 88:6 102:8 **K&H** 94:16 69:15,16 71:9,12 48:6,19 55:18 57:12 110:17 122:18 75:20,22 124:8 127:7 Karen 23:2,7 25:2 58:16 59:13,18 60:21 130:16 131:14,17 **Karim** 115:14 legislation 97:20 100:1 62:11,12 64:11 67:21 **Karyn** 1:15 3:17 9:14 legitimate 127:8 looked 17:10 65:7 79:5 69:17 73:18 74:6 75:6 Lenequia'dominique 14:17,17 41:7 47:1 126:11 79:11,14,17 80:9,22 129:17 looking 91:9 125:22 60:10 82:15 85:15 86:21 looks 122:8 keenly 131:21 leniency 84:22 88:12 92:3 99:2 123:1 **keep** 34:8,9,12 36:13 let's 31:17 125:4 **Lori** 22:9 25:9 129:3 43:6,22 45:20 47:18 letter 22:14 40:7 44:17 123:16 124:20 126:7 lot 8:15 13:20 14:17,18 issued 101:16 102:5 14:19 19:11 44:6 65:21 74:9 78:13 66:19 85:6 117:10 108:19 110:1,13 122:19 letters 117:18 118:2 47:13 49:12 55:14 117:1,10,19 118:2,20 **Ken** 119:18 **letting** 127:17 56:9 60:14 63:10 level 52:22 56:4 57:4 **issues** 50:7 62:4 67:16 Kenvan 121:20 128:13 86:15 88:16 91:20,20 88:20 100:5 117:3 58:8 125:16 lots 17:10,10 **kept** 17:2 134:4 **Libertarian** 119:5 129:7 **lottery** 94:19 key 66:3

love 13:11 lower 49:5 **Ludlow** 119:18 lunch 134:20 Luz 129:9 **Lye** 128:12 **Lyon** 114:18 128:22 M ma'am 33:12 36:17 38:12

Mach 105:12 mail 6:16 7:2.2 45:19 46:3 93:11 94:14 95:9 95:12,14 124:18 mailed 93:9 94:18 Mailhouse 94:17 mailing 94:21,22 104:20 main 21:18 maintain 43:18 70:12 maintaining 47:7 maintenance 35:10 93:17 **major** 6:6,13 **Majors** 129:5,7 making 16:9,14,19 59:1 76:18 98:13 Management 53:4 110:14 118:17 manager 110:3,11 mandating 74:18 mandatory 99:18 109:3 manner 66:22 122:4 133:17 **maps** 91:9 March 4:8 23:18 33:1 55:18 56:8,13,16 58:6 66:21 82:19 85:1 92:13 93:7,19 94:10 94:11 95:20 102:18 103:10,17,17,18,18 103:19,21 106:2,11 107:1,3,12,22 108:8 108:10,16,19 109:5,9 110:15,22 111:8,16 111:21,22 112:4,22 113:2,5,6,7,8,11,12 113:16,18,21 114:2,3 114:6,7,8,13,16 115:10,12,13,14,17 115:17,18,22 116:3,6 116:15,20 117:4,11 117:17,19,20 118:3 118:14 119:9,13 120:13 Margaret 113:7

73:11 **Marshall** 115:14 Martinez-Munoz 129:9 Mary 109:9 129:19 Massachusetts 75:12 81:18 mat 72:7 matching 99:15,22 105:8 106:8,16 107:9 107:18 108:5,14 maters 11:4 matter 22:17 42:5 64:2 65:15 69:20 75:4,5 79:21 80:5,12,13,15 80:18 89:19 101:11 101:12,18,20 102:4,6 102:7 121:22 122:1 133:4,18,22 135:5 matters 2:5,11 4:16,17 49:2 55:10 62:2 79:15 92:9 110:5 120:10 Matthew 107:20,21 mayor 5:14 6:10 22:11 23:11 25:17 31:9,21 40:2 41:17 97:21 99:20 112:16 128:14 129:4.10 **McDuffie** 128:13 McDuffie's 121:21 132:11 McLaughlin 115:12 **Meadows** 114:11 129:12 mean 31:3 32:15 37:19 58:6 75:14 77:1.18 84:21 85:5 86:14 88:4 88:16 125:18 126:4 128:8 130:17 meaningful 11:8,20 means 6:17 59:4 60:5 81:22 100:9 meant 19:11 measure 50:17,21 51:19,21 52:9 55:4 64:12,15,18 65:1,4,13 measures 128:2 mechanism 84:2 media 6:2 99:4 **Mediary** 104:8 meet 51:7 meeting 1:6,11 3:6,8,10 4:7 8:7 55:21 90:20 104:12 125:13 133:7 134:11

meetings 5:22,22 45:19

91:8 103:12,13

member 1:15,15 3:15

meets 59:7

9:4,15 29:11 30:1,14 31:10 33:7,10 40:15 40:17,22 41:3,9 45:9 47:2 59:10 60:11 61:13,19,22 62:7,18 64:5 85:20 96:17,19 101:3 103:1 106:5 107:6,14 108:3,12 121:5 122:11 134:22 members 1:13 3:13 8:10 9:2 15:15 17:5 22:1 24:10 30:11 32:3 40:12 61:8 64:20 96:16 97:14 100:16 104:10 105:19 118:12 memorandum 58:16 59:1 61:6,11 79:22 mention 105:3 106:17 109:4,16 mentioned 94:7 95:14 126:18 131:13 merely 70:16,20 mergers 14:16 **merits** 89:8 met 8:19 method 76:12 77:11 mic 13:14 Michael 4:19,20 5:10,10 5:15,18,20 6:5,12,19 7:4,9,14,17 9:5,7,16 10:3,12 11:1 12:4,16 13:13 21:9 113:12 Michael's 8:11 Mid-way 55:22 **middle** 57:1 Mike 1:15 3:15 Miller 8:12 12:14,15 15:16,19 17:7 mind 19:5,5 68:14 85:10 minimum 51:11,19,22 52:20 69:15 minor 69:2.7 minutes 2:4 4:7,11 21:6 22:2 28:14 45:18 misplaced 87:9 mispronounce 128:16 Mitchel 113:13 model 17:12 33:20,21 modes 92:21 moment 43:5,10 46:18 59:3 68:18 74:19,20 Monash 115:18 Monday 94:12 126:19

Monica 1:19 8:12 10:11

**Monte** 115:18

11:6 17:5 20:8 50:15

3:17 4:2,12 7:14,21

Montgomery 12:2 month 46:20 59:14 92:13 103:10,21 105:12 107:1 108:8 108:16 110:15 112:3 115:10 116:6,19 117:4 118:14 119:9 119:13 120:13 monthly 5:21 months 11:18,20 20:9 21:15 Moore 102:1 moot 73:13 morning 3:4 22:18 23:7 25:5,9 50:19 55:19 63:16 97:13 mote 101:2 motion 40:13,14 41:8 41:11 45:10 48:9 58:13 59:5 60:19 79:21 80:2,8,18 81:16 89:3 102:15 move 4:1,11 7:20 45:7 46:3 47:10 61:10,18 92:8 100:21 134:21 moved 93:18 124:6 125:8 moving 17:4 35:10 41:14 93:15 103:2 **Muhammad** 114:11 129:13 multiple 39:9 Muriel 5:14 Mysiki 130:6

