GOVERNMENT OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

WEDNESDAY

APRIL 23, 2014

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Elections convened in Room 280 North, 441 4th Street NW, Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m., Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH K. NICHOLS, Chairman
DEVARIESTE CURRY, Member
STEPHEN I. DANZANSKY, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Director,
Office of Campaign Finance
RUDOLPH McGANN, General Counsel
WILLIAM O. SANFORD, General Counsel,
Office of Campaign Finance

CLIFFORD D. TATUM, Executive Director

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
202-234-4433
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of Agenda</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of Minutes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of Public Matters</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Matters</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Director's Report</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Certification of the Election</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- General Matters</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counsel's Report</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Proposed Rulemaking to Amend</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Legalization of Possession of</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal Amounts of Marijuana for Personal Use Act of 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Litigation Status</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Libertarian Party</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Zukerberg v. D.C. Board</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of Elections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign Finance Report</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Matters</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjournment</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
202-234-4433
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Good morning.

Welcome. I hereby call to order the April 2014 Regular Meeting of the Board of Elections. It is Wednesday, April 23, 2014, and the time is 10:35 a.m. We are meeting in Room 280 North of One Judiciary Square.

I'm Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman of the Board of Elections. Present with me this morning are Member Devarieste Curry and Member Stephen Danzansky. Also present on the dais are Mr. Rudy McGann, who's substituting for Mr. Ken McGhie, our general counsel, the Board's director of Campaign Finance, Ms. Cecily Collier-Montgomery, and the Board's executive director Mr. Clifford Tatum.

Without objection, the agenda for today's meeting is adopted. Also, without objection, the minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board for March 5, 2014, are adopted subject to minor edits.
Are there any public matters to be brought before the Board this morning?

Yes, ma'am.

MS. BRIZILL: Good morning. My name is Dorothy Brizill, D-O-R-O-T-H-Y B-R-I-Z-I-L-L. My legal residence is 1327 Girard Street, NW.

I have one particular matter I'd like to raise with the Board now because I see on your agenda it comes up at the general counsel's report, and that is a concern that I would like to bring to the Board's attention regarding the marijuana initiative.

On primary day, April 1, I visited more than 20 polling sites, and at least three of them, there were individuals outside the polling sites with clipboards asking people to sign and support of legalizing marijuana. At the last polling site I went to, which was St. Timothy's Church in Ward 7, there was an individual, who in his remarks, asked people to sign his clip, the sheet on his clipboard
indicating it was in fact the petition to legalize marijuana in the District.

When I heard him make that remark, I indicated that I did not believe the Board had in fact issued the petitions, and in front of witnesses, he told me I was dead wrong and that it was a petition to legalize marijuana. It was simply a sheet of paper with lines on it for names, addresses, and I believe, telephone numbers.

I'd like to bring this matter to your attention because I believe you have a situation. Today when you are going to be issuing the petitions to the supporters of the initiative, and I do not believe that they have a great deal of experience as regards to laws and regulations regarding the circulation of those petitions.

I do not want them to spend the time and effort to improperly circulate them, so I wanted to bring this matter to the Board's attention at the first available
opportunity so that you can make sure that
when you issue the petitions today, if you
issue the petitions today, that the
individuals in whose care they are given, make
sure that the individuals they have employed
and are paying to circulate the petitions do
it right.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you.

Are there any questions? Let me
finish with her first, and then we -- are
there any questions of Ms. Brizill from
members of the Board?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Ms.
Brizill.

Mr. Eidinger.

MR. EIDINGER: May I respond to
this?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, please.

MR. EIDINGER: The D.C. -- this is
Adam Eidinger, 1858 Mintwood Place, NW,
Washington, D.C.
We had about 60 combination of volunteers and paid people working the polls identifying potential supporters and help us collect signatures for this initiative. We trained 95 percent of them.

There was a small percentage who missed the training, picked up their, their stuff, and, and I know of only one person, I've only been able to identify one person, who admits to us that they mistakenly said to people, and it may have been someone who Ms. Brizill encountered, but mistakenly said, thought they were collecting signatures for the initiative, but the vast majority of the people who were out that day were extremely clear that they were signing up to volunteer for this campaign and that we did not have the initiatives yet.

And, we even had printed materials that were on every single clipboard and said, this is not the initiative. So, one person very, I believe, there was only one person,
was extremely overzealous with their outreach and got into an argument with her. And, I didn't even know this person, so this person I don't even believe was even authorized to be speaking on behalf of the campaign. I didn't authorize to speak, have them speak on behalf of the campaign, but I think by and large, we were extremely clear with people that nothing has been issued as it says on our website. This was not some deliberate effort to confuse people is what I'm trying to say.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Eidinger. What we will do, we will have the appropriate officer of the Board initiate a review of this complaint and take it from there.

Yes, sir.

MR. GURLEY: Okay, great. Thank you. Good morning to everyone.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Good morning.

MR. GURLEY: My name is Calvin

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. Gurley, and I'm sorry, we departed from our normal --

MR. GURLEY: Right.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- flow. What I would like anyone who is going to bring anything before the Board, state the matter now, but let us proceed through our agenda, and then we will take up your matter at the end.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. I misunderstood --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: What is your --

MR. GURLEY: -- what you said because you brought up a question, anyone has any questions --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It's a departure.

Mr. Gurley: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: My bad.
MR. GURLEY: No.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So, your issue will be?

MR. GURLEY: With the post-elections' activities that one being, one being the electronic signature pad that were used at the polling places.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And.

