

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

NOVEMBER 24, 2021

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of
Elections convened via Video Teleconference,
pursuant to notice at 9:00 a.m. EST, Karyn
Greenfield, Member, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Executive Director

TERRI STROUD, General Counsel

CONTENTS

Special Meeting Called to Order. 3

Specific petition validation results for
the recall measure regarding Sydelle Moore,
ANC Commissioner for Single Member
District 5D05. 3

Petition Verification Process. 4

Challenge to Recall Measure Sydelle Moore,
ANC Commissioner for Single Member
District 5D05. 9

Meeting adjourned.29

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:18 a.m.)

3 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, so I'll go
4 ahead and call the meeting to order. The quorum
5 is myself, Karen Greenfield, the Board member, so
6 this will be a one-member Board.

7 So, as a result, we will not take a
8 vote or a motion on the first item.

9 This is a Special Board Meeting. It
10 is to address the, specific to the petition
11 validation results for the recall measure
12 regarding Sydelle Moore, ANC Commissioner for
13 Single Member District 5D05, and, the challenge
14 to the recall measure (inaudible) Moore, Advisory
15 ANC Commissioner, for Single Member District
16 5D05.

17 What I will ask is I will ask Monica
18 to go ahead and read your report into record.

19 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good morning.

20 The matter before us today is a
21 Petition Verification Regarding a Recall Sydelle
22 Moore, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single

1 Member District 5D05, filed on October 25, 2021.

2 After executing the Board's standard
3 procedure for verifying registered voter
4 signatures contained in the referenced recall
5 petition, the Board staff have determined that
6 this petition does not meet the statutory
7 requirements for certification to the ballot, in
8 accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 1-
9 1001.17(k)(1).

10 It is my recommendation that the Board
11 reject the petition as numerically insufficient,
12 in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 1-
13 1001.17(k)(1), because it does not contain the
14 signatures of the required minimum number of
15 registered voters.

16 An overview of the petition
17 verification process, and a summary of its
18 results are provided here.

19 Overview of the Petition Verification
20 Process. The petition verification process is
21 conducted to determine whether a petition to
22 present a recall measure to the electorate,

1 contains the minimum number of registered voter
2 signatures required to place the measure on the
3 ballot.

4 The required minimum is 10 percent of
5 the duly registered voters of the Single Member
6 District, from which the official was elected.

7 The numerical requirements are based
8 on the Board's published registration totals in
9 effect at least 30 days before the petition was
10 filed. In this case, the voter registration
11 totals as of August 31, 2021.

12 The petition verification process
13 detailed in Standard Procedures for Verification
14 of Recall Petitions, has four basic steps.

15 First, the Board staff verify if the
16 circulator is a resident of the District of
17 Columbia, or a resident of another jurisdiction,
18 who registered as a petition circulator with the
19 Board, prior to the circulation of the petition
20 sheet, and if the petition has a completed
21 circulator's affidavit.

22 Second, the name and address of each

1 petition signer is checked against the voter
2 registration system file, to determine if the
3 petitioner was registered to vote at the resident
4 address listed on the petition, at the time the
5 petition was signed, as required by law.

6 Only those petition signers whose
7 names and addresses are found to match the
8 Board's registered voter file, are entered into
9 the petition checking program as verified
10 registrants.

11 Third, the total of verified
12 registrants are compiled by the registration
13 system, to determine whether the petition
14 contains a sufficient number of registered voters
15 to proceed to the signature verification stage,
16 in which the actual names on the petition are
17 examined against the names in the Board's
18 records.

19 Fourth, where the total number of
20 verified registrants is determined to have met
21 minimum signature requirements, a random sample
22 of signatures is drawn for comparison to the

1 original voter signatures on file in the Board's
2 records.

3 The validity rate of the randomly
4 selected signatures and the sample, is then used
5 to make a statistical determination of the
6 sufficiency of the petition as a whole, at the
7 required confidence level of 95 percent.

8 Summary. The Petition for Recall
9 Sydelle Moore, Advisory Neighborhood
10 Commissioner, Single Member District 5D05,
11 containing five pages, was filed on October 25,
12 2021.

13 The petition contained 199 signatures.

14 After completing the procedures for
15 verifying the voter registration status of
16 petition circulators and signers, steps 1, 2, and
17 3, in the process outlined above, the total
18 number of registered voters listed in the
19 petition was found to be 98.

20 A total of 63 below the minimum
21 required 10 percent of 1,612 of the Single Member
22 District, from which the official was elected.

1 The determination that the petition
2 did not contain the required minimum number of
3 duly registered voters, meant that the
4 verification process came to an end at this
5 point, without proceeding to the signature
6 sampling stage.

7 This is the required outcome in
8 accordance with the standard procedures, whenever
9 the universe of verified registrants falls below
10 the threshold numerical requirement for
11 verification.

12 Even if every signature were found to
13 be valid, there would be an insufficient total
14 number.

15 Based on the foregoing results of the
16 verification of the Petition to Recall Sydelle
17 Moore, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single
18 Member District 5D05, the determinations are
19 that:

20 1) The proposed measure does not meet
21 the statutory requirements for certification to
22 the ballot; and,

1 2) The proposed measure should be
2 rejected by the Board because, it does not
3 contain a sufficient number of signatures of
4 registered electors in the ward from which the
5 official was elected, as required by D.C.
6 Official Code, Section 1-001.17(k)(1).