## Ν

name 3:11 23:20 25:6 40:1 63:5 64:6 90:11 97:15 118:12 122:4 names 28:2,3 34:15 36:4 37:4 42:22 43:12 116:9,16 117:13 126:21 127:14 128:8 128:16.17 narrow 66:11 134:4 Nate 105:22 106:1 Nathan 130:1,3,4 national 102:11 112:14 129:19 nearly 6:14 necessarily 74:16 84:18 necessary 42:17 55:21 56:4 58:1 81:12 need 15:18,19 21:3,3 28:14,17 46:3 49:20 56:18 61:17 88:5 needed 10:6 16:18 27:1

margin 58:3 66:12 70:9

29:18 44:20 58:5.8 66:12 68:6 70:20 old 30:17 36:12,14 37:5 **p.m** 135:6 70:6 78:22 84:15 71:11 72:9,12 75:7 70:2 packet 38:3 96:13 81:20 83:8 93:20 **oldest** 30:14 page 76:1 needs 12:17 39:8 84:2 102:3 132:18 pages 27:15,17 52:10 once 57:21 104:14 nefarious 31:6 numbers 22:12 35:2 106:20 99:5 negative 101:17 37:9 58:21 68:2 69:6 one's 132:16 paid 75:9 119:11 negligence 101:14 69:7,17 70:1,2 71:4,9 pandemic 6:19 10:1 ongoing 44:2 109:14 neighborhood 78:17 71:12,14,15 72:6,9,10 117:5,21 126:5 72:21 73:12,16 paper 8:14 101:22 103:12 online 93:21,22 96:2 neighbors 17:20 98:3 99:19 100:16 numerical 52:3 papers 39:6 **neither** 120:10 numerically 51:2,10 onus 124:4 part 7:19 8:3 38:3 46:1 never 15:1 26:6 29:6 55:6 onward 89:15 78:19 81:14 83:4,10 31:3 34:14 47:15 numerous 28:1 open 46:7 62:16 95:2 participate 104:6,18 70:18,18,19 77:12 **NVR-based** 102:13 95:15 120:14 126:2 105:10 107:13 108:1 new 6:15 7:1 17:22 18:5 **NW** 63:6 75:12 81:18 133:6,22 134:2,6 115:3,7 57:2,15 64:1 70:1 opened 95:5 participated 93:1 109:8 0 93:5,8 105:13,16 operation 109:11 132:13 O'Leary 63:4,5 75:20 112:2,19 115:9 operational 105:5 participating 106:2,12 **OAG** 102:17 107:3 108:10 109:12 Nick 85:22 opinion 58:16 59:1 60:22 61:7,11 66:4 Nicolle 114:18 128:21 **object** 81:6 participation 109:2 **night** 10:16 11:1 18:14 objection 80:7 **opinions** 120:16 particular 79:17 85:6 opportunity 83:4,10,16 133:3 20:6 observation 95:4 Nikolas 81:17 obtain 25:18 44:5 **oppose** 88:3 particularly 128:4 **nine** 119:14 **opposed** 100:4 particulars 118:4 **obviously** 44:16 85:13 **nominated** 5:14 8:20 opposition 80:5 99:10 90:7 parties 9:6 39:9 45:14 31:22 occasion 18:15 72:1 opted 130:17 45:16 80:15 134:7.7 nominating 23:4,10 78:8 optional 111:22 party 30:15 32:2,3 36:2 33:3 38:10 133:18 occasions 17:19 order 2:2 35:14 61:11 41:17 43:13 112:14 non-consideration 102:5 occur 94:22 119:5 128:15,21,22 80:8 occurring 64:20 **ordered** 66:14 129:2,4,7 **non-stop** 12:19 **OCF** 21:21 62:4 79:15 ordering 102:5 pass 13:13 86:11 normally 130:15 134:1 98:3 99:18 116:7 orders 118:21 passed 8:6 56:1 82:21 Northwest 64:9 90:12 **OCF's** 97:8 organization 14:13 85:10 100:2 133:14 office 12:4 21:13 22:11 15:2,10,13 16:8 17:1 passes 48:17 83:6 17:3 20:3 21:22 28:9 notable 92:17 23:11,14 24:2 28:20 patience 92:4 patient 103:4 **note** 80:7 110:8 31:21,22 34:10 39:7 133:13 noted 53:7 58:21 69:20 40:2 41:16 53:4 97:16 organizations 14:21,22 Patricia 107:10 128:15 92:6 97:17 99:8 102:14 **Ouray** 19:2 Patterson 119:21 120:1 **notice** 1:12 120:12 103:9,14 106:5,7,13 outcome 57:12 59:17 Paul 112:21 113:17 134:8.10 107:6,14,17 108:2,11 73:17 74:5,10 pay 82:11,13,19 83:18 notification 117:10 outlined 77:20 paying 61:3 110:6,11 111:6,14 112:20 113:1,3,9,14 outreach 6:2 92:13,14 payment 101:13 106:7 118:1 **notified** 130:18,20,22 113:19,22 114:4,9,14 92:15 106:15,19 107:8,17 114:17 115:10,15,20 outstanding 120:11 131:2,4 108:5.14 **notify** 127:9 **Overall** 75:14 payments 101:17 105:8 116:1,5,13 118:8,8,13 106:9,16 107:9,18 **notion** 74:15 118:15,19 119:1,10 overhaul 6:20 overriding 67:13 notwithstanding 45:2,6 119:14,15 120:8,14 108:5,14 November 65:16 67:7 120:19 124:18 132:20 oversaw 6:5,12 7:4 pegged 74:21 85:15 87:9,12,17,22 offices 6:11 63:9 100:5 overturn 39:22 83:11 penalized 83:19 98:7 122:20 people 8:17 13:10 14:9 104:8 112:14,16,18 overview 51:12,15 nuance 124:2 official 29:12 31:19 owe 58:10 15:6 17:3,10 18:3,4 number 14:21 20:21 43:2 46:19 57:8 59:14 owed 58:10 18:13,16,17,21 19:17 Owolewa 129:21 23:13,16,17,19 24:1 20:6 21:13 27:2 28:3 59:19,20 64:13 68:1,7 Oye 119:6 129:20 28:4 34:13,14 43:12 29:6,9,18 32:13 35:1 70:14,17,20,22 73:16 37:12 38:7,21 42:8,18 74:13,21 102:9 44:6 50:1 55:17 56:9 Ρ 44:4,8 45:1 46:18 officially 68:15 84:16 88:2,7 91:20 49:14 50:17 51:11,20 officials 6:1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 123:8,11,15 124:3,7 52:15 53:1 59:2 60:15 offline 100:18 124:11,14,17,19 3:1