MR. GURLEY: And, the clarity, and if in fact they did record signatures of those voters. Number two is an article in the Post paper in which they said that five paper audit tapes were missing serial numbers, and those missing machines, and the Board of Elections had to go throughout the night to find those missing machines to download their votes.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. And, what else, Mr. Gurley?

MR. GURLEY: The other one would be --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So, these are election matters?
MR. GURLEY: These are election --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. GURLEY: If he reads his report
and once he finishes, you know, I have
questions.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Well, at the end
of this meeting, we have a section, other
matters, that we will bring you back --

MR. GURLEY: All right.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- and give you
the opportunity to address your concerns.

MR. GURLEY: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

Thank you, sir.

All right. Board matters. Are
there any matters which Board members wish to
raise in this public meeting?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Hearing none, we
will move to the executive director's report
from Mr. Tatum, and we will begin with the
director's report on the Board's certification
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of the election results for April 1, 2014, mayoral, congressional, and the council primary election.

MR. TATUM: Thank you, Madam Chair.

The -- as a result of the April 1, 2014, primary election, by statute, the Board is required to conduct a post-election audit of those preliminary unofficial results, and as a result of our audit, we are, we then certified the election.

And, as for the timeline on April 1, we tabulated election night's results. On April the 11, we tabulated absentee ballot and special ballot results and added all those results into the unofficial results of election night.

On April the 11th, we selected, we conducted the random audit selection of precincts and contests to be audited. And, on April the 11th, we announced the results of that selection and made notification on our website of the precincts and contests to be
audited.

And, on April the 14th, we began the audit process, which concluded on April the 18th. During the, the audit selection, the mayoral contest was selected to be audited. The Ward 5 Council Member contest was selected to be audited, and the Ward 6 Council Member contest was selected to be audited.

The precincts selected to be audited was from Ward 1, Precinct 137; Ward 2, Precinct 3; Ward 3, Precinct 28; Ward 4, Precinct 63; Ward 5, Precinct 75; Ward 6, Precinct 130; Ward 7, Precinct 80; Ward 8, Precinct 112.

The process of the audit was to compare, to conduct a hand count of the paper ballots cast and counted on the M100s and compare that manual count to the machine count, as well as the audit of the RTAL real-time audit log tapes to the, to the machine count on election day and night. And, as a
result of our audit for the mayoral contest, the machine count totaled 4,753, the manual audit tallied 4,749, which was a variance of negative .0008, which falls within the .025 margin of error.

For the Ward 5 Council Member contest, the hand count was Precinct 75. We -- the machine count was 695, the manual count was 695 with zero variance.

Of the Ward 6 Council Member contest, the Precinct was 130. The machine count was 300, the manual count was 304, with a variance of plus .013, which falls within the margin of error of 0.25, excuse me, 0.025, and as a result of the margins of error falling, of the variances falling within the margin of error, there are no further actions required by the Board of the audit, so accordingly, we were able to certify the election results, which I will now read into the record.

For the April 1 primary, the Board
conducted the election for the Democratic Party, as well as its State Committee candidates, for the Republican Party, the Statehood Green Party, and the Libertarian Party.

Madam Chair, I propose to read the contest winners for the mayoral, citywide, and ward-based contests for the party nominations, and we'll ask the Board to include the certification documents into the record which will show all of those casts for all of the candidates in each of those contests.

And, unless the Board directs otherwise, I would propose to include, to include in the record the winners of the Democratic State committee candidates by the certifications as opposed to reading those individually into the record. And, we will then proceed with the Republicans, Statehood Green, and Libertarians.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. TATUM: Madam Chair --
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Is that acceptable to the members? There are a lot of committee -- okay.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: It is --

MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, the -- for the Democratic Party primary delegate to the United States House of Representatives, the winner of the nominated candidate is Eleanor Holmes Norton with 87,247 votes.

For the Mayor of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Murel E. Bowser with 42,045 votes.

For the Chairman of the Council, the nominee is Phil Mendelson with 69,138 votes.

For the at-large member of the Council of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Anita D. Bonds with 43,586 votes.

For the Ward 1 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Brianne K. Nadeau, N-A-D-E-A-U, with 6,688 votes.
For the Ward 3 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Mary Cheh with 11,484 votes.

For the Ward 5 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Kenyan McDuffie with 9,532 votes.

For the Ward 6 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Charles Allen with 8,851 votes.

And, for the United States Senator of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Paul Strauss with 41,292 votes.

For the United States Representative of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Franklin Garcia with 57,946 votes.

And, the remaining contests on the Democratic ballot are the State Committee Officers, which would be incorporated into the record by certification.

For the Republican Party primary, the nominee for the delegate to the, to the
United States House of Representatives is
Nelson F. Rimensnyder with 1,131 votes.

For the Mayor of the District of
Columbia, the Republican Party had no nominee.

No candidate qualified for the office. There
were 717 write-ins, all of which either were
not qualified to seek the position as a
Republican candidate or did not have enough
votes to claim the majority of the, of the
vote, of the votes to obtain the nomination.

For the Chairman of the Council of
the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the at-large member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, Marc, M-
A-R-C, Morgan, 1,136 votes is the nominee.

For the Ward 1 member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, no
winner.

For the Ward 3 member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, no
winner.

For the Ward 5 member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the Ward 6 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the United States Senator of the District of Columbia, no winner.

And, for the United States Representative of the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the Statehood Green Party primary, the mayor of the District of Columbia -- pardon me. The delegate to the United States House of Representatives, the party nominee is Natalie L. Stracuzzi, S-T-R-A-C-U-Z-Z-I, with 323 votes.