7 This concludes my recommendation to
8 the Board.

9 Thank you.

10 MS EVANS: Okay, thank you, Monica.

11 Since we do not have a quorum, we
12 cannot make a motion to vote on that matter, so
13 we will move on to the second matter, which is
14 the Challenge to the Recall Measure of Sydelle
15 Moore, ANC Commissioner for Single Member
16 District 5D05.

17 Okay, I noticed that Ms. Henderson is
18 present. Ms. Henderson, if you, the report was
19 read, and that is the report of the Registrar of
20 Voters with respect to the Board's independent
21 verification process.

22 And, so I just wanted to say at the

1 onset you know, that the statute sets up with
2 respect to ballot measures, the District's
3 elections statute sets up a parallel process.

4 So, once a ballot measure, including
5 an initiative measure, is submitted, the Board
6 does its own independent verification process.

7 And, if it is determined once the
8 petition is submitted, that the initial count of
9 signatures is sufficient to allow the process to
10 move forward, then the Board posts the petition
11 for a challenge process.

12 So, with respect to the recall
13 measure, the Board independently conducts its own
14 verification process, but there's also a process
15 allowed for challenge.

16 As the Registrar's report indicates,
17 based on the Board's own independent verification
18 of the initiative petition, the petition
19 submitted in support of the effort to recall
20 Commissioner Moore, was found to be insufficient.

21 And, so that's that process, but
22 there's also a process for the challenge. And,

1 so that's where we, that's the mission we will
2 take up now.

3 With respect to the Board's ability to
4 proceed with the challenge hearing, that
5 authority is given to the Board in the statute,
6 and D.C. Code Section 1-1001.17(g), which
7 provides that notwithstanding provisions of the
8 D.C. Administrative Procedure Act, the Board may
9 hear any case brought before it under this sub-
10 chapter by one-member panels.

11 An appeal from a decision of any such
12 one-member panel may be taken to either the full
13 Board, or the D.C. Court of Appeals, at the
14 option of any adversely affected party.

15 If appeal is taken directly to the
16 D.C. Court of Appeals, the decision of the one-
17 member panel shall be for purposes of such
18 appeal, considered to be a final decision of the
19 Board.

20 If an appeal is taken from a decision
21 of, a decision of a one-member panel to the
22 Board, the decision of the one-member panel shall

1 be stayed pending a final decision of the Board.

2 The Board may, upon a vote of its
3 majority of its members, hear de novo, all issues
4 of fact or law, related to an appeal of a
5 decision of a one-member panel.

6 Just a second, I apologize.

7 The Board may, upon a vote of a
8 majority of its members, hear de novo, all issues
9 of fact or law, related to an appeal of the
10 decision of a one-member panel except the Board
11 may decide to consider only the record made
12 before such one-member panel.

13 A final decision of the full Board
14 relating to an appeal brought to it from a one-
15 member panel, shall be appealable to the D.C.
16 Court of Appeals, in the same manner and to the
17 same extent, as all other final decisions of the
18 Board.

19 So, I just wanted to lay that out for
20 the parties to make clear that the, that Board
21 Member Greenfield has the authority to hear this
22 matter, and to rule on it.

1 And, so with that, we will take up the
2 matter of the challenge submitted by Sydelle
3 Moore, Commissioner Moore, to the Recall Petition
4 submitted by Ms. Henderson, in her effort to
5 recall Commissioner Moore.

6 And, so what I will have the Registrar
7 of Voters do is to read her report into the
8 record regarding the challenges, and then we will
9 hear from the parties.

10 MS. EVANS: On October 25, 2021, Kathy
11 Henderson submitted, submitted a Petition in
12 Support of Recall of Sydelle Moore, Advisory
13 Neighborhood Commissioner, Single Member District
14 5D05.

15 That Petition was posted for public
16 inspection for 10 days, as required by law. A
17 challenge on November 4, 2021, by Sydelle Moore,
18 a registered voter in the district of Columbia.

19 My (inaudible) to the Petition
20 Challenge indicated that Ms. Henderson submitted
21 a total of 195 signatures.

22 A total number of signatures

1 (inaudible) provided for were 179 signatures.
2 Twenty signatures was not accepted, because they
3 appeared on a page that was not a proper
4 reproduction of the paper form provided by the
5 Board, page 3.

6 A petition must contain the valid
7 signatures 10 percent of the duly registered
8 voters of the Single Member District, for which
9 the official was elected, based on the Board's
10 public registration totals in effect at least 30
11 days before the petition was filed.

12 In this case, the voter registration
13 totals as of August 31, 2021, based on the
14 registration totals for SMD 5D05, August 31,
15 2021, the required number of signatures for valid
16 access, is 161.

17 Challenger Sydelle Moore's (inaudible)

18 (Pause.)

19 MEMBER GREENFIELD: We lost audio.

20 (Pause.)

21 MS. STROUD: At which point did you
22 lose audio?

1 MEMBER GREENFIELD: When she started,
2 challenger Sydelle Moore filed. We lost audio
3 after that.

4 MS. STROUD: Okay.

5 MS. EVANS: So here?

6 MS. STROUD: Yes.

7 MS. EVANS: All right.

8 Challenger Sydelle Moore filed
9 challenges to a total of 109 signatures,
10 enumerated by line and page number, on individual
11 challenge sheets filed for each petition page.

12 Petition signatures were challenged
13 pursuant to Title 3 DCMR, Section 1107.1 of the
14 Board's Regulations.

15 Of the 109 challenges submitted, 96 of
16 the challenges were reviewed by the Registrar.
17 The remaining 13 challenges were not reviewed
18 because they were, they concerns signatures were
19 not accepted by the Board because, they appeared
20 on the page that is not a proper reproduction of
21 the paper form provided by the Board.