	1		1	1
125:2,14,22 1	26:1,8 Pixley 11	4:12	<b>pre-</b> 81:10	123:9
126:18 127:1,		19,22 40:1	pre-hearing 133:21	procedural 58:20
percent 24:13 2		1:21 56:8 57:8	134:3,9	procedure 41:5 51:3
31:11 32:2,11		7:3 68:8 84:14	precise 68:6	52:21 86:10 127:6
32:21 33:18 3		131:1,5	precursor 58:13	132:9
38:15 39:18 4	,	, .	preferably 42:18	procedures 35:9 52:12
42:2,3,10 43:4		3:20 83:1 84:5	preliminarily 127:7	58:20 87:3,11,21 89:5
47:6,15 49:3,4			preliminary 4:16 22:8	proceed 103:7 122:3,4
52:1,20 56:4 5		'·3 65·14	34:19 39:22 90:6	133:17 134:1
72:11 78:9,13	<del>-</del>	23:1 127:20	109:22	proceeding 134:6
86:9 124:15,1			prepare 94:6,17	proceedings 120:17
perfect 43:3,4,1			prepared 71:3	133:5
44:6 47:6,15 4	·		prepares 97:18	process 35:13,16 37:1
123:2	<del>-</del>	53:4,20 54:16	preparing 69:22 93:10	40:9 49:11 51:13,16
perfectly 19:13	104:1	00.1,2001.10	prescribed 120:12	51:17 53:4 54:8,21
period 6:12 7:4		20	present 1:13,17 3:20	55:15 56:14 65:19
45:15 66:17 9			5:3 22:19 25:12 51:18	76:15 77:16,16,20
94:11 104:14		14 27:10 40:16	103:13 134:8	78:1,6,19 79:7 81:11
periodic 117:7,	•	3:6,6 128:15	presentation 116:8	84:15 88:16 89:5
periods 19:15 2		*	preserved 75:8 80:9	91:15 92:5 94:2,5,10
permit 100:8,21	<del>-</del>	15:14 17:6	81:1 124:1	96:10 103:22 131:22
person 11:2,3 1			president 6:8	132:9
49:10 83:7 86		3 55:10 60:6	presiding 1:12	processed 93:8
132:16		73:13 74:9	pressed 60:1	processes 18:6
person's 125:7		2:22 85:13	pretty 58:3 134:19	processing 93:5
personally 8:20	89:17 1	03:22 109:19	previously 95:14	produce 66:2
personnel 36:2		114:21 127:12	<b>price</b> 69:11	producing 93:6
perspectives 39	9:9 131:4		primaries 94:6	program 12:11 16:15
pertains 81:14	pointed 1	23:10 126:4	primary 17:15,17 18:9	16:20 100:1 103:16
pertinent 31:15	62:10 <b>points</b> 40	:8	22:10 23:20 24:2	103:16,20 104:4,17
petition 23:4 24		22	31:13,20 32:7 40:4	105:4,14 106:3 107:4
26:13 27:15 3		32:3 98:1,13	41:17,20 42:1 63:12	107:13 108:1 109:3
33:1,3 35:17 3	37:20 99:6,9, <sup>2</sup>	1 110:21	63:22 64:16 65:2,10	111:7,20 112:9,19
39:1 50:16,20	51:2,5 117:22		67:1 75:19,21 76:2,5	115:4,6 116:18 117:5
51:6,9,12,16,1			76:17 82:7 83:1,5	programs 103:14
52:5,9,11,14 5			86:22 87:11 93:11	progress 43:20 109:21
54:6,11,12,20			94:7,16 95:22 105:10	progressively 66:10
66:20 81:21 8			106:4,13 107:5,14	prohibit 98:9
88:18 101:21			108:2,11 109:13	prohibition 98:20
126:14		22 94:4 96:2	112:10,21 113:1,4,10	promise 90:7
petitions 22:10			113:15,20 114:1,5,10	pronounced 128:18
23:18 38:10 4		25:12 68:1	114:15,18,22 115:6	properly 81:7
47:21 48:1 56		15.40	115:16	proposed 95:9 97:1
94:9 119:15,1 <b>Phil</b> 107:2			principle 67:14 109:10	100:6,12
Phillip 107:1	positively	43:16,19 44:1	110:20 111:8,21 119:12	<b>proposer</b> 63:1,3 75:20 89:22
philosophical 6		0:6 56:15	principles 98:9	proposers 58:1 61:2
philosophies 1		19:18 92:7	printed 71:20	65:8 67:11 68:10,11
phone 20:11 94			prior 41:20 54:7,10	75:6
phonetic 114:10			56:21 57:6 74:16	proud 18:1 85:20 92:1
photographs 99		t <b>ion</b> 109:14	private 15:10	provide 21:16 55:9 84:4
physical 7:7	postcard		pro-proxy 69:14	96:11 104:11 105:16
pick 94:8 100:1		05:20 109:18	probably 13:19 18:12	118:7
pick-up 35:17 3		27:4 131:7	18:14,17 19:7 42:2	provided 25:19 31:8
picked 22:9 44:			46:14 62:14 104:12	35:5 36:10 37:9 38:8
piece 8:14	poured 1		121:8 124:15	42:8 54:15 69:22 93:2
Pimienta 115:22			problem 36:20 37:1,2,2	provides 31:19 67:2
pitched 56:12	practice		87:4 90:19 91:11	82:11 98:17
'	"			
11				