For the mayor of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Faith, F-A-I-T-H, with 191 votes.

For the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the at-large member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, the
nominee is Eugene Puryear, P-U-R-Y-E-A-R, with
268 votes.

For the Ward 1 member of the
Council -- Ward 1 member of the Council of the
District of Columbia, no winner.

Ward 3 member of the Council of
the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the Ward 5 member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, no
winner.

For the Ward 6 member of the
Council of the District of Columbia, no
winner.

For the United States Senator of
the District of Columbia nominee is David
Schwartzman with 382 votes.

And, for the United States
Representative of the District of Columbia, no
winner.

The final party is the Libertarian
Party. For the delegate to the United States
House of Representatives, the nominee is Sarah Jane Panfil, P-A-N-F-I-L, with 30 votes.

The mayor of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Bruce Majors with 30 votes.

For the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, the candidate is Kyle -- the nominee is Kyle Walker, K-Y-L-E, with 11 votes.

The at-large member of the Council of the District of Columbia was Frederick Steiner, S-T-E-I-N-E-R, with 27 votes.

For the Ward 1 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, no winner.


For the Ward 5 member of the Council of the District of Columbia, no winner.

For the Ward 6 member of the

The United States Senator of the District of Columbia, the nominee is John Daniel, D-A-N-I-E-L, with 30 votes.

For the United States Representative of the District of Columbia, the nominee is Martin Moulton, M-O-U-L-T-O-N, with 30 votes.

And, Chair, that concludes the reading of the certifications into the record, and I'd ask the Board to consider a motion to certify the results of this April 1, 2014, primary election.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Tatum. Are there any questions from members of the Board?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I have -- what
is the total? What was the total number of
votes actually cast?

MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, the total
number of votes cast for election night,
including absentees and specials and early
voting is 99,394 ballots cast.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, that
represented what percent about?

MR. TATUM: That represented 26.9,
27 percent of the registered voters in the
District of Columbia.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay, all right.
The -- the Board will accept the executive
director's report of the results of the April
1 primary election. I will entertain a motion
from a member of the Board to approve the
director's election certification results.

MEMBER DANZANSKY: So moved, Madam
Chair.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: I

seconded.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All in favor
aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All opposed.

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Ayes have it.

Thank you.

We'll move to your general matters, Mr. Tatum.

MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, under the general matters, we've provided to the Board, as well as posted to the website, a list of candidates who have qualified for the July 15, 2014, Ward 8 Member of the State Board of Education special election. There are currently six candidates who have qualified who have submitted their, their petitions to our office for consideration.

I've also included in your packet the special election calendar for the July 15 contest. The -- we are currently in the challenge period and unless some of the, someone is challenged, there would be six
candidates on the ballot for the July 15 special election.

We have submitted to counsel and the mayor the cost to conduct this special election, as well as two options for mitigating the actual cost to conduct this election. And, the options were to either conduct the contest by mail using a vote by mail program, or to delay to waive the 114-day special election deadline to move that contest to the November 2014 election date, and those matters are being considered by the counsel.

We understand there may be some special, special legislation, emergency legislation passed that would move that contest to the November election, but until that is actually passed, we have to proceed as if the, as if we're moving forward with the July 15 election.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, this may cost --

MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Tatum, what
is the cost of that if nothing happens?

MR. TATUM: The cost for this particular election is $287,000 and some change, but the rough estimate is $287,000.

We submitted a budget over to --

MEMBER DANZANSKY: So, over a quarter million dollars for this one?

MR. TATUM: That is correct. Those costs were projected based on what our actual costs were to conduct a May 2012 ward-based special election where the precinct numbers were roughly the same. Ward 5 had 18 precincts, Ward 7 has 17, and the registered number of voters 54,000 compared to 55,000, so we believe those actual costs would be about the same given some inflation since 2012.

Unless legislation is passed, we will be conducting a Ward A special election. The -- and, Madam Chair, that actually concludes my matters under the general, my report under general matters.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.
Thank you, Mr. Tatum.

We will move now to the general counsel's report. And, again, substituting for Mr. McGhie this morning will be Mr. Rudolph McGann. I will turn the meeting over to Mr. McGann to present an overview of the -- oh, sorry. Let's do the proposed Rulemaking. Sorry.

MR. MCGANN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning.

The first item on the general counsel's agenda is the submission of proposed regulations for the intent to adopt, and no fewer than 30 days. These new regulations are intended to establish a maximum number of signatures that the Board will consider on nominating and ballot measure petitions, and provided the Board will only accept the number of petition sheets that bear the maximum number of signatures allowed and return the petition sheets containing signatures in excess of that, that number.
The maximum number will be established as twice the statutory minimum number of signatures for any, any measure both nominating petitions and ballot measure petitions. And, at this time, I would like to ask for a motion to submit these measures to the Register for publication of notice.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I'll entertain a motion from the, from a member of the Board to approve the proposed regs for publication in the D.C. Register for a 30-day period of review and comment subject to minor edits.

MEMBER DANZANSKY: So moved, Madam Chair.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All in favor aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All oppose.

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Ayes have it.
We'll now move on to Initiative 71.

MR. MCGANN: At this time, the Board will approve the petition form for Initiative Measure Number 71, Legalization of Possession of Minimal Amounts of Marijuana for Personal Use Act of 2014.

I see that the proponent has stepped forward. Sir, could you state your name and address for the record?

MR. EIDINGER: Sure. My name is Adam Eidinger, 1858 Mintwood Place, NW, Number 4, Washington, D.C. 20009.