22 My review in the case in a total of 76

1 of the 96 challenges reviewed are valid. The 76
2 valid challenges were upheld on the following
3 grounds.

4 Twenty-six were upheld because the
5 signer was registered at a different address in
6 the Single Member District.

7 Thirty-two were upheld because the
8 signer was not a registered voter.

9 Three were upheld because the
10 signatures are forgeries.

11 Four were upheld because the signer
12 was inactive.

13 Ten were upheld because the signer is
14 not a registered voter, registered voter within
15 the Single Member District.

16 One was upheld because the signer's
17 address is missing.

18 Because the initial count of
19 signatures got divided (inaudible) this recall,
20 this recall measure is 179 signatures.

21 As a result of the 76 valid
22 challenges, the petition recall is therefore, 103

1 signatures.

2 Fifty-eight signatures is the
3 statutory requirements for certification to the
4 ballot.

5 In addition to filing challenges to
6 signatures, Commissioner Moore has also cited
7 counselor fraudulent signatures, and requested
8 the Board take action with respect to these.

9 That concludes my report.

10 MS. STROUD: Thank you.

11 So, before we hear from the parties,
12 I just wanted to lay out the regulatory authority
13 pursuant to which signatures are validated.

14 When the Board first receives a recall
15 petition, it does an initial count. And, in this
16 count, signatures are excluded if they appear on
17 pages that are not a proper reproduction of the
18 paper form provided by the Board.

19 That's D.C. Regulation and Title 3 of
20 D.C., Title 3 DCMR, Regulation 1105.4(a),
21 specifies that if a signature appears on pages
22 that are not a proper reproduction of the paper

1 form provided by the Board, all the signatures on
2 that page will be invalidated.

3 So, that's in the Regulation at
4 1105.4(a).

5 It also discounts signatures of
6 registered voters who submit notarized requests
7 to disallow signatures, their signatures from
8 being counted on the petition, provided that the
9 request was received prior to the time the
10 petition is filed. That is 1105.4(d).

11 And, 1107.1 lays out the circumstances
12 under which signatures on a petition are to be
13 invalidated. And, I'll read those into the
14 record.

15 1107.1(a) indicates that a signer's
16 voter registration, a signer's voter registration
17 was designated as inactive on the voter rolls at
18 the time the petition was signed, that signature
19 will be invalidated.

20 If a signer is not registered to vote
21 at the address listed on the petition at the time
22 the petition is signed, it will be invalidated

1 unless the Board's records indicate that the
2 voter timely filed a change of address. Which
3 would have been before the petition was
4 submitted.

5 A signature shall not be held valid if
6 it is a duplicate of a valid signature, if it is
7 not dated, if it does not include the printed or
8 typed name, or typed address of the signor.

9 If it does not include the printed or
10 typed name of the signer where the signature is
11 not sufficiently legible for identification.

12 If the circulator of the petition was
13 not a qualified petition circulator at the time
14 the petition was signed.

15 If the circulator of the petition
16 failed to complete all required information in
17 the circulator's affidavit.

18 If the signature was obtained on a
19 petition sheet that was submitted on behalf of a
20 previously filed recall petition that was
21 rejected, or found to be numerically
22 insufficient.

1 Or, of the signer was not a registered
2 voter in the Single Member District of the
3 elected official sought to be recalled.

4 In addition, if a signature was also
5 the signature of the circulator of the same
6 petition sheet where the signature appears, that
7 signature shall be invalidated. And, this is
8 found in 3 DCMR 1109.4.

9 So, I just wanted to lay out that the
10 circumstances under which signatures are found to
11 be invalid, is set forth in the Code, as well as
12 in the Regulations, at the sections I indicated.

13 And, so having read the Registrar's
14 report in the record, I would ask if the parties,
15 and first we'll go with Ms. Henderson, and you'll
16 be allotted three minutes, and then Ms. Moore can
17 respond in three minutes.

18 So, Ms. Henderson, if you could state
19 your name and address for the record, and then
20 proceed with your response to the Registrar of
21 Voters report with respect to the challenge, we'd
22 appreciate that.

1 MS. HENDERSON: (No audible response.)

2 MEMBER GREENFIELD: We can't hear.

3 MS. STROUD: Your audio is not on, Ms.

4 Henderson.

5 (Pause.)

6 MS. HENDERSON: (No audible response.)

7 MS. STROUD: No, we can't hear you.

8 You might need to call in.

9 (Pause.)

10 MS. STROUD: We'll give Ms. Henderson

11 some time to call in.

12 (Pause.)

13 MS. HENDERSON: Are you able to hear me

14 now?

15 MS. STROUD: Yes, we are Ms. Henderson.

16 And, I'm going to start the timer for

17 three minutes for you to submit your response to

18 the report of the Registrar of Voters.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Well, I don't have any,

20 well anyway, I'm not even going to address the

21 alleged difficulty with seeing me and on the

22 meeting, and hearing my audio.

1 But anyway, I reject the Registrar's
2 report. I submitted a 20-plus page response to
3 the members of the Board.

4 Are you in receipt of that response?

5 MS. STROUD: Yes.

6 MS. HENDERSON: That response also
7 includes a number of things.

8 I would have asked Ms. Verlene
9 Cameron, or Mr. Edward Cameron, to sign the
10 petition, but Ms. Cameron's been deceased for
11 years, and Mr. Cameron is in Florida. And, the
12 building that they lived in no longer exists.