provision 99:3 102:13 recommendations races 104:3,5 105:1 45:3 48:22 49:9.16 provisions 98:5,9 raise 67:22 82:11,13,19 58:14 122:12 52:4,6,13 70:13,14 72:19 93:3,4,7,9,10 public 2:11 3:5 8:7 83:18 121:10,13 recommended 53:20 raised 57:12 75:10 15:11 55:9 58:11 62:2 reconstruct 69:3 93:13,14,21,22 62:5,11,17 75:9 79:16 88:11 122:8 130:10 record 5:7 25:7 40:6 102:11 114:20 123:18 92:2 96:11 97:5 99:19 134:14 61:10 64:7 77:12 80:7 125:15 131:19,21 100:17 102:7,13 **raises** 83:5 84:10 102:6 106:18 registrations 43:7 93:6 ran 14:20,22 78:14 105:19 110:13 118:17 133:10 135:6 112:6 121:7,14 124:22 random 52:21 53:9 recorded 3:7.9 registry 41:19 43:2 57:8 125:12 127:11 132:3 54:21 56:3 65:22 66:1 recording 91:2 68:8 133:8,22 134:10,16 66:9 77:10,19 78:7 records 32:4 33:14 regs 91:16 publication 68:12 79:9,9 117:7,17 36:14 68:1 102:10,13 regularly 3:10 100:14,22 randomly 53:5 110:14 117:21 118:3 **regulation** 8:6 74:15 publicly 56:11 rare 60:21 123:5 118:17 77:21 78:12 publish 97:3 rate 124:16 recruit 95:20 regulations 65:18 97:8 re- 54:7 84:12 **published** 52:4 68:8,15 recruiting 95:18 97:20 69:1 70:21 71:10,18 re-argue 80:4 recruitment 92:15 reimbursement 98:18 **Red** 120:5 82:2 84:6 112:5 re-districting 84:12 reject 65:20 reduced 49:6 120:19 re-requested 69:21 rejected 53:11 102:2 **Reed** 129:18 publishing 70:16 re-review 56:7 rejection 54:19 purchased 99:17 re-Tweeting 99:7 **referenced** 51:5 71:5 related 66:11 98:21 reach 58:7 78:17 referendum 83:7,10 relationship 20:13 **purge** 43:17 purpose 97:9 reached 66:7 90:5 referrals 111:5,14 relative 17:11 purposes 66:22 70:21 reaching 8:21 116:12 118:16,16,18 relevant 81:13 read 5:7 7:19 31:17 referred 78:1 84:11 relies 70:22 98:21 pursuant 1:12 31:16 58:18 66:3 74:17 110:5 remain 91:22 100:12 remaining 112:15 37:12 64:13 72:1 126:21 127:14 130:11 reflected 70:1 put 63:11 86:21 130:13 reading 67:8 128:11 Reform 98:6 remedies 86:19 130:20 readjust 56:18 regard 12:10 44:17 45:6 remember 18:15 19:7 puts 45:4 124:4 reads 64:14 61:18 66:4 90:6 92:10 90:20.21 **putting** 76:19 ready 58:7 96:5 134:20 regarding 35:10 50:3 reminder 48:20 real 44:15 53:12 71:4 78:3 79:17 remiss 91:18 Q realized 56:14 80:14 94:5 121:19,20 remit 99:14,15 qualifications 78:2 reason 21:18 71:19 132:10 remitted 109:4,6,10 regardless 7:6 132:12 76:4 85:9 132:12 remove 49:8 124:14 qualified 39:8 64:18,21 reasons 66:11 regards 93:4 **removed** 123:12 recalculation 99:21 104:15 **Regina** 114:12 removing 49:11 recall 26:8 55:17 Renee 113:17 qualify 22:13 75:18 register 71:10 100:9,10 qualifying 52:16 75:15 101:21 100:14,22 **Rep** 129:5 question 16:7 21:17,19 receipts 108:18 111:1 registered 24:18 28:6,7 repeal 83:12 26:17,19,21 27:19 111:10,17 112:1 30:7,9,12,21 31:3 replacement 17:7 32:3 34:21 38:16,18 28:8 29:4,4 40:15 116:15,21 reply 102:17 receive 27:20 38:13,17 76:8 77:3 122:21 43:13 46:6 51:4,11,20 report 2:6,7,9 23:3 38:19 79:22 50:14,20 62:5 96:14 127:16 51:22 52:2 53:2 54:3 questions 10:6 24:10 received 17:18 22:13 54:13 71:11 93:7,18 96:21 102:20 103:3 22:14 23:9,13 24:15 94:4,15,18 112:3,10 105:18,20 109:1,17 24:11 25:2,14,15 26:21 41:7,10 55:8 26:4,7,11,12,12 27:2 112:12,15,16,22 109:17 110:12,15,18 62:14 96:16,17,19 27:17 28:15 32:16 113:2,5,6,7,8,11,13 110:19 111:1,9,17 102:22 103:1 121:4,4 37:3 38:2 40:21 61:1 113:16,18,21 114:1,2 112:1,2 116:10,10,15 94:12 109:21 110:2 116:17 117:9 118:1,5 121:5 114:6,7,8,13,16,19 quick 3:21 4:6 10:2 110:18 118:15 115:2,5,6,7,12,13,14 118:7 120:18,19 29:11 31:10 128:2 recognize 60:4 91:7 115:16,17,18,22 reported 59:6 116:3,5 124:4 reports 62:4 93:7 quite 20:7 67:2 74:11 recognizes 7:17 91:19,19 recognizing 46:13,15 108:18 112:5 116:18 registering 94:1 recommend 86:20 Quorum 2:3 registrants 53:21 116:21 117:12,18 quote 44:14 73:16 recommendation 48:14 112:20 115:9 118:18 51:9 55:4 57:16 Registrar 23:1,8 represent 79:20 87:15 R 100:20 registration 6:2 35:15 107:16

representation 87:6 representative 62:19 112:13 119:6,9 Representatives 6:9 129:21 represented 53:22 102:14 **republic** 114:15 Republican 22:10 23:12 24:2 25:17 28:6 30:15,20 31:9 32:1 36:2,9 42:1 45:12 114:14,17 123:7 128:20,22 129:2,4 Republicans 24:19 30:7,9,12,21 31:4 34:21 38:16,19 request 70:20 71:19 88:14 98:22 100:7,20 102:10 127:14 requested 111:3,12 requesting 71:22 97:17 requests 72:2 108:20 117:1 120:15 required 23:13,15,19 24:1 27:16 35:9 42:18 51:11,20,21 52:20,22 66:12 77:15 98:2 104:5 110:20 111:2,9 111:11,18 requirement 26:3 27:14 44:15 46:11 52:3 55:22 requirements 42:16 51:7 59:8 72:12 requisite 59:2 75:6 resend 28:20 resident 5:11 residents 11:12 resolution 5:3,9 7:20 8:8 13:13 20:16 21:5 **resolve** 123:1 resolved 7:16 80:17 88:22 122:2,6 123:17 133:19 Resource 92:22 respect 38:9 73:18 80:12 83:21 92:7 100:19 114:22 117:2 133:17 134:10 respectfully 41:12 45:7 69:18 91:16 respondent 120:10 respondents 119:2,18 response 71:18 72:19 102:16 110:2 responsibilities 69:19 responsibility 42:15

47:20 70:11 responsive 19:9,9 rest 17:13 24:14 85:16 restaurant 75:14 90:16 restricting 132:20,22 restrictions 99:4 result 53:9,12,14 60:16 76:11 78:20,21 88:21 93:17 98:22.22 108:20 resulted 53:18 results 50:16 51:13 54:15 66:3,13 retroactively 69:13 85:1 return 22:12 101:18 124:6 returned 42:6 124:3 125:4 review 4:10 49:14 52:18 54:1 56:12 61:9 66:18 93:12 100:16 110:2 110:10 120:12 reviewed 54:14 66:15 78:3 reviewing 93:15 reviews 76:6 108:17,21 116:20 117:2 revised 96:6 **Richard** 79:20 Ride 92:17 rights 39:21 123:22 road 39:10 Roggensack 113:8 role 5:20 14:4 38:18 roles 18:3 roll 29:7 59:18 60:6 81:16 rolls 35:15,18 39:17 57:15,17 59:13 60:4 69:21 73:15 93:5,15 124:7 125:17 Romanowski 113:6 room 71:3 roughly 24:13 72:10,14 72:15,22 73:22 round 50:3 rounded 37:17 42:4 rounds 49:14 rule 39:18 97:8 rulemaking 97:2,3,4,5 97:10,18 100:6,8,12 100:15,21 rulemakings 100:19 rules 35:19,21 46:2,3 46:16,21 60:2 69:12