MR. MCGANN: Okay. Pursuant to D.C. Code 10 -- 1-1001.16(g), the Board must approve an initiative referendum petition form before it can be circulated. The Board is required to prepare and provide to the proposer at a public meeting an original form, which a proposer must formally adopt as his or her own.

The Board has prepared -- Madam
Chairman, the Board has prepared an original petition form for the Initiative Measure Number 71, Legalization of Possession of Minimal Amounts of Marijuana for Personal Use Act of 2014.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Do you approve the petition form and do you adopt it as your own?

MR. EIDINGER: Yes. I've seen the petition for it.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You've seen this?

MR. EIDINGER: I have, and if -- with this minor correction have made and --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: What correction is that?

MR. EIDINGER: The address. It said Avenue instead of Place, and it's actually Mintwood Place.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mintwood Place?

MR. EIDINGER: Place, right.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. EIDINGER: I think it's what it says now, right?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It says Avenue, number four.

MR. EIDINGER: Oh, maybe your copy does. I believe counsel showed me another copy of a version where it had been changed.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It should be Place.

MR. EIDINGER: It should be Place.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

Anything else?

MR. EIDINGER: I just want to thank the Board members, this might be my only chance to do it, and particularly the Chair, for this public process. I think it's actually been really informative to the community about how doing a ballot initiative isn't as simple as just scribbling out an idea. It really has to go through a process, and I am very thankful we are making it to this day, and I want to just thank you all,
and particularly, the Chair.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Eidinger.

Are there any question or comments from members of the Board?

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Madam Chair, I don't know if this is the appropriate time to ask this question, but I wanted to -- because we didn't -- I wanted to ask the gentleman a question relating to Ms. Brizill's observation, which I think deserve -- I wanted to hear something more.

I understand you said there was one person and that you had trained 95 percent, but there were 5 percent that perhaps that's not trained. And, I suppose what would give me a sense of comfort where I need to hear more, do you, do you understand the process for collecting petitions? And, do you have a process in place to ensure that you comply with this Board's regulations and rules for collecting petitions?
For example, with respect to the ones out just gathering information, did you have a process to have the names of everyone that you had out there you knew who you had out there?

MR. EIDINGER: Yes, and it was very easy for us to, once we knew which polling station that the complaint was coming from, because Ms. Brizill had talked, spoken with me, we were able to talk to that person. And, our -- she was correct. The person was -- had not read the instructions that were on the, on the clipboard they were handed.

We were very clear this was not for signature gathering for the initiative, but to help us get the signatures at a later date when we had the petitions.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: I guess the question is, you said that person hadn't read the instructions on the clipboard. How are you going to ensure that your people read the instructions and follow them then?
MR. EIDINGER: I guess 95 percent had come and went through a training and had been spoken with and actually was -- had -- was given an opportunity to ask questions. And, usually, our trainings take two and a half hours, but this one person, one person was -- had to work late, was very enthusiastic the night before the election, and was begging for their clipboard because they wanted to work the poll.

They had taken the day off from work, and so literally, the clipboard was left in a plastic bag with leaflets outside the door of the campaign office and they came and picked it up.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Do you plan to use --

MR. EIDINGER: I don't think we're going to -- no, we're not going to do that ever again. This is a big lesson for us. We will number -- every single person who takes the petition from us, whether they are a hired
circulator or whether they are a volunteer
circulator, from our perspective, we're going
to track each petition copy we give them.

So, if we give them ten sheets, we expect ten sheets back. We don't want people collecting signatures, and then not turning them in. That's disenfranchising the people who signed those petitions.

We -- we want to make sure that -- we're actually doing 100 percent verification. We have the voter -- we have the registered voter file, which is updated periodically too. We've even created software so we can auto-check signatures that we enter into our master list against the Board of Elections' database.

I wish this was something we could just do as easily as online, but that source is not offered, so we had to actually create our own software to do that. We are going to be extremely careful with the signature gathering.

Everybody who's not from D.C. is
coming here, and before they even get a petition, they have to prove that they've registered with the Board of Elections as an authorized gatherer. If they don't have ID, they can't prove who they are, they can't be collecting signatures.

And, the same goes for District residents, which about, you know, half of our signature gatherers who have signed up so far are from D.C., they know they have to have an ID or a photo registration card or something that shows where their permanent address is and it's here in D.C.

So, we're collecting that, we're coping people's IDs, like we're not just handing them out to whoever wants them. That's not going to be the strategy at all for us. And, we feel that, you know, we have a real task at hand here.

We only have 75 days. We don't want to force a special election on this or be part of the next election. We want to be on
the ballot in November, so we'll be back within 75 days, I think, is what we have with our, with our petitions completed.

But, I -- I can assure you that we are well aware of Ms. Brizill's issue, and I think it's a legitimate issue. If I had been there, I would have also argued with the person they were wrong.

But, I was at the polls that day and we had people going, checking on our people all around the city as well, and I think by and large, it was -- actually, people were handing out accurate information. Just, unfortunately, one poll, one person didn't, and we just learned a lesson.

We'll never -- if you missed the training, you just -- you just can't -- we can't include you. You have to come to the training first.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

Thank you.

Are there any other questions?
Any other questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Do we have any members of the public who have any questions of the proposer?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

Yes, sir.

MR. SCHILLER: I had a quick question.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Could you identify yourself for the record?

MR. SCHILLER: Yes. My name is Nikolas Schiller. My address is 942 Westminster Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

My question is concerning --

MR. MCGANN: Could you come to the table, please?