13 Yet, they continue to be on the list
14 of voters for 5D05, even though I sent in a
15 picture showing that the building is no longer
16 there.

17 So, this issue is an ongoing issue.
18 We don't even know how many people actually live
19 in 5D05 according to the D.C. Board of Elections,
20 because the list is incorrect.

21 I sent in a minimum of 64 names that
22 have not been addressed. Most recently, Ms.

1 Brooks did attempt to respond minimally, to one
2 name, a couple of names.

3 Wallace Fox. It says he can't, he was
4 listed twice as a voter. It cannot be determined
5 that he's deceased.

6 Well, Ms. Brooks, the Registrar, does
7 not have to determine that Mr. Fox is deceased.
8 What we can determine through the D.C. tax
9 records, is that Mr. Fox does not reside in 1801
10 L Street NE.

11 A duly registered voter, Andrew
12 Bernard, Andrew Buddy Bernard, he actually
13 resides there, and he signed the petition.

14 So, that's one issue that has never
15 been responded.

16 I received also the statement by
17 Steven Harris, indicating that he didn't sign the
18 petition. It's really troubling.

19 And, as I indicated in my response,
20 I'm forwarding this entire matter to the Office
21 of the Attorney General, and the Office of the
22 Inspector General, for a review and a full

1 investigation.

2 I submitted a properly notarized
3 statement of affidavit and declaration, from
4 Lydia Goring, who is a witness to Mr. Harris
5 signing the petition, and Mr. Harris is captured
6 on video signing the petition.

7 So, that will be made available at the
8 proper time as well. So, this entire matter is
9 inappropriate.

10 I challenge the Registrar's
11 declaration of forgery. There is no
12 determination of forgery. And, in her report,
13 she indicates what appears to be forgery.

14 You can't make, that's improper.
15 Either you think its, either you determine that
16 it's forgery, or you have not.

17 And, there is no basis, no legitimate
18 basis, to determine that any of the signatures
19 are forged.

20 With regard to both Ms. May, Alveria
21 May, and Verlia May, they are not alleging that
22 they didn't sign the petition. They simply

1 alleged that they wanted their signatures
2 removed.

3 And, there is no evidence that they
4 actually made their request timely.

5 And, Mr. Harris' request to remove his
6 signature was not made timely. So, that's not
7 even an issue.

8 With regard to the form, the form is
9 consistent with what the Board approved, and the
10 Registrar is again, incorrect.

11 I think there's some serious problems
12 in the Board of Elections. And, if you decide to
13 vote not to approve the recall measure in this
14 matter, we still have the matter of Sydelle
15 Moore's ongoing malfeasance, and investigations
16 from the D.C. Auditor, the Office of the Attorney
17 General --

18 (Simultaneous speaking.)

19 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Ms. Henderson, your
20 time is up and we're only focused on --

21 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I know, you don't
22 want that on the record, do you?

1 MEMBER GREENFIELD: -- these subjects
2 from the challenge.

3 MS. HENDERSON: But it'll be on the
4 record. It's in the record. It's in the record.
5 There's malfeasance, that's the basis of this
6 recall.

7 MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you, Ms.
8 Henderson.

9 MS. HENDERSON: Thank you.

10 MS. STROUD: And, now, Ms. Sydelle
11 Moore, if you have any response to the Registrar
12 of Voters findings, you may do so now.

13 You have three minutes as well.

14 MS. MOORE: Sure, so I'll be brief and
15 say that you know, not only is the basis for the
16 petition a lie, you know, the way in which it was
17 conducted you know, included a variety of illegal
18 practices.

19 So, in addition to you know,
20 supporting the Registrar's report regarding the
21 challenge, I also support the additional
22 insufficiencies that she found in the petition,

1 and would ask that the Board you know, clearly
2 reject this petition.

3 And, refer for further investigation,
4 the allegations of forgery made by those
5 individuals, voters who found their petition,
6 their, or a replication of something purporting
7 to be their signature, on the petition form.

8 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you, okay.

9 Okay, do we have anybody else?

10 MS. STROUD: No, Board Member
11 Greenfield. Those are the parties.

12 And, any, there is information that
13 was submitted for the record, and that
14 information is part of the record.

15 And, so you are free to proceed.

16 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, yes.

17 I'm going to go ahead. I am going to
18 make a motion and move that we accept the
19 Registrar's findings regarding the challenge
20 submitted by Sydelle Moore in response to the
21 Recall Petition submitted by Kathy Henderson, as
22 a Single Member Board.

1 I'm going to vote aye to accept that
2 motion.

3 MS. STROUD: And, as Board Member
4 Greenfield indicated, she has voted to adopt the
5 report of the Registrar of Voters.

6 And, as a consequence of that, the
7 recall petition submitted in an effort to remove
8 or recall Commissioner ANC Single Member District
9 5D05, Commissioner Sydelle Moore, is reject,
10 rejected as insufficient to put the initiative, I
11 mean, put the recall measure on the ballot.

12 The, as I indicated, this matter may
13 be appealed to either the full Board, or to the
14 D.C. Court of Appeals, pursuant to the statutory
15 provision that I have cited.

16 And, at that time, Ms. Henderson, if
17 you wish to submit something that indicates that
18 in fact, the number of signatures that you
19 submitted in support of the recall effort is in
20 fact, sufficient to place the measure on the
21 ballot, you can you know, take that before either
22 the full Board, or the court, as you are the

1 parties that was adversely impacted by the, the
2 order of the Board, which will be issued
3 forthwith.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

5 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.