75:17 84:6

run 15:3,10,13 16:2

23:10 28:10 39:7 41:16 44:5 45:14 running 25:17 31:8 34:10 39:14 76:11 112:5 124:17 rush 49:19 Ryan 63:5,13 75:20

S

**S** 115:22 116:3 **S.A** 128:21 **safe** 6:21 safeguard 131:20 **same-day** 60:5 123:18 **sample** 52:21 53:5,10 53:13 54:21 66:10 79:9,9 **sampling** 53:3,9,14,17 53:22 54:10,22 55:2 56:3 60:13 65:22 66:2 66:9 76:7,12 77:6,10 77:20 78:7 79:5.11 86:6 Sandra 114:12 Sanford 97:9,12,13,15 101:8 118:10.13 satisfied 120:11 **Saturday** 10:16,22 95:22 **saw** 31:3 saying 4:18 26:22 35:21 90:21 123:11 says 65:12 74:12 76:1 **schedule** 104:19 scheduled 3:10 104:13 121:22 **Schiller** 81:17,18 Schiller's 85:22 **Schwalb** 106:10 scope 133:2 screaming 14:1 scrub 43:17 scrubbing 34:1 **scrubs** 34:16 scrutiny 50:3 **SE** 25:10 second 4:2,6,12 7:21 7:22 15:16 26:18 27:3 45:10 47:4 59:10,11 61:13 71:17 101:3 105:3 134:22 second-half 106:19 seconded 47:3 secondly 87:5 **seconds** 10:18 secret 126:20 **section** 31:19 64:13

74:18 97:22,22 98:4

98:17,19 99:12,18,21 sections 65:11 secure 6:21 seeing 13:4 27:8 47:21 134:15 seeking 47:20 101:14 seen 83:15 select 15:18,19 **selected** 15:15 53:5 selecting 15:14 senior 20:4 92:18 **seniors** 92:22 93:2 sent 27:4 28:20 separate 80:13 sequential 60:8 **series** 56:2 **serious** 123:9 seriously 90:4 95:10 **serve** 11:18 served 5:19 8:17 18:1 service 7:12 20:18,21 **services** 93:3 98:20 serving 11:11 set 22:16 25:14 37:8 58:22 61:6 96:5 settlement 134:3 seven 30:11 45:18 111:11 112:1 **shadow** 112:13 119:8 **share** 13:15 18:12 41:13 shared 43:8 **Shawn** 119:21 **she'd** 20:11,12 23:16 **Shelley** 113:13 shifted 57:18 **shifting** 6:13 69:9 **short** 41:21 45:2 46:20 show 75:4 120:17 **shown** 32:4 **shows** 54:18 side 49:19 67:16 125:18 sign 45:13 74:2 signatories 56:19,20 signature 27:14 53:20 54:12 55:22 66:16 72:11 78:5,21 126:15 signatures 22:13 23:14 23:16,19 24:1,3 25:16 26:1,8 27:1 29:1,19 30:6 31:7 32:10,15 33:16 34:3 36:1,5,7,9 36:12 37:13,19,20 38:7,12 41:4,18 42:4 42:6,11,17 44:4,9,12 44:15 46:8 47:20 48:4 49:4,20 51:4,20 52:12 52:15,19 53:2,6,15

II			
54:1,5,11,14,22 55:1	64:16	<b>Strauss</b> 113:18	<b>system</b> 39:15 49:17
56:7 57:22 58:5 59:2	specific 100:4,4	Street 63:6 64:9 85:20	
66:12,15 70:6,8 75:7	Specifically 42:3	90:12 122:10 133:14	T
75:18 79:6 82:1 83:22	specificity 23:15	streets 68:14	table 80:16
86:5,7,16 87:3 90:14	specifies 37:9	strong 86:3 119:18	tablet 94:2
91:14 102:3 124:12	<b>speech</b> 67:19	<b>Stroud</b> 1:20 10:12 14:8	taken 16:10 95:10
125:19,21 126:6,10	speed 36:5	22:17,18,22 23:22	123:16 124:3
128:3 132:16,18,19	spent 86:15	24:4,7,9 25:5,11	takes 49:13 67:3
signed 8:9,13 32:1,2	spirit 44:17	26:18 30:2 31:15	talk 48:19 59:3 122:13
54:7	<b>Spiva</b> 121:20	32:19 33:9 35:7 37:6	talked 18:12,16 123:4
signers 52:14 54:1,12	Spiva's 132:11	41:15 64:11 65:11	125:2 127:1 132:1
significant 5:21 69:8 Silverman 115:13	spoke 67:22 spot 15:17	71:2,16 72:16 77:9 96:22 100:7 101:9	talking 20:15
similar 85:22	squad 10:15,16	121:2,18,22 130:17	tally 46:20 target 42:12
similarly 53:18	<b>Square</b> 25:10	132:9 133:16	targets 68:14
simply 17:12	staff 1:17 5:22 7:13	struggled 45:14	<b>Tatum</b> 71:3,7,8,16 72:4
Simultaneous 32:18	11:12 12:20 20:4	stuff 13:20	72:20 73:4,6,10,21
103:9	27:12 51:6 63:7	submission 57:6	74:7
sincerely 13:8	108:21	117:12,20	taught 27:12,13
single 6:15 47:9	stages 109:20	<b>submit</b> 33:3 70:8 82:9	team 16:12 20:20 30:10
single-member 102:1	<b>Stamper</b> 128:15	100:18 110:4	30:15 34:2
<b>Sir</b> 39:11	standard 51:3 132:20	submitted 23:4 45:1	tears 19:3
<b>Sirraya</b> 114:7 128:18	standing 13:6	56:8 75:18 82:4 100:9	tease 20:14
129:15	start 4:18 50:16 52:8	100:22	tee 82:3
sit 13:18 18:3	started 84:14	subsequent 52:17	tell 31:4 36:21 47:11
sitting 19:20 127:1	starting 9:6	53:13	49:22
situation 60:22 77:13	starts 47:21	substantive 22:6	telling 35:3
six 5:1 17:17 18:6 45:18	state 25:6 64:6 71:18	success 16:21	tells 78:12 85:11
105:13,16 108:21 111:5	77:11 113:4,10,15,19 113:22 114:5,9	successful 12:11 16:15 16:19 85:17	ten 10:17 20:10 28:8
sizes 66:10	115:20 116:1	suffer 20:7	30:11,17 <b>tension</b> 49:1
small 34:2	stated 26:3,22	suffered 20:7	tentative 94:20
smaller 73:9	statement 110:1	sufficient 22:12 51:2,10	tenure 8:11
snapshot 72:5	<b>States</b> 39:16	53:1 55:6 132:17	term 19:8 45:16
snapshots 71:20 72:2	statistical 54:16 55:2	suit 102:11	terms 5:19 9:21 14:9
<b>Snowden</b> 119:20	66:11 77:10	<b>Suite</b> 64:10	32:1 59:4 67:9 69:17
<b>so-</b> 70:9	statue 70:4	<b>sum</b> 105:7 109:5,10	69:19 78:18 86:13
social 99:4	status 35:11 52:13	summarize 5:5	89:16 115:9 122:5
sold 14:18	93:16,19 101:10	summarizes 8:15	132:17
solutions 7:1	109:16 117:14	summary 51:13 52:8	Terri 1:20 10:11 13:22
solve 87:4	statute 31:16,17 33:13	sums 106:6,14 107:7	14:8 20:7 22:17 65:11
<b>somebody</b> 15:18 43:7 49:8,11	37:8 44:17 63:18 64:1 64:14 66:20 67:2	107:15 108:3,12	121:18 tests 125:22
someone's 127:11	69:20 70:10 71:1	Sunday 10:22 super 7:3	thank 4:15,18,21 8:20
soon 92:6	74:11,14 77:9,17 84:1	Superman 12:19	9:13,16,19 10:8,15,20
sorry 36:6 44:21 45:9	85:6 91:4	supplement 38:6 96:3	11:4,5,8,9,10,11,13
64:5 67:18 77:22 82:9	statutes 67:9 85:4	support 12:18 13:3	11:20 12:5,12,16 13:7
114:19	91:16	20:3 99:10	13:16 19:6 20:2,3
sort 42:21 46:8 69:6	statutorily 76:4	supported 126:9	21:4,5,5,7,8,9,11 24:7
78:4 84:22 85:1 89:13	statutory 51:7 59:7	supporting 9:21	24:21 33:12 35:7,20
134:4	stay 14:3 124:7	supportive 10:4	39:3,10,11 40:4,5,10
sources 47:14	stays 47:14	<b>suppose</b> 73:14 77:2	48:7,17 50:8,18 55:11
Southeast 122:10	step 13:1 78:5	supposed 21:1 29:2,9	55:13,13 56:11 60:12
spark 45:5	steps 88:16 89:16,21	31:3,9 36:13 82:20	60:17 61:4 63:7,13,16
<b>speak</b> 30:7 38:2 63:3,5	Stonewall 119:4	supposedly 28:5 36:11	65:6 67:11 71:8 80:20
64:11	stop 20:15	suppression 39:20 surplus 77:1,3	81:1,9 84:7,8,20,21 88:8,10 91:13 92:3,11
speaking 32:18 103:9 special 1:11 5:22 55:16	stopped 101:17 straight 62:1	Sydelle 102:1	96:15 97:11 101:6,8
<b>Special</b> 1.11 3.22 33.10	January III OZ. I	Sydenic 102.1	30.10 37.11 101.0,0
II			