MR. SCHILLER: Sorry. Agenda item A, the Rulemaking that was just discussed.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Will not --

MR. SCHILLER: Will not apply to
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, it will not.

MR. SCHILLER: Okay. That's my only question.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. EIDINGER: And, I guess I have one question. Are you giving me only one copy today or are you giving me on colored paper or something? Because we've been getting --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's a good question. I see the -- come to the table, Ms. Brooks, and answer that question for us.

MS. BROOKS: Yes. My name is Karen Brooks from the Registrar of Voters.

Yes, you will only receive one copy -- you will make copies of, and it's -- just one-sided. It has to be two-sided when you return to us.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I think his question too was colored paper.

MS. BROOKS: No paper, colored paper. It will be on white paper.
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So --

MS. BROOKS: Yes. White paper, yes. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's okay.

Yes, we've had green, blue, --

MS. BROOKS: That's for nominated petitions.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- yellow.

Okay, so this time we'll have white?

MS. BROOKS: Just white, yes.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

Are -- are there any other --

thank you, Ms. Brooks.

MS. BROOKS: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, sir, Mr. Gurley.

MR. GURLEY: Just curiosity -- my name is Calvin Gurley. It shouldn't be too long, but do we have --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Excuse me?

PARTICIPANT: You have to come forward.
MR. GURLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We can't hear you.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. Can you read -- if someone could read the summary of interest for a second just, of the initiative?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's already been posted in the D.C. Register here.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. It's already been posted?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, and that is -- we are past that process. So, what we will do is entertain a motion from a member of the Board to approve the petition form adopted by the proponent of Initiative Measure Number 71.

MEMBER DANZANSKY: I move, Madam Chair, that we approve the petition form as agreed to by the proponent.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: I second.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All in favor.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All opposed.
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Ayes have it.

General Counsel.

MR. MCGANN: At this time, the next item on my agenda is litigation status --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Wait a minute.

We --

MR. MCGANN: Oh, I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We need to have the Registrar of Voters to -- you want to go --

MR. MCGANN: Yes. I'm sorry about that.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: To present to us the --

MR. MCGANN: If you could --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- calculation on the approximate signature requirement for informational purposes only based on the number of voters currently registered.

Yes, sir.

MR. MCGANN: Madam Registrar, I'm
going to refer you to give the, the
approximation of vote totals that the campaign
would need for ballot access.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Signature,
signatures.

MR. MCGANN: Signatures.

MS. BROOKS: Okay, the number of
signatures required for this initiative would
be 23,373. And --

MS. BRIZILL: Can you speak up,
please?

MS. BROOKS: I'm sorry.

PARTICIPANT: Sorry. Can you speak
up, please?

MS. BRIZILL: Twenty-two thousand --

MS. BROOKS: Twenty-two thousand,
three, seven, three. We always suggest that
you check stats at the end of each month
because the number may change because the
system is constantly going up.

And, if you'd like the measure
replaced on the ballot for the November
election, we need to see it by July 7 by 5:00
p.m. If you used your 180 days, the filing
deadline is October 20, and the same time is
5:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Ms. Brooks.

Are there any questions?

MR. TATUM: Actually, I just --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, sir.

MR. TATUM: We've made -- Ms. Brooks, we made the -- provided the proposal
with this memo that indicates the five percent
breakdown in each of the wards.

MS. BROOKS: Yes.

MR. TATUM: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. We will now move on to the next item on the
general counsel's agenda.

MR. MCGANN: Okay. The next item
on the general counsel's agenda is the
litigation status for the cases that the Board
is currently involved in.

The first one is Libertarian Party, et.al. versus D.C. Board of Elections. This isn't a new matter. This matter is for all intents and purposes complete other than the issue of attorneys' fees and there's been no reportable change in status as of this time.

With respect to Zukerberg versus D.C. Board of Elections, the, the plaintiff in that case, Mr. Zukerberg, filed an appeal on February 27 of the Superior Court's grant of a motion to dismiss. Mr. Zukerberg filed a motion to expedite and brief in this matter with the D.C. Court of Appeals on March 10. The Court granted the motion to expedite in part by calendering the matter for oral argument in May, but afforded the Board the full complement of its briefing schedule to prepare its brief and response. Amicus briefs were filed by Appleseed and DC Vote on March 31, and the
Board, through its counsel in the Office of the Attorney General, filed a reply brief on April 25. Oral argument is scheduled for May 29 in the matter.

And, that concludes the General Counsel's report.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you so much, Mr. McGann. Great job.

MR. MCGANN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right, we will now move on to the Board's director of Campaign Finance, Ms. Cecily Collier-Montgomery, for her report.

MR. MCGANN: Yes. Good morning.

The first thing I would like to report is that on election night, April 1, 2014, 16 members of the staff of the Office of Campaign Finance, visited 76 of the election precincts to make observations in terms of the placement of campaign literature, as well as to observe any, what may have appeared to be expenditures from the various campaigns on activity at the
Overall, the Campaign Finance staff observed that the election officials, as well as the volunteers, adhered to the District's election law guidelines and campaign finance regulations regarding the placement of disclaimers on campaign literature, as well as the placement of the electioneering markers and the observation of the placement of those markers with respect to campaign activity at the polls.

During the month of March, there were two report dates. The first volume deadline was the March 10, 2014, the filing deadline for the report of receipts and expenditures by principal campaign committees and political action committees, who were active during the election cycle.

The total number of required filers was 104. That breaks down to 54 principal campaign committees and 50 political action committees.
There were 86 timely filers.