6 If we don't have any other matters,
7 I'm going to make a motion to go ahead and
8 adjourn this Special Board Meeting.

9 So, I will move to adjourn the
10 meeting, and then I will vote aye.

11 (No audible response.)

12 MS. STROUD: And, the meeting is
13 hereby adjourned.

14 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Meeting's
15 adjourned.

16 Thank you.

17 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
18 went off the record at 9:48 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

A

a.m. 1:12 3:2 29:18
ability 11:3
able 21:13
above-entitled 29:17
accept 27:18 28:1
accepted 14:2 15:19
access 14:16
Act 11:8
action 17:8
actual 6:16
addition 17:5 20:4 26:19
additional 26:21
address 3:10 5:22 6:4 16:5,17 18:21 19:2,8 20:19 21:20
addressed 22:22
addresses 6:7
adjourn 29:8,9
adjourned 2:14 29:13 29:15
Administrative 11:8
adopt 28:4
adversely 11:14 29:1
Advisory 3:14,22 7:9 8:17 13:12
affidavit 5:21 19:17 24:3
ahead 3:4,18 27:17 29:7
allegations 27:4
alleged 21:21 25:1
alleging 24:21
allotted 20:16
allow 10:9
allowed 10:15
Alveria 24:20
ANC 2:5,11 3:12,15 9:15 28:8
Andrew 23:11,12
anybody 27:9
anyway 21:20 22:1
apologize 12:6
appeal 11:11,15,18,20 12:4,9,14
appealable 12:15
appealed 28:13
Appeals 11:13,16 12:16 28:14
appear 17:16
appeared 14:3 15:19
appears 17:21 20:6 24:13
appreciate 20:22
approve 25:13
approved 25:9
asked 22:8

B

attempt 23:1
Attorney 23:21 25:16
audible 21:1,6 29:11
audio 14:19,22 15:2 21:3,22
Auditor 25:16
August 5:11 14:13,14
authority 11:5 12:21 17:12
available 24:7
aye 28:1 29:10

ballot 4:7 5:3 8:22 10:2 10:4 17:4 28:11,21
based 5:7 8:15 10:17 14:9,13
basic 5:14
basis 24:17,18 26:5,15
behalf 19:19
Bernard 23:12,12
Board 1:4,6,11,14,18 3:5,6,9 4:5,10 5:15,19 9:2,8 10:5,10,13 11:5 11:8,13,19,22 12:1,2 12:7,10,13,18,20 14:5 15:19,21 17:8,14,18 18:1 22:3,19 25:9,12 27:1,10,22 28:3,13,22 29:2,8
Board's 4:2 5:8 6:8,17 7:1 9:20 10:17 11:3 14:9 15:14 19:1
brief 26:14
Brooks 23:1,6
brought 11:9 12:14
Buddy 23:12
building 22:12,15

C

call 3:4 21:8,11
Called 2:2
Cameron 22:9,9,11
Cameron's 22:10
captured 24:5
case 5:10 11:9 14:12 15:22
certification 4:7 8:21 17:3
challenge 2:10 3:13 9:14 10:11,15,22 11:4 13:2,17,20 15:11 20:21 24:10 26:2,21 27:19
challenged 15:12
challenger 14:17 15:2,8
challenges 13:8 15:9 15:15,16,17 16:1,2,22

D

17:5
change 19:2
chapter 11:10
checked 6:1
checking 6:9
circulation 5:19
circulator 5:16,18 19:12,13,15 20:5
circulator's 5:21 19:17
circulators 7:16
circumstances 18:11 20:10
cited 17:6 28:15
clear 12:20
clearly 27:1
Code 4:8,12 9:6 11:6 20:11
Columbia 1:2,11 5:17 13:18
Commissioner 2:5,11 3:12,15,22 7:10 8:17 9:15 10:20 13:3,5,13 17:6 28:8,9
comparison 6:22
compiled 6:12
complete 19:16
completed 5:20
completing 7:14
concerns 15:18
concludes 9:7 17:9
conducted 4:21 26:17
conducts 10:13
confidence 7:7
consequence 28:6
consider 12:11
considered 11:18
consistent 25:9
contain 4:13 8:2 9:3 14:6
contained 4:4 7:13
containing 7:11
contains 5:1 6:14
CONTENTS 2:1
continue 22:13
convened 1:11
Counsel 1:21
counselor 17:7
count 10:8 16:18 17:15 17:16
counted 18:8
couple 23:2
court 11:13,16 12:16 28:14,22

D.C. 4:8,12 9:5 11:6,8,13 11:16 12:15 17:19,20 22:19 23:8 25:16

28:14
dated 19:7
days 5:9 13:16 14:11
DCMR 15:13 17:20 20:8
de 12:3,8
deceased 22:10 23:5,7
decide 12:11 25:12
decision 11:11,16,18 11:20,21,22 12:1,5,10 12:13
decisions 12:17
declaration 24:3,11
designated 18:17
detailed 5:13
determination 7:5 8:1 24:12
determinations 8:18
determine 4:21 6:2,13 23:7,8 24:15,18
determined 4:5 6:20 10:7 23:4
different 16:5
difficulty 21:21
directly 11:15
Director 1:20
disallow 18:7
discounts 18:5
district 1:2,11 2:6,12 3:13,15 4:1 5:6,16 7:10,22 8:18 9:16 13:13,18 14:8 16:6,15 20:2 28:8
District's 10:2
divided 16:19
drawn 6:22
duly 5:5 8:3 14:7 23:11
duplicate 19:6

E

Edward 22:9
effect 5:9 14:10
effort 10:19 13:4 28:7 28:19
either 11:12 24:15,15 28:13,21
elected 5:6 7:22 9:5 14:9 20:3
elections 1:4,11,14,18 10:3 22:19 25:12
electorate 4:22
electors 9:4
entered 6:8
entire 23:20 24:8
enumerated 15:10
EST 1:12
EVANS 1:20 3:19 9:10 13:10 15:5,7
evidence 25:3

examined 6:17
excluded 17:16
executing 4:2
Executive 1:20
exists 22:12
extent 12:17

F

fact 12:4,9 28:18,20
failed 19:16
falls 8:9
Fifty-eight 17:2
file 6:2,8 7:1
filed 4:1 5:10 7:11
 14:11 15:2,8,11 18:10
 19:2,20
filing 17:5
final 11:18 12:1,13,17
findings 26:12 27:19
first 3:8 5:15 17:14
 20:15
five 7:11
Florida 22:11
focused 25:20
following 16:2
foregoing 8:15
forged 24:19
forgeries 16:10
forgery 24:11,12,13,16
 27:4
form 14:4 15:21 17:18
 18:1 25:8,8 27:7
forth 20:11
forthwith 29:3
forward 10:10
forwarding 23:20
found 6:7 7:19 8:12
 10:20 19:21 20:8,10
 26:22 27:5
four 5:14 16:11
Fourth 6:19
Fox 23:3,7,9
fraudulent 17:7
free 27:15
full 11:12 12:13 23:22
 28:13,22
further 27:3

G

General 1:21 23:21,22
 25:17
give 21:10
given 11:5
Goring 24:4
GOVERNMENT 1:1
Greenfield 1:12,16 3:3
 3:5 12:21 14:19 15:1
 21:2 25:19 26:1 27:8

27:11,16 28:4 29:5,14
grounds 16:3

H

Harris 23:17 24:4,5
Harris' 25:5
hear 11:9 12:3,8,21
 13:9 17:11 21:2,7,13
hearing 11:4 21:22
held 19:5
Henderson 9:17,18
 13:4,11,20 20:15,18
 21:1,4,6,10,13,15,19
 22:6 25:19,21 26:3,8
 26:9 27:21 28:16 29:4
HOLMAN 1:20

I

identification 19:11
illegal 26:17
impacted 29:1
improper 24:14
inactive 16:12 18:17
inappropriate 24:9
include 19:7,9
included 26:17
includes 22:7
including 10:4
incorrect 22:20 25:10
independent 9:20 10:6
 10:17
independently 10:13
indicate 19:1
indicated 13:20 20:12
 23:19 28:4,12
indicates 10:16 18:15
 24:13 28:17
indicating 23:17
individual 15:10
individuals 27:5
information 19:16
 27:12,14
initial 10:8 16:18 17:15
initiative 10:5,18 28:10
inspection 13:16
Inspector 23:22
insufficiencies 26:22
insufficient 4:11 8:13
 10:20 19:22 28:10
invalid 20:11
invalidated 18:2,13,19
 18:22 20:7
investigation 24:1 27:3
investigations 25:15
issue 22:17,17 23:14
 25:7
issued 29:2
issues 12:3,8

it'll 26:3
item 3:8

J

jurisdiction 5:17

K

Karen 3:5
Karyn 1:12,16
Kathy 13:10 27:21

L

L 23:10
law 6:5 12:4,9 13:16
lay 12:19 17:12 20:9
lays 18:11
legible 19:11
legitimate 24:17
level 7:7
lie 26:16
line 15:10
list 22:13,20
listed 6:4 7:18 18:21
 23:4
live 22:18
lived 22:12
longer 22:12,15
lose 14:22
lost 14:19 15:2
Lydia 24:4

M

majority 12:3,8
malfeasance 25:15
 26:5
manner 12:16
match 6:7
matter 3:20 9:12,13
 12:22 13:2 23:20 24:8
 25:14,14 28:12 29:17
matters 29:6
mean 28:11
meant 8:3
measure 2:4,10 3:11,14
 4:22 5:2 8:20 9:1,14
 10:4,5,13 16:20 25:13
 28:11,20
measures 10:2
meet 4:6 8:20
meeting 1:6 2:2,14 3:4
 3:9 21:22 29:8,10,12
Meeting's 29:14
member 1:12,16 2:5,11
 3:3,5,13,15 4:1 5:5
 7:10,21 8:18 9:15
 11:17 12:15,21 13:13
 14:8,19 15:1 16:6,15
 20:2 21:2 25:19 26:1

27:8,10,16,22 28:3,8
 29:5,14
members 1:14 12:3,8
 22:3
met 6:20
minimally 23:1
minimum 4:14 5:1,4
 6:21 7:20 8:2 22:21
minutes 20:16,17 21:17
 26:13
missing 16:17
mission 11:1
Monica 1:20 3:17 9:10
Moore 2:4,10 3:12,14
 3:22 7:9 8:17 9:15
 10:20 13:3,3,5,12,17
 15:2,8 17:6 20:16
 26:11,14 27:20 28:9
Moore's 14:17 25:15
morning 3:19
motion 3:8 9:12 27:18
 28:2 29:7
move 9:13 10:10 27:18
 29:9

N

name 5:22 19:8,10
 20:19 23:2
names 6:7,16,17 22:21
 23:2
NE 23:10
need 21:8
Neighborhood 3:22 7:9
 8:17 13:13
never 23:14
notarized 18:6 24:2
notice 1:12
noticed 9:17
notwithstanding 11:7
November 1:9 13:17
novo 12:3,8
number 4:14 5:1 6:14
 6:19 7:18 8:2,14 9:3
 13:22 14:15 15:10
 22:7 28:18
numerical 5:7 8:10
numerically 4:11 19:21