times 7:10 18:7 20:10 102:21 103:3.11 44:10 55:10 83:22 V 118:10 120:22 121:2 91:7 **Turning** 10:10 96:20 **v** 101:11,20 102:8 122:7 127:21,22 **timing** 67:9 turns 72:14,22 **vaque** 39:18 128:1 130:8 131:10 **Timothy** 113:20 Tweeting 99:7 Valentine 130:6 131:11,18 132:1 **Tingey** 25:10 **two** 5:19 8:10 11:18,20 valid 23:19 33:16,18 13:20 17:21 20:9 133:9,16 134:13,16 tip 50:21 55:5 83:5 34:9 38:12,13,15,18 134:17 tipped 82:12 83:16 25:14 26:20 31:12 42:3 43:1 52:19 53:1 thanked 18:19,20 tips 38:9 37:16 81:19,20 86:19 66:14 thankful 14:3 title 77:22 111:12,13,13 117:16 validate 79:6 thanking 39:14 today 3:9 22:6,15 27:1 117:21 125:5 validated 54:15 thanks 41:6 135:3 40:4 64:20 65:13 67:4 two-party 39:15 **validation** 53:21 54:12 76:17 85:8 88:17 things 9:5,18,20 13:20 two-step 77:22 76:14 77:8 14:15 15:4 17:11 89:14 120:21 122:14 two-week 66:17 validations 76:10 19:10,14 81:19 86:1 122:18 123:5,11 **Tyrell** 129:11 **validity** 132:18 122:16 126:2 128:2 126:17 valuable 91:15 thinks 8:22 U told 18:18 20:22 26:6 value 98:12 third 106:22 30:19 35:22 36:3 **U.S** 112:13 129:5 Van 114:16 **Thomas** 107:2 113:21 60:21 ultimate 58:21 131:20 various 92:21 109:20 tolerance 49:17 119:8,11,12 129:22 ultimately 14:4 verification 50:16,20 130:4 **Tomkin** 113:14 unanimous 8:2,7 51:12,16,17 54:21 **Thompson** 1:12,14 3:3 **Tommy** 119:8,11 unanimously 5:15 78:5,21 127:18 3:8,12,16,19 4:3,6,13 top 75:22 UNC-Duke 8:4 verify 86:8 4:15,22 7:22 8:2,5 total 52:6,14,19 71:11 unconstitutional 39:19 verifying 51:4 52:13 9:13 10:10 11:6 12:1 93:9 94:12 105:7 underlying 42:21 86:4 87:3 12:13 13:9.17 21:8 109:5 understand 42:15 47:5 versus 126:9 22:20 24:12.16.18.21 totals 52:4 75:7 76:8,14 81:10 Video-Teleconference 25:2.20 28:22 29:4 tour 15:16 88:15 127:8 1:12 39:2 40:5,11,16 41:7 tracking 76:9 77:7 understanding 32:22 view 44:13 41:11 47:1 48:7,13,16 traditional 103:15 understood 60:1 viewing 56:20 50:10,13 55:12 60:10 104:16 112:8,19 **unexpended** 99:14.15 Villareal 129:8 60:20 61:14,16,21 114:22 115:1 116:18 unfair 48:3 66:1 83:6 virtual 3:18 21:10 104:1 62:3,9,22 63:13 65:6 117:5 unfortunately 20:6 **VOICE** 3:6 68:5 71:6 73:2,5,7,11 train 95:20 44:18 45:1 78:15 volunteer 90:13,16 74:3,8 77:19 79:13 trained 96:1 **unhappy** 126:13 volunteered 125:1 81:4,9 84:21 88:10,15 training 95:19 96:2,3 uniform 70:12 vote 6:13 7:2,3,5 8:7 90:10 91:13 96:15,18 98:3 99:19 109:3 **United** 39:16 46:6 67:16 74:22 76:2 transferred 82:16 96:20 97:11 101:2,4,6 **universe** 53:22 54:4,5 90:5 94:1 95:1,5,9,12 transition 8:22 102:21 103:2,6 54:10,22 66:14 79:10 95:13.16 96:5 123:21 120:22 121:3,6,15 transmitted 119:14 unjust 101:15 voted 64:21 70:19 122:7 131:10 132:6 120:8 unknowable 68:22 82:15 125:4 133:9,15 134:13 transportation 92:21 UNKNOWN\_MALE voter 6:1 35:14,17 135:1,3 **Trantham** 112:21 48:11 38:18 39:17,20 43:2 thought 30:16 32:20 **Trayon** 129:10 unprecedented 66:8 47:12 48:18,21 49:9 treasurer 75:13 108:22 61:5 86:6 131:6 78:18 49:15 52:13 54:13 three 3:19 6:6 8:8 48:17 116:17 **up-to-** 38:15 69:21 70:13,14 71:19 54:19 55:20 111:3,5 treasurers 98:1 116:9 **up-to-date** 36:11 71:22 72:18 73:15 111:18,18 115:2 treasury 109:3 116:7 **upbeat** 21:18 92:12,13 93:2,4,5,6 tremendously 12:11 118:18 upcoming 117:6,15 93:10,13,14,17,21,22 three-member 3:12 Tricia 107:11 update 35:14 93:12 94:15 102:11 124:4 tried 14:2 5:16 58:15 94:5 124:9 125:15 125:17 131:18 three-year 5:19 true 46:18 **updated** 31:4 43:7 voters 6:22 16:4,6,10 threshold 42:19 58:2 try 43:16,18,22 45:5 45:20 46:4 47:7,15,18 19:9 23:2,8 24:14 78:10 49:15 50:5 122:19 32:13 35:11 41:19 throw 31:1,7 35:2 trying 9:18 19:10 29:17 use 57:17 74:12 98:20 43:6 51:12 52:1,2 44:6 47:17 48:1,2,21 time-frame 65:1 123:14,14 53:2,17 54:3 67:7,12 timely 66:22 89:11 67:16 user 96:8 67:17 71:11 73:1 74:2 110:20 111:3,11,18 turn 22:5 25:3 50:14 usually 62:5 132:15 76:1 82:21 83:3,9,16 112:2 116:14 131:2 turned 27:17 37:20 utilizing 7:1 85:15 88:1 93:8,12,15