Forty-two of these were PCCs and forty-four were PACs. There was one extension requested and granted.

As far as late filers were concerned, there was one. The total number of failures to file were 15. The total number of referrals to the Office of the General Counsel were 16. And, that breaks down to ten principal campaign committees and six political action committees.

Seventy-eight of the timely filers electronically filed their reports and certified their e-filings, and that breaks down to 37 of the PCCs and 41 of the PACs.

And, again, I would remind the public that as of January 2015, electronic filing will be mandatory.

With respect to the eight-day pre-primary report of receipts and expenditures, which was due on March the 24th, 2014, there were 48 required filers, and all of these were
principal campaign committees. Thirty-nine of the PCCs timely filed. There were no requests for extensions. There were two late filers and seven failures to file and nine PCCs were referred to the General Counsel's Office for the initiation of the informal hearing process.

Overall, 36 of the principal campaign committees electronically filed their reports. During the month of March, we had five new candidates and committees who registered, again, for the 2014 election cycle.

David Catania/Catania for Mayor registered on March the 12th, 2014. Wendell Felder/Wendell for DC Council (At-Large, City Council) registered on March the 18th, 2014. Philip Pannell/Pannell for Education (School Board, Ward 8) registered on March the 21st, 2014. John Cheeks/Elect Cheeks for Chairman (Chairman, City Council) registered on March the 26th, 2014. Nydria Humphries (School
Board, Ward 8) registered on March the 28th, 2014.

The Office of Campaign Finance will conduct entrance conferences for these new candidates and committees on April the 24th, 2014.

With respect to the referrals to the General Counsel, which were made for the failure to file the March 10 report of receipts and expenditures, as well as the pre-primary report of receipts and expenditures, the names of those principal campaign committees, as well as political action committees, are included in our stats, and their names will be published at our website.

In our report's analysis and audit division, the audit division completed 156 desk reviews of the reports, which were filed during the month of March. There are ongoing audits. The full field audits are the Gray for Mayor.

We have periodic random audits of
the Caribbean-American Political Action Committee, as well as of the D.C. Freedom Political Action Committee. There are also ongoing periodic random audits of candidates who have registered for the upcoming elections in 2014.

And, those committees are Reta Jo Lewis for Mayor, Bowser for Mayor, and Wells for Mayor. And, these random audits will be conducted of the March 10, 2014, filing.

At this time, I would ask the General Counsel to give the legal report for the Office of Campaign Finance.

MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Madam Chairman, and distinguished Board members. My name is William Sanford. I'm general counsel for the Office of Campaign Finance.

During the month of March 2014, the Office of the General Counsel received 24 referrals, 16 of those referrals came from the public information and records management division. They included ten referrals of
principal campaign committees and six referrals of PACs, Political Action Committees, and eight referrals came from the reports analysis and audit division, which included seven referrals of principal campaign committees and one political action committee.

The Office of the General Counsel issued 14 orders during the month of March 2014, and they included the following:

Nine orders were issued for failure to timely file in which no fines were imposed, and five orders were issued for failure to timely respond to a request for additional information in which no fines were imposed.

The -- during the month of March, there were 49,000 received, $150,000, $750 in fines. And, they included the following:

$45,000 fine for Kwame for Chair, $1,700 fine for Frank Sewell for Mayor, $1,800 fine was imposed against Tarek Stevens, $1,100 in fines were imposed against Nestor for Maylor, and a
$150 fine was imposed against Tim Clark, an ANC in the District of Columbia.

All of these matters have been referred to the Office of the General Counsel for the Board for petitions reinforcement.

During the month of March 2014, the Office of the General Counsel carried five open investigations. The other four investigations have been previously reported.

One additional investigation was open, and that was OCF Full Investigation 2013-015, and it was internally generated and the respondent in this is Jeffrey Thompson.

The infraction for alleged campaign contribution violations. However, due to current criminal investigations regarding Mr. Thompson, this matter will remain ongoing.

During the month of March 2014, there were no requests for payments and no-show cause proceedings were conducted. And, that concludes my report.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Are there any
questions of Mr. Sanford or Ms. Collier-Montgomery?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Sanford.

All right, Mr. Gurley.

MR. GURLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Maybe I should have you -- I see that you have a Friends of Calvin Gurley --

MR. GURLEY: Gurley.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- 2014.

MR. GURLEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So, you all have failed to file the March 10th report of receipts and expenditures.

MR. GURLEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Really? And, so do you -- and you didn't do the eight-day --

MR. GURLEY: The eight-day pre --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Pre-primary?
MR. GURLEY: -- pre-primary.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You have any intention of filing that?

MR. GURLEY: Yes, they've been filed.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: They've been filed? No. They've been referred for further investigation and action because they haven't been filed. Do you plan to file it out of curiosity?

MR. GURLEY: Yes, and they've been filed.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, they have not been filed, but -- they've not been filed let me just tell you that.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. Ma'am, let me inform you, okay. I have had a hearing, okay. And, upon the hearing --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. Sanford, would you come forward, please?

MR. GURLEY: I've had a hearing, and at the hearing, it was requested and
assigned that I do file, and those two reports were filed.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Were they -- have they been filed, Mr. --

MR. SANFORD: And, what date did you have your hearing, Mr. Gurley?

MR. GURLEY: I don't have the information here, but there was a hearing.

MR. SANFORD: Regarding the failure to timely file the eight-day pre-primary report?

MR. GURLEY: Pre -- and March 10th.

MR. SANFORD: Was it a consolidated hearing?

MR. GURLEY: Yes, it was consolidated hearing.

MR. SANFORD: Okay. Do you recall the date of those hearings because according to our records you are not in compliance?

MR. GURLEY: As a --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It's just a question, but that is an issue. You know --
MR. GURLEY: I'm puzzled that the hearings haven't --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, that's not that they are current in their information, so -- all right.

MR. GURLEY: Madam Chair, Madam Chair --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, Mr. -- no, Mr. Gurley. Hold on. I like when people come before us to make complaints.

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: This is my personal as Chair. It's my -- I think they should come with clean hands having met all of our rules and regulations, but you may proceed now. You may proceed, Mr. Gurley, with your issue.

MR. GURLEY: Madam Chair, I agree with you. As a matter of fact, as soon as I get home, I'm going to send -- I guess, I can send you a copy.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You don't have
MR. GURLEY: Okay, or --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You can send it to the general counsel.

MR. GURLEY: I'll send it to the general counsel.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, the Office of Campaign Finance.

MR. SANFORD: Sure. And, let me just say then, these are the stats for March. Now, if your hearing was in April, they would not be included in --

MR. GURLEY: Okay, then the hearing was in April.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: The hearing was in April?

MR. GURLEY: The hearing was in April.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, what was the result of the hearing?

MR. GURLEY: I will get that soon.

They have not -- I think --
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You filed it --

MR. GURLEY: -- after the hearing --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We'll clarify it, but when I see your, you know, --

MR. GURLEY: But, there was a hearing.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Let's proceed with your issue.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. First thing comes to mind right now, okay, is the Special Election for the Education in Ward 8, and the counsel, I guess, is pending as far as rescheduling that to November.

I was just wondering if there's a scheduled date for printing ballots, and if so, have that been communicated to the counsel to let them know they need to do something as far as making decisions before you spend the money to print the ballots. That's all I wanted to say at that. That's the first thing.
The first thing that's on my list here is, excuse me, is the election. And, my concern is I'm just tired of hearing the government being wrong in some of their operations as being reported and why it should impose. I would like for the government just to really be on top of their operations, so this is my concern right now.

Okay. The first one, I think, I discussed with Mr. Tatum was the point of the handheld voters' signature scan is a pair, in which the pair did not transcribe encryption of the signature.

And, when I asked the lady behind the table if I could see my signature, she declined, but she did tell me that my signatures did take, but these signature pads are not working as far as when you into a retail store, you're asked to sign the tape and you can see your clear signature on that particular electronic pad.

This has concerned me in which if
there's no signature recorded. Now, I'd like to see if I could and get permission from you, Madam Chair, to at least see my particular signature that was recorded from the electronic pad. That should be recorded, I mean, in the record.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I'll take that under submission.

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I don't see why not.

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We could show you your signature.

MR. GURLEY: Okay, yes. I was hoping that the rest of the signatures were public, but they're not. You don't --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I don't --

MR. GURLEY: Okay. I'm just asking.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, sir.

MR. GURLEY: But, I think, that's a
serious situation in which those signatures --
and I would like to, and I ask to request --
this is second. I requested from Mr. Tatum to
view or to verify the updating or the
downloading of the signature, voters'
signatures to the, to the records or to the
ballots.

And, given the time that he was
doing that, I was hoping that I would have a
chance to, to witness the download, but if Mr.
Tatum, if you and I can just let me know what
that process was and --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: What are you
going to see?

MR. GURLEY: What I'm going to see?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: What do you
think you will see?

MR. GURLEY: Well --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: If it's a
download -- I'm not sure what --

MR. GURLEY: He can explain it to
you.
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes. I mean, you could -- I don't see why you can't watch it. I don't know that you're going to see anything, you know.

MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, that's what I tried to explain, so -- Mr. Gurley did express the desire at the audit to see they uploaded the signatures, and I explained that what we do, the process is to download from the e-poll books onto a SD card the results from the e-poll books, and then those results are accumulated, and then read into the voter registration system.

So, it's a fully electronic process, so there's -- there is nothing that he would see other than us moving a SD card from one file to the next file, but I did say to him that we would show him the process afterwards, but I didn't want to interrupt my guys doing what they're doing now. Yes. So, we will make it available for him to see. It won't be as it's happening, but we will show
him what the process is.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, we'll let you see your signature.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. Thank you.

Great. Great.

MR. TATUM: And, then conversely, not conversely, but in addition to that, there is a report that we will generate that will show us the signatures that are uploaded, but that's not a report that would be made public number one because it's voter-specific data that --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes. Personally identifiable information.

MR. TATUM: Exactly. Exactly.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And, you know, we do have secrecy with regard to ballot, balloting. Is that a proper terminology? In other words, when you go to vote, you know, you sign in, but you're voting and everything, --

MR. GURLEY: Oh, yes.
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- and that is tied to your vote of record. That signature is tied to your vote of record, so you could see your own.

MR. GURLEY: Right. Okay, good.

Would there be any -- would there be any discrepancy in the number of signatures compared to the number of votes or ballots cast?

MR. TATUM: Well, and that's part of the audit process, so --

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

MR. TATUM: -- now my staff is counting the number of voter cards that was collected at each polling place to match to the number of signatures that were collected on the, on the e-poll book, so that we make sure that all the signatures that were signed in were actually captured. So, you are right. I mean, we go through this process, so -- I think, his first concern was that he couldn't see the signature on the pad and we are aware
of that.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's because of the age of the equipment.

MR. TATUM: Right.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's what that is. We have not -- unfortunately, we're not up-to-date for retake, you know. I get you.

You know, we're not --

MR. GURLEY: Well, my thing is I understand the situation of electronics in which after you capture the signature, you can just go into the system and upload compared to we used to have a master logbook where the folks had to sign, and then you probably have to read from the logbook to match the system whatever inputs and match --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Well, I don't know what that --

MR. GURLEY: -- it and say -- I don't know. Okay. Similar.

MR. TATUM: So, we did ask was there a comparison of the signature collected
at the polling place to the voter registration
record, and there's not. There's never been
a comparison.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, never. It's
a manual -- we have like 123,000 people. We
don't have the manpower to go and compare each
signature.

MR. GURLEY: But, another question
that comes to what he just mentioned is if we
have an auditor still pending there, which is
verification of the signatures, the voters'
signatures to the number of ballots, and that
hasn't been completed yet. Am I saying that
right? It has not completed?

MR. TATUM: Well, the -- the post-
election audit has been completed, but we do
a reconciliation.

MR. GURLEY: Okay, right. You call
that reconciliation.

MR. TATUM: Yes, reconciliation.

MR. GURLEY: Would that have any
effect on the, on the election --
MR. TATUM: No.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No.

MR. GURLEY: It wouldn't?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. I'm just saying. Thank you for the --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, we want you to know, you know, so many mis, you know, perceptions out there that are inaccurate or incomplete. I mean, where we can educate, we'd like to.

MR. GURLEY: Thank you very much.

The -- there's one other one, and that is the article that came in the, the Washington Post in which, I don't know if you read, but it had something to do with audit tags, paper audit tags missing the serial numbers.

And, as a result of that, BOE drove off into the night to find those missing machines to download their votes. So, perhaps Mr. Tatum can clarify?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No. We're
Mr. Gurley: Okay.

Chairman Nichols: -- and we'll clarify all that at that time.

Mr. Gurley: Now, how am I supposed to know that, Madam?

Chairman Nichols: Come to the hearing. Oh, about the hearing?

Mr. Gurley: About the hearing pertaining to this --

Chairman Nichols: Well, that's all -- that's all Mr. McDuffie's hearing.

Mr. Gurley: Oh, yes, okay. I didn't know that was the hearing --

Chairman Nichols: Yes, but --

Mr. Gurley: Okay.

Chairman Nichols: But we will receive any kind of information that you may have in regard to the issue, but we'll be responding to all that at that time.

Mr. Gurley: Okay.

Chairman Nichols: That okay? Be
all ears now.

MR. GURLEY: That's just the

concern I brought up.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, I

appreciate that.

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Anything else?

MR. GURLEY: Oh, yes. There is a --

- there is a -- let me find it. Here we are.

And, maybe you can, I guess, explain what
reasonable is because I'm trying to see -- but

anyway. What I'm trying to find is that it

said that the captain's log and their records

would be available to the public within a

reasonable time to the public to view

captain's --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It won't be

available -- they are compiling that at this

point. Where did you see that?

MR. GURLEY: Let me find it.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I doubt that's

made available to the public. I don't -- I
don't know, but we can check on that.

MR. GURLEY: Yes, yes, yes. Let me see if I can find it here. Here we are. Can I --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Sure. And, that comes from --

MR. GURLEY: 721.6.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. GURLEY: I'll probably misread it wrong.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's -- okay.

This is -- what did you call it?

MR. GURLEY: I hope I didn't misread it wrong.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, you're probably -- I'm understanding -- if this says the precinct captain shall keep a record of the names and addresses of individuals who (a) attempted to register on election day, but could not provide proof of residence, and (b), successfully registered on election day and voted.
MR. GURLEY: Was that supposed to be made to the public within reasonable days that --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I don't know that that's made available to the public in terms of special ballots.

MR. TATUM: Special ballots.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Special ballot.

MR. GURLEY: I'm sorry now.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You're going to do the numbers.

MR. TATUM: Those are provisional ballots. We would release the numbers, but not the --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Personally identifiable information.

MR. TATUM: According to the Help America Vote Act, the status of a person's provisional ballot is known to that person only. It's not made available to the public, so we would tell you 10,000 special ballots were processed, 7,000 were counted, and 3,000
were not, and that would be the, be the end of that report.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Is that what you're looking for?

MR. GURLEY: Not provisional ballots, but not for --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: What were -- what are you looking for?

MR. GURLEY: I was looking for -- let me see --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Precinct captain -- it says something about --

MR. GURLEY: We're saying something pursuing precinct captains, their -- I'm sorry. Their activities are being -- the numbers they added up or they're beginning -- the activities of the precinct captain are being --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Oh, the record shall be made available. Precinct -- oh, here it is. You circled the --

MR. GURLEY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- the one above it. It's 721.7.

MR. GURLEY: Seven, okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Precinct captain reports and records shall be made available for public inspection at a reasonable date following an election. Understood, yes.

MR. GURLEY: Okay. Now, a reasonable -- a reasonable time being what now here?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Oh, I'm not sure.

MR. GURLEY: Hopefully, before the next election though, right?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Oh, yes, most definitely before. Most definitely.

MR. GURLEY: And, that's about it, Ma'am.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: They're compiling all of that information as we speak.

Thank you, Mr. Gurley.

MR. GURLEY: I hope I didn't hold
you up.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, sir.

MR. GURLEY: Okay.

MR. GURLEY: There being no further business before the Board, this meeting is adjourned. It is 11:39, still Wednesday, April 23, 2014.

(Whereupon, proceedings in the above-entitled matter concluded at 11:38 a.m., on April 23, 2014.)
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