O

obtained 19:18
October 4:1 7:11 13:10
Office 23:20,21 25:16
official 4:8,12 5:6 7:22
 9:5,6 14:9 20:3
once 10:4,7
one- 11:16 12:14
one-member 3:6 11:10
 11:12,21,22 12:5,10

12:12
ongoing 22:17 25:15
onset 10:1
option 11:14
order 2:2 3:4 29:2
original 7:1
outcome 8:7
outlined 7:17
overview 4:16,19

P

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
 3:1
page 14:3,5 15:10,11
 15:20 18:2 22:2
pages 7:11 17:17,21
panel 11:12,17,21,22
 12:5,10,12,15
panels 11:10
paper 14:4 15:21 17:18
 17:22
parallel 10:3
part 27:14
parties 12:20 13:9
 17:11 20:14 27:11
 29:1
party 11:14
Pause 14:18,20 21:5,9
 21:12
pending 12:1
people 22:18
percent 5:4 7:7,21 14:7
petition 2:3,8 3:10,21
 4:5,6,11,16,19,20,21
 5:9,12,18,19,20 6:1,4
 6:5,6,9,13,16 7:6,8,13
 7:16,19 8:1,16 10:8
 10:10,18,18 13:3,11
 13:15,19 14:6,11
 15:11,12 16:22 17:15
 18:8,10,12,18,21,22
 19:3,12,13,14,15,19
 19:20 20:6 22:10
 23:13,18 24:5,6,22
 26:16,22 27:2,5,7,21
 28:7
petitioner 6:3
Petitions 5:14
picture 22:15
place 5:2 28:20
point 8:5 14:21
posted 13:15
posts 10:10
practices 26:18
present 1:14,18 4:22
 9:18
presiding 1:12
previously 19:20

printed 19:7,9
prior 5:19 18:9
problems 25:11
procedure 4:3 11:8
procedures 5:13 7:14
 8:8
proceed 6:15 11:4
 20:20 27:15
proceeding 8:5
process 2:8 4:17,20,20
 5:12 7:17 8:4 9:21
 10:3,6,9,11,14,14,21
 10:22
program 6:9
proper 14:3 15:20
 17:17,22 24:8
properly 24:2
proposed 8:20 9:1
provided 4:18 14:1,4
 15:21 17:18 18:1,8
provides 11:7
provision 28:15
provisions 11:7
public 13:15 14:10
published 5:8
purporting 27:6
purposes 11:17
pursuant 1:12 15:13
 17:13 28:14
put 28:10,11

Q

qualified 19:13
quorum 3:4 9:11

R

random 6:21
randomly 7:3
rate 7:3
read 3:18 9:19 13:7
 18:13 20:13
recall 2:4,10 3:11,14,21
 4:4,22 5:14 7:8 8:16
 9:14 10:12,19 13:3,5
 13:12 16:19,20,22
 17:14 19:20 25:13
 26:6 27:21 28:7,8,11
 28:19
recalled 20:3
receipt 22:4
received 18:9 23:16
receives 17:14
recommendation 4:10
 9:7
record 3:18 12:11 13:8
 18:14 20:14,19 25:22
 26:4,4,4 27:13,14
 29:18

records 6:18 7:2 19:1
 23:9
refer 27:3
referenced 4:4
regard 24:20 25:8
regarding 2:4 3:12,21
 13:8 26:20 27:19
registered 4:3,15 5:1,5
 5:18 6:3,8,14 7:18 8:3
 9:4 13:18 14:7 16:5,8
 16:14,14 18:6,20 20:1
 23:11
registrants 6:10,12,20
 8:9
Registrar 9:19 13:6
 15:16 20:20 21:18
 23:6 25:10 26:11 28:5
Registrar's 10:16 20:13
 22:1 24:10 26:20
 27:19
registration 5:8,10 6:2
 6:12 7:15 14:10,12,14
 18:16,16
Regulation 17:19,20
 18:3
Regulations 15:14
 20:12
regulatory 17:12
reject 4:11 22:1 27:2
 28:9
rejected 9:2 19:21
 28:10
related 12:4,9
relating 12:14
remaining 15:17
remove 25:5 28:7
removed 25:2
replication 27:6
report 3:18 9:18,19
 10:16 13:7 17:9 20:14
 20:21 21:18 22:2
 24:12 26:20 28:5
reproduction 14:4
 15:20 17:17,22
request 18:9 25:4,5
requested 17:7
requests 18:6
required 4:14 5:2,4 6:5
 7:7,21 8:2,7 9:5 13:16
 14:15 19:16
requirement 8:10
requirements 4:7 5:7
 6:21 8:21 17:3
reside 23:9
resident 5:16,17 6:3
resides 23:13
respect 9:20 10:2,12
 11:3 17:8 20:21

respond 20:17 23:1
responded 23:15
response 20:20 21:1,6
 21:17 22:2,4,6 23:19
 26:11 27:20 29:11
result 3:7 16:21
results 2:3 3:11 4:18
 8:15
review 15:22 23:22
reviewed 15:16,17 16:1
rolls 18:17
rule 12:22

S

sample 6:21 7:4
sampling 8:6
says 23:3
second 5:22 9:13 12:6
Section 4:8,12 9:6 11:6
 15:13
sections 20:12
seeing 21:21
selected 7:4
sent 22:14,21
serious 25:11
set 20:11
sets 10:1,3
sheet 5:20 19:19 20:6
sheets 15:11
showing 22:15
sign 22:9 23:17 24:22
signature 6:15,21 8:5
 8:12 17:21 18:18 19:5
 19:6,10,18 20:4,5,6,7
 25:6 27:7
signatures 4:4,14 5:2
 6:22 7:1,4,13 9:3 10:9
 13:21,22 14:1,2,7,15
 15:9,12,18 16:10,19
 16:20 17:1,2,6,7,13
 17:16 18:1,5,7,7,12
 20:10 24:18 25:1
 28:18
signed 6:5 18:18,22
 19:14 23:13
signer 6:1 16:5,8,11,13
 18:20 19:10 20:1
signer's 16:16 18:15,16
signers 6:6 7:16
signing 24:5,6
signor 19:8
simply 24:22
Simultaneous 25:18
Single 2:5,11 3:13,15
 3:22 5:5 7:10,21 8:17
 9:15 13:13 14:8 16:6
 16:15 20:2 27:22 28:8
SMD 14:14

sought 20:3
speaking 25:18
Special 1:6 2:2 3:9 29:8
specific 2:3 3:10
specifies 17:21
staff 1:18 4:5 5:15
stage 6:15 8:6
standard 4:2 5:13 8:8
start 21:16
started 15:1
state 20:18
statement 23:16 24:3
statistical 7:5
status 7:15
statute 10:1,3 11:5
statutory 4:6 8:21 17:3
28:14
stayed 12:1
steps 5:14 7:16
Steven 23:17
Street 23:10
STROUD 1:21 14:21
15:4,6 17:10 21:3,7
21:10,15 22:5 26:7,10
27:10 28:3 29:12
sub- 11:9
subjects 26:1
submit 18:6 21:17
28:17
submitted 10:5,8,19
13:2,4,11,11,20 15:15
19:4,19 22:2 24:2
27:13,20,21 28:7,19
sufficiency 7:6
sufficient 6:14 9:3 10:9
28:20
sufficiently 19:11
summary 4:17 7:8
support 10:19 13:12
26:21 28:19
supporting 26:20
Sydelle 2:4,10 3:12,21
7:9 8:16 9:14 13:2,12
13:17 14:17 15:2,8
25:14 26:10 27:20
28:9
system 6:2,13

T

taken 11:12,15,20
tax 23:8
Teleconference 1:11
Ten 16:13
TERRI 1:21
thank 3:19 9:9,10 17:10
26:7,9 27:8 29:16
things 22:7
Third 6:11

Thirty-two 16:7
three 16:9 20:16,17
21:17 26:13
threshold 8:10
timely 19:2 25:4,6
timer 21:16
Title 15:13 17:19,20
today 3:20
total 6:11,19 7:17,20
8:13 13:21,22 15:9,22
totals 5:8,11 14:10,13
14:14
troubling 23:18
Twenty 14:2
Twenty-six 16:4
twice 23:4
typed 19:8,8,10

U

universe 8:9
upheld 16:2,4,7,9,11,13
16:16

V

valid 8:13 14:6,15 16:1
16:2,21 19:5,6
validated 17:13
validation 2:3 3:11
validity 7:3
variety 26:17
verification 2:8 3:21
4:17,19,20 5:12,13
6:15 8:4,11,16 9:21
10:6,14,17
verified 6:9,11,20 8:9
verify 5:15
verifying 4:3 7:15
Verlene 22:8
Verlia 24:21
video 1:11 24:6
vote 3:8 6:3 9:12 12:2,7
18:20 25:13 28:1
29:10
voted 28:4
voter 4:3 5:1,10 6:1,8
7:1,15 13:18 14:12
16:8,14,14 18:16,16
18:17 19:2 20:2 23:4
23:11
voters 4:15 5:5 6:14
7:18 8:3 9:20 13:7
14:8 18:6 20:21 21:18
22:14 26:12 27:5 28:5

W

Wallace 23:3
wanted 9:22 12:19
17:12 20:9 25:1

ward 9:4
way 26:16
WEDNESDAY 1:8
went 29:18
wish 28:17
witness 24:4

X

Y

years 22:11

Z

0

1

1- 4:8,12
1-001.17(k)(1) 9:6
1-1001.17(g) 11:6
1,612 7:21
10 5:4 7:21 13:16 14:7
1001.17(k)(1) 4:9,13
103 16:22
109 15:9,15
1105.4(a) 17:20 18:4
1105.4(d) 18:10
1107.1 15:13 18:11
1107.1(a) 18:15
1109.4 20:8
13 15:17
161 14:16
179 14:1 16:20
1801 23:9
195 13:21
199 7:13

2

2 7:16 9:1
20-plus 22:2
2021 1:9 4:1 5:11 7:12
13:10,17 14:13,15
24 1:9
25 4:1 7:11 13:10
29 2:14

3

3 2:2,6 7:17 14:5 15:13
17:19,20 20:8
30 5:9 14:10
31 5:11 14:13,14

4

4 2:8 13:17

5

5D05 2:6,12 3:13,16 4:1
7:10 8:18 9:16 13:14

14:14 22:14,19 28:9

6

63 7:20
64 22:21

7

76 15:22 16:1,21

8

9

9 2:12
9:00 1:12
9:18 3:2
9:48 29:18
95 7:7
96 15:15 16:1
98 7:19

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 11-24-21

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under
my direction; further, that said transcript is a
true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Neal R Gross

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701