Wright 114:2 **130** 35:2 93:18,20,21 94:4,18 127:4 128:6,6,10 95:15 104:21,21 130:12 131:5 write 71:22 **1327** 133:13 123:9 125:3 126:4,8 websites 99:6 writing 26:6 122:22 **134** 2:11,13 Wednesday 1:8 4:8 126:12 127:17 **13th** 100:13 voters' 51:4,20 week 44:6 82:18 110:13 written 60:21 61:11 **14** 118:3 votes 6:14,16 weekend 90:15,15 144th 32:5,6 41:20 66:4 voting 6:17 7:8 39:21 weekends 34:3 wrong 25:22 31:6 83:19 **14th** 116:1 **15** 21:7 92:16 112:14 45:17 60:5 95:2,3,16 weekly 12:9 89:6 91:9 welcome 3:5 4:20 21:9 wrote 36:19 117:1 W 24:8 25:1 50:9,12 **150** 53:15,17 Χ **1500** 18:15 **wait** 19:15 81:3 welcoming 4:18 waiting 18:20 19:5 62:1 **153,261.39** 109:6 well-run 21:21 15th 100:11 103:18 85:9 Walk 92:18 went 27:21 28:5 59:20 107:3,12 114:17 year 15:1 99:2 **walked** 18:13 67:19 96:8 122:16 115:19 years 5:1 7:11,15,18 walking 19:1 44:21 135:6 **1600** 18:16 10:14 14:19,20,21 Wanda 114:11 weren't 29:14 58:7 18:6 28:8 30:12,16,17 **1665** 63:5 wanted 4:18 5:2 14:12 whichever 32:4 41:22 you-all 26:8 16th 94:21 18:11 80:6,22 84:9,19 White 129:10 **17** 110:9 **whooped** 10:16 Z 131:2 132:8 **17th** 101:19 102:17,18 William 97:15 107:1 ward 53:6,15,16,17,19 **Zesser** 129:1 115:12 118:12 **18** 109:9 54:2.4.10.12 55:1.3 **Zoom** 21:10 133:7 56:1,1,2,18,21 57:2,3 **Williams** 114:8,13 180th 81:21 18th 68:16 107:22 57:15,21 58:4,22 129:11 130:5,7 0 willing 39:7 115:14 122:2,6 60:14 66:13,15 69:6,9 70:1 72:13,16 73:1,19 wisdom 7:10 1 133:21 73:20 77:3 81:14 82:6 wish 84:22 87:17 **1965** 39:21 **1-1001.05A1** 74:18 83:21 84:16 89:1 withdrawn 89:1 109:12 19th 57:19 64:9 68:17 **1-1001.08** 31:19 wonder 9:7 71:13 72:3,17 95:3 107:2,6,11,15,21 **1-1001.16** 77:21 85:10 108:3,9,12 113:1,3,9 wonderful 11:3 20:16 **1A** 103:17 **1-1001.16P1** 64:13 113:14,19,22 114:4,9 20:16 1C 103:17 **1,200** 119:3 114:14,17 115:15,21 word 9:8 38:12 123:14 1st 82:14 **1.500** 18:13 116:2 119:18,22 worded 70:5 **1,650** 119:19,20,22 2 120:1,6 125:2 128:18 words 9:3 10:11 13:12 120:1,2,3,4,5,6 128:20,22 129:1,9,12 13:15 28:17 134:17 **1,709** 93:8 **2** 53:12,13,16 56:1 129:13,16 work 6:6 8:15 15:20,22 81:14 89:1 113:3 **1:14** 135:6 wards 52:3 53:10,10,12 20:22 21:10 34:3 **10** 117:17 128:20 **2,000** 41:18,21 42:2 53:13 54:18,19 55:21 43:20 47:8,13 48:4 10.000 101:14 58:2 74:1,2 76:6,9,12 60:14 63:8,10 67:11 44:12 95:21 **10:30** 1:12 86:5 92:16 worked 30:15 60:12 **2,150** 118:21 **10:37** 3:2 warrants 91:4 83:7 91:20 **2,261** 93:8 **100** 13:10 43:4 47:5,15 worker 90:16 95:19 Washington 25:10 63:6 53:5,15 84:19 119:7 **2,500** 95:21 64:10 75:12 81:19 101:12 **20** 28:8 124:16 119:10 workers 82:12.21 83:5 **20,000** 106:6,14 107:7 90:13 100-and-some 126:1 wasn't 15:22 16:14 33:9 83:16,17 95:19,21 107:16 108:4,13 **1009.9.11.12** 65:18 33:18 42:20 46:7 96:1 **200** 29:20 **103** 2:9 working 9:21 34:2 56:9 10th 94:22 95:2 108:19 57:14 60:13 126:11 20003 25:10 Watch 133:13 60:14 93:14 94:16 20036 64:10 110:22 111:8,16 works 47:6 119:8 way 10:20 15:20 16:1 2016 5:14,18 6:6 116:15 Works' 119:12 **2018** 6:7 83:8 98:6 17:4 20:20 29:15 46:7 **11** 118:16 world 43:5 **2020** 6:7 9:22 17:16 50:6 56:6 57:5 60:2 **11:00** 18:14 68:16 70:4,17 76:5 worried 91:1 18:8 36:6 99:2 109:8 **11th** 6:20 117:11,20 77:6 82:1 84:12 87:16 **worry** 20:8 120:6 **12** 30:17 worst 90:22 87:18 125:9 **2021** 5:18 36:6,11 50:22 **12:00** 18:14 worth 74:11 ways 4:19 7:5 125:20 52:7 55:6 57:9 71:11 **120th** 100:13 **web** 99:5 would've 19:13 72:9 82:8 **122** 58:5 website 75:22 82:3 96:6 wouldn't 20:10 44:11 **2022** 1:9 3:9 32:7 36:18 **1225** 64:9 96:7 105:20 120:20 68:21 84:17 37:3,7,11 40:3,7 **13,000** 34:7,20 35:1

II
41:21 43:3 52:11
71:13,15 72:6,9,10
94:8 105:2,10 106:2,4
106:11,12 109:5,9,9
112:22 113:3 116:4
117:6,9,16,17 118:1,4
118:15 119:6,10,13
120:13,21
<b>2023</b> 82:14,17,19 94:10
<b>207</b> 95:22
<b>20th</b> 68:17 95:4 133:19
<b>21</b> 32:7 40:3 94:8 105:10 106:4,12
<b>21st</b> 65:14 85:15 95:6
107:5,13 108:1
<b>22</b> 52:11 117:6
<b>22nd</b> 57:7,8,10,19
59:16 62:13 68:2,7,17
69:3,4,11,14,14,16
72:5 73:8,15 74:13
95:20 98:7 114:6
<b>23</b> 94:10,12 105:9
126:18,21 127:14
128:8
<b>23rd</b> 23:18 33:2 102:6
114:7
<b>240</b> 24:6 37:20 42:5 44:10
<b>2448</b> 75:12 81:18
<b>24th</b> 55:19 56:9,13,16
58:6 66:21 85:1
110:17 113:3,6,8,17
117:19
<b>25</b> 44:20
<b>250</b> 29:20 119:4
<b>250,000</b> 98:12
<b>25th</b> 102:4 113:5,7
115:15 118:2
<b>26</b> 105:14,17
<b>26,204</b> 52:20
<b>26,935</b> 52:17
<b>26th</b> 94:11 108:10
<b>27,026</b> 52:19 <b>27th</b> 71:14 72:3,18 73:3
95:15
<b>28</b> 37:7,11 41:21 43:3
<b>28,900</b> 24:16 25:21 26:7
27:2 30:6 31:5 32:12
36:11 37:4,14,15 42:3
42:9,22 44:4
<b>287</b> 44:7
<b>288</b> 44:7
<b>289</b> 26:1 31:2 32:14,20
33:16 37:13,17,19
42:3,10,12,13 44:5,10
<b>28th</b> 23:10 24:20 32:7,9
41:22 57:5,14 73:6
94:9 103:19 113:21
II '

```
290 24:3,12 25:19 26:4
27:1 32:16 42:4
293 72:13,14
298 44:7
29th 94:20 114:2
2nd 4:8 95:22 103:17
112:22
```

3 **3** 2:2,3 25:10 40:7 53:10 107:2,6,11,15,21 108:3,9,12 113:1,9 114:14 115:15 **3-1009** 77:22 **3,087** 94:4 **3,970** 93:9 30 30:20 52:5 57:6 65:19 77:14 86:4 91:5 97:6,6,6,22 109:5 124:16 127:19 **30-** 66:7 **30-day** 90:22 300 36:3,7,9 **3001** 97:22 **3008** 98:4 **3011** 98:17 **30th** 78:10 111:21,22 114:3,8,13 116:3 **31** 52:7 57:9 92:14 108:19 118:1 31st 57:17 59:20 62:13 68:3,4,9,12 69:2,6,8 69:15 70:16 71:10 73:3,8 117:9 **32.332** 108:14 **320** 64:10 **33** 27:17 97:6 109:16 **33.228** 52:11 **3301** 98:19 **35** 73:1 111:11 **3612** 85:20 122:10 **37** 97:6 111:10 115:6 **37,000** 30:21 31:3 33:8 36:1,5 40:19 42:12 123:11 124:14 **37,811** 93:18 **370** 31:2 42:13 **3711** 99:12 38 116:6

# 4

**3C** 103:18

3F 103:18

**3G** 103:19

115:17

3rd 22:15 102:16

**4** 2:4,5 53:10 113:14 114:17 119:22 120:6

128:22 **4,000** 99:12 **4,656** 54:1 58:4 **4,761** 54:14 58:4 40 30:12 95:1,12 125:14 **40,125** 107:18 **42** 97:7 115:5 **4200** 99:18 4201A 99:21 432,160 106:16 44 112:11 **479** 54:5 **48,257** 93:20 **48,850** 107:9 4th 94:12 113:12,18 115:18

5 5 53:11 113:19 116:2 5,135 54:2,13 5,864 72:10 5:00 126:20 50 2:6 13:10 126:1 506 90:12 51 17:15 524,088 71:12 524,482 71:14 524,790 71:15 55 95:11 58 108:17 59 112:10

# 6

5B05 102:2

6 1:9 3:9 53:12,13,16,18 53:19 54:2,4,12 55:1 56:2 57:3 58:4 60:14 66:13,15 73:19 82:6 83:21 113:22 120:21 60 13:10 27:17 600 119:5 62 112:9 65,509.51 109:11 67 111:2 67,602.25 106:8 69 111:2

## 7

**7** 53:11 92:17 114:4 125:2 128:18 129:9 129:12,16 **7,183,808.50** 105:7 **7,966** 52:10 **77** 76:16 83:12 87:20 **7th** 113:11 117:11

**8** 53:11 72:13,13,15

82 48:19 50:1,17,21 52:9 55:4 59:7 62:15 67:6 76:3 79:17 81:21 89:22 121:9 822 52:20 88,375 108:5 8th 81:20 85:16

83:8 92:17 114:9

119:18 120:1 129:13

#### <u>J</u> ∕1·1

90 63:21 64:17,22 65:12 84:1 85:9 86:11,18 87:3,19 95:4,13 900 30:6 90th 85:7 91st 67:4 95 56:4 78:9,13 116:20 954 73:22,22 96 2:7 9th 103:17,18

# ${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 04-06-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &