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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
(10:10 a.m.)
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. I see a lot of people out there. Good morning, everybody. Hopefully everybody can hear me. Well, can I get some thumbs up from around out there? Okay. Hey, good morning. My name is Gary Thompson. I'm the chair of the Board of Elections. And I'll let the other two board members introduce themselves. Karyn?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Hi. Karyn Greenfield, board member.

MEMBER GILL: Hello. Mike Gill, board member.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So, we've got all three board members here, which means we have a quorum. And we're here today on March 24, 2022, to hold a special meeting to take a look at the Certification of Petition Verification Results for Initiative Measure Number 82, otherwise known as the District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021. Petitions with
signatures were submitted, $I$ believe on February 22, 2022.

And with that, I will turn it over to the Executive Director, Monica Holman-Evans to give us a report.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Thank you. Good morning. I'm going to provide a status report of the Petition Verification Process of Initiative Measure 82, District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021. Pursuant to 3 DCMR 1009.11, the District of Columbia Board of Elections is required to complete signature verification of the randomly sampled names appearing on the petition filed in support of Initiative Measure 82. The Petition Verification Process has four steps.

First, the Board staff verifies if the circulator is a resident of the District of Columbia or a resident of another jurisdiction who registered as a petition circulator with the Board prior to the circulation of the Petition sheet, and if the petition does not have a
completed circulator's affidavit. This determination is based solely on the information provided in the circulator's affidavit.

Second, the name and address of each petition signer is checked against the voter registration system to determine if the petitioner was registered to vote at the residence address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed as required by law. Only those petition signers whose names and addresses are found to match the Board's registered voter file are entered into the petition tracking program as verified registrants.

Third, the totals of verified registrants are compiled by registration system to determine whether the petition contains a sufficient number of registered voters to proceed to this signature of verification stage and which the actual signatures on the petition are examined.

Fourth, with a total number of
verified registrants is determined to have met the minimum signature requirement, a random sample of signatures is drawn for comparison to the original voter signatures on file and the Board's records.

The validity rate of the random sample or selected signatures and the sample is then used to make a statistical determination of the sufficiency of the petition as a whole and by ward as required -- at a confidence level of 95 percent. The Data Management Division or DMD of the Office of Planning determines, one, whether a ward equals or exceeds the required number of authentic signatures with 95 percent confidence, whether a ward does not equal or exceed the required number of authentic signatures with 95 percent confidence, and should be rejected or whether a larger sample should be drawn, since no decision could be made with 95 percent confidence from the sample used.

The initial statistical summary
findings from DMD indicated acceptance for three
of the eight wards with no decision in two wards and rejection of three wards. As a whole, the District met the 95 percent confidence threshold. Wards 1, 3 and 4 were accepted. Wards 5, 6 and -- I'm sorry 5, 7 and 8 were rejected and there was no decision in Wards 2 and 6.

Therefore, DMD indicated a larger sample should be drawn for Wards 2 and 6, since no decision could be made. The statistical summary and findings based on the larger sample will be ready today. At that time, we will provide a determination as to whether Initiative Measure $\mathbf{8 2}$ meets the statutory requirements for certification to the ballot. And that concludes my report.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. And I know anybody that's attending here today I think has a pretty specialized interest in what's happening. But just in case somebody out there didn't quite follow all -- the import of all that, our DC checker summarizes the requirements when there's a barter initiative like Initiative 82 that the
signatures of registered qualified electors must be equal in number to 5 percent of the registered electors in the District of Columbia as a whole, which I understand Director Evans to have just reported that that's been met provided that in addition, you also have to meet the 5 percent threshold in this at least five of the eight wards of the city.

And as we've heard from the initial review, there's a yes so far for three of the eight wards with Wards 2 and 6 are pending, because the random sampling process takes place, but I believe is defined more specifically in R-3 of the DC Municipal Regulations at Section 1000 et cetera, is a process laid out for how that random sampling works. That was done, it seemed conclusive at least as of 10:17 a.m. this morning with respect to Wards 2 and 6.

## But as I understand from Director

Evans, that data is being reviewed as we speak and we will be able to issue a final report today. And so hopefully I summarized that
correctly, if Director Evans maybe can correct me if I've misspoken in some way.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Yes. That was an accurate summary. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: So -- and our apologies, we issued the notice for this meeting at 10:00 a.m. thinking it would be -- the data would be fully available at this time. And as information rolled in yesterday from the DC Office of Planning, which plays a role in looking at the data, it became apparent by late yesterday afternoon that it wouldn't be ready by 10:00 a.m. and it was too late to re-notify.

So we -- we're just holding this meeting to give everybody this status report and then we plan to reconvene as soon as we have the information from the Office of Planning and our own Board staff, check over everything, so we can give everybody the results from Wards 2 and 6 as well. Other board members have any comment? Karyn or Mike?

MEMBER GILL: I do not have a comment.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Director Evans or General Counsel Terri Stroud, anything else that you all would like to say at this time? MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Well, what we planned to do is the -- the office is working now on -- we have the report -- we are working on the signature comparison now using the samples that we were provided with from the Office of Planning. And so we expect that we will be done within 2 hours. And then we can return the information back to the Office of Planning. And once we do that, we will provide updated notice with respect to when we can reconvene based on the Board members' availability.

CHAIR THOMPSON: And I take it that notice goes up on the BOE websites, so everybody here and other members of the public can catch that information and then we can reconvene it. I'm just guessing 2:00 p.m. or something like that or 3:00 p.m.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Yes. We're going
to put it on our website and tweet it out and
we're going to issue be -- whoever subscribes to receive notices from the Board will receive it as well. And we will certainly notify the interested parties directly as to when the work is done and we will be meeting again.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So just so everybody knows, there is a statutory period of 30 days from submission of the petitions for the BOE to make a determination about validity of signatures, and I believe that's today. So, we intend and hope to meet that 30 -day deadline, you know, barring some, you know, emergency
circumstance that has yet to emerge, but we'll keep everybody closely informed.

So at this time, we also want to hear from you as well about anything that anyone would like to chime in on. And I think I'm going to call first on $I$ believe Christopher LaFon, an attorney with various law firms, sent us a letter that raises an issue that has to do with exactly what is the "latest official count date for the voter registry list?" Is it one that was
published and reported as of December 31, 2021, or perhaps another date?

I just read this letter this morning myself. So I'm just sort of starting to grasp the issue. And of course I think you have to know from the data whether that would be an outcome determinative, you know, sort of footnote issue whether we have to toggle between one or the other whether that would check an overall pass or fail. So I'm not sure if this is going to matter, but it was an interesting letter and I really appreciate receiving it. So I think I'll let Mr. LaFon speak to that if he would like.

MR. KLINE: I will actually. Andrew Kline of Veritas Law Firm and counsel to Valerie Graham and also the 82 Initiative Committee. The two issues before we get to that. One is notice of this meeting wasn't proper and that DC 11001.05 requires that there be at least 48 -hour notice of meetings, you know, regular meetings. That was not done.

I don't know how that will be done if
next meeting is 2:00. But I raise that as of the preliminary issue. Second is I'm very troubled to hear that the sampling has begun and that process has begun, because we had requested the ability to be watchers as allowed in the statute, had several conversations with the office and we were assured that we would be given that opportunity. So I'm quite troubled to learn that that process has started.

We were not advised, we're not given our statutory right on behalf of the Initiative Committee to observe that process and we would request that the process begin (inaudible) because it's part of our right and it's a right that we have under the statute on behalf of the Initiative Committee. The other issue is important because as this Board knows redistricting proof of fact as of January 1. It is our understanding, although it hasn't been discussed during this meeting, but it is our understanding that it is intended that the reference point for determining minimum number of
signature to be 4 percent to 5 percent. The total will be in the number of registered voters that is derived from the statistics that were published in the D.C. register in the third week of January and reflecting their roles as of December 31st of 2021, which would be the all districts rather than the district that this directs.

And we would point the Board to -- in the letter, and I think it's spelled out pretty clearly in the letter by Chris LaFon in our office under 1-1001.05. The first duty listed for the Board is to maintain a uniform, interactive, computerized, voter registration list which serves as the official voter registration list. And it is our understanding that the statistics that were published at the end of each month are derived directly from that computerized list as they must be under the relevant statute and under the Help America Vote Act, pursuant to which the statute was passed, which contemplates that the computer system, the
list of voters maintained there is the official list.

We don't know and we've checked that anything published isn't different than that. And if you look down in duties, it's interesting that the obligation to publish, it's in the same section and it's down in 1-1001.05 (a)7 (phonetic), which requires the publication in the D.C. register in the third Friday of each month, the total number of five registered -- letters registered on the last day of the preceding month.

But nowhere in that subsection does the word official appear. And it was contemplated in the Help America Vote Act was passed that we move away from these paper ballots and we would have sophisticated computer systems from which one can run a report any minute, any hour, any day and that certainly could and needs to be done with respect to this tally, because the statute provides that was to be considered is the voter list or voter role at least 30 days
prior to -- or 30 days prior to the submission of the positions, which by our calculations should be January 22.

And in looking at -- we don't have January 22, we're not able to run that before the office is able to run it formally or not. But it looking at January 31 as just sort of a reference point, it would seem that this is a very trivial distinction in terms of which role to use specifically with respect to Ward 2 . So we would ask that the Board use the proper role as required by the statute, as we've argued in the letter, we would request we allow to be watchers as allowed by the statute as reflected on our request to do so and is acknowledged by the office that we had the right to do so and our promise that we've had that opportunity.

And number three, we request that the Board comply with its requirements of notice in the meeting at least 48 hours in advance and I know this in discussion for emergencies. I've seen a sign in government offices many times that
your failure to plan does not constitute an emergency on our part. And I think that's what we're looking at here. This 24th day has been known for quite some time. So why it was not properly noticed, I can't answer, but there may be folks and I also understand just as an aside that the notice was given on the Board's website, which I'm told was down much of yesterday.

So if there are other members of the public out there aside from those of us who managed to be here today who might have an interest in this matter, they've been almost utterly deprived of getting noticed in any way to participate.

Some of you may recall that these notice and meetings issues can be very important as evidenced by result with respect to the referendum that was filed concerning Initiative 77, where Superior Court held the wards' failure to give the required notice that is described in the statute and the D.C. register was fail. So I don't think that that's where we want to go
again. And we'd respectfully request that the statute that will be compliance with the statute in all its sense. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Kline. Really appreciated your comments in the letter, something we will look at carefully and thoughtfully as we work through these issues. Okay. Anybody else who's with us at this moment, would like to make a comment? You can raise your hand or just unmute yourself.

Okay. Seeing none -- hearing none, I'd ask either Director Evans or General Counsel Stroud, any final comments before we close for now?

MS. STROUD: I have no additional
comments.
MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: I don't either at this moment. Yes. I don't have any at this time.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. It is 10:30.
I don't think we could accurately predict when we'll reconvene at this point. Yes, if I'd
guess, I would say either 2:00 or 3:00, but, you know, we will make that decision ASAP and get notice out to everybody here and on the website as soon as we can. And see everybody in a couple of hours. So with that, I would move we adjourn at this time.

MEMBER GILL: Second.
CHAIR THOMPSON: There you are. All in favor of adjournment, aye.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you everybody. We'll talk to you soon. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:31 a.m. and resumed at 3:10 p.m.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: Hey, good afternoon everybody. We are Zooming our meeting from earlier this morning. It's now 3:10 p.m. on March 24, 2022, and we are continuing to report on the signature verification process relating to Voter Initiative Number 82. Thank you for
bearing with us. The results are incredibly close.

It's seemingly changing, you know, by the hour as new data is coming in and being analyzed. When we spoke earlier at about 10:10 and this morning Initiative 82 had already cleared with 95 percent confidence, the overall 5 percent threshold needed for the District of Columbia as a whole. And it had already cleared with 95 percent confidence in Wards 1, 3 and 4 and not with respect to Wards 5, 7 and 8. And undetermined at the time were Wards 2 and 6 and obviously you need five of eight wards, so everybody is eagerly awaiting to hear what are the results of the data analysis for Wards 2 and 6.

$$
\text { And with that } I \text { will turn over to }
$$ Executive Director Evans for an updated report.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon. At this point, I'm going to provide an updated status on the Petition Verification Process of Initiative Measure Number

82, District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021. As previously mentioned, the initial statistical findings from the data management division of the Office of Planning indicated acceptance of three of the eight wards and rejection of three of the eight wards and then no decision on 2 Wards.

At that time, DMD indicated a larger sample would be drawn for Wards 2 and 6, since no decision could be made. And we did receive the larger sample. Based on that second sample, Ward 2 was accepted. There is still no decision on Ward 6. DMD has indicated they will do another and larger sample. And the statistical summary and findings based on that larger sample should be ready shortly, I thought we were about to get that. So we are still waiting on final results for Ward 6. And that is my report.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. This is Chair Gary Thompson again. Sorry, I didn't reintroduce myself earlier. Thank you, everybody. So as I understand it, there's been a challenge made with
respect to Wards 2, 5, 7 and 8 . The 5, 7 and 8 have been rejected, 2 has now been accepted. And I believe is right there with -- therefore with respect to such a challenge, this is little timing difference here because today, what we're trying to do is certify or not certify the petition with a ballot challenge itself is now teed up for March 28, is it 1:00 p.m., I think? MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Yes. Correct. CHAIR THOMPSON: 1:00 p.m. and at that time, we'll take up any remaining issues if there are any that $I$ believe will focus on the Ward 2 acceptance, among other things, but, you know, as we found out this morning, this issue was raised as to what is the correct denominator, is it driven by the voter registration list in place as of December 31, 2021, as published register? Or is it more dynamic and is it notable and is it fixed as of January 22, 2022, it's 30 days prior to the submission of the petitions.

Is -- does it even matter? Is that outcome determinative? But the issue has been
raised and we appreciate that and certainly it is something that, you know, we -- we're considering. So we're glad to have a little extra time to think about that in here. Further in that regard on March 28. And in the meantime, what we're trying to do, at least what $I$ was trying -- one thing I'm trying to do is get an exact count on the voter registration list numbers as of January 22 as opposed to December 31 to see if that is -- even as an outcome determinative issue.

It was mentioned in the letter that if you've used the numbers from January 31, "as a proxy for January 22, therefore, therefore." But my immediate question after the earlier hearing was that not use anything as a proxy and try to get that actual January 22 number, so we know whether it even matters. So I just -- I wanted to put that on the record as a follow-up from earlier and kind of part for now any further discussion about the challenge to more two results, which we know to be an affirmative.

And by affirmative being with 95 percent confidence on the signatures that were selected, the random sampling. So that's where we are with respect to Ward 6. It remains at no decision because the 95 percent confidence threshold is neither above or below the statistical range, which either determination as of this time. And, you know, if anybody really needs to drill down on this, as I'm sure many of you have, most pertinent provision is DC Municipal Regulations in Title 3, Section 1009, which goes through the litany of how this random sampling process works.

And the end result of it all is in 1009.9, where one of three things can happen. Sub A of ward or the District as a whole, and in this case, we're talking about Ward 6, does it equal or exceed the required number of authentic signatures with 95 percent confidence and accepted. Or b), whether it does not equal or exceed 95 percent confidence (inaudible). Or sub C whether a larger sample should be drawn since
no decision would be made with 95 percent confidence from the sample use.

That's where we are. We're in the we don't know stage. And I mean, just kind of everybody is in slack. This is totally unprecedented. You know, when I study these regulations in preparation for being on this ward, this is the very last provision I thought would be the hinge on something like this. I -but I see that underlying that at the time, so I don't know.

But you know, I've read and reread and reread this thing and we're sort of stuck right now as of 3:19 p.m. in this we don't know universe waiting for further data from the Office of Planning and so we can give everybody clarity and whatever that ultimate determination is, no, we're working on an ASAP basis to reach it. We're aware that this is the 30th day since the petitions are filed, and we're trying to reach that determination by the end of the day.

> If we can't, we believe the
regulations, because of this mere we don't know outcome is specifically articulated in the subprovision that there could be an extension of time needed to reach a final determination. But the key finding samples and samples, more samples and that's just kind of where we are. So hopefully, I haven't misstated or mis-summarized anything. I just was trying to really make sure everybody understands exactly where we are as of this moment. And finally ask that either Board members or Director Evans or General Counsel Stroud to tell me if you'd like at this time. MS. STROUD: Thank you, Chairman. Yes. I think you have summarized that adequately and at this point we are waiting for one additional statistical report from Planning. At that time, I think we will have the information we need that will determine how we move forward. And essentially it's Department of Planning. They make the determination whether a larger sample should be drawn based on what they're seeing and based on the statistics they have
available. And so we're just waiting for that report with that information included.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: And to be clear, the report should allow us to make a determination as to whether or not to accept or reject, not, you know, it's an up or down determination that, you know, we are looking at and if we can, you know, look at this next report and get that information, then, you know, that's what we'll go on. Yes. So that's what we're looking for, an upper data on accept or reject based on the sample that's reviewed.

> CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. And to add to that, $I$ think if we're not able to certify Ward 6 signatures as numerically sufficient, then there can't be a certification of the overall initiative based on that, if that's what happens. That's obviously subject to challenge as well. And as I'm sure the proposers are well aware, so, you know, whether we allow ourselves a little more time to try to be definitive and certain with everybody, you know, we appreciate that the
proposers themselves may call such a result into question or vice versa the challengers may challenge, you know, either way, we're going to be continuing to work on this with as much precision as possible into next week.

Looking obviously towards that on
March 28 hearing, we address the challenge specifically, but I mean, they call us to get it right. I mean the signatures either are matching or not. And there's ways to do that quickly in reaching 95 percent certainty threshold. But if you've got to do it the long way, you've got to do it the long way and, you know, that's just where we are, so. I see Mr. Kline has his hand up and invite him to comment. Although you're muted. Make sure you're unmute --

MR. KLINE: Yes. Well, I thought I
did. As I was saying, thank you, and we certainly appreciate your efforts to get it right, but, you know, a couple of questions. And also, we renew our objection that we were deprived of the opportunity to be watchers with
respect to this statistical sampling which has been completed. That was never done at this morning. There's been no change with respect to that. I do want to clarify the Ward 2 acceptance. I'm assuming it's based on 12/31/2021 voter statistics. Is that a fair assumption?

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: That is correct.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And then second is may we have access to the calculations that were done with respect to the statistical sampling so that we can verify those?

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: I don't see an issue with that.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And then the third is when will the Ward 2 numbers be available? I note that there hasn't been an announcement as to what it was, what the margin is, how many signatures were determined?

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: We'll provide that. What we did was, you know, we're looking at the universe of signatures that we disagree with the
challenger on. So we're looking at the signatures that Graham challenged that the Board accepted and that's the universe of signature that we would be considering and we will provide that to you this evening or, you know, as soon as we're done compiling that information. So you'll have that today.

MR. KLINE: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: You're welcome. CHAIR THOMPSON: Anybody else is listening in, would anyone else like to comment at this time? This is happening in real time, $I$ mean, we literally just sort of got this no determination information and Ward 2 information. MS. STROUD: Yes. Mr. Chair, someone indicated there may be some questions in the chat, so if you'll just allow us to check that. Let's start with the top. Just -- okay.

CHAIR THOMPSON: I can read it. It's -- it helps with the record. The question is signatures were gathered from mid-October 2021
through mid-February 2022 and the ward lines from Wards 2, 6, 7 and 8 changed considerably beginning January 1, 2022. The ward residents of any individual sign-in should apply on the date they signed. Is the DC BOE verifying the ward address for signers who listed Wards 2, 5, 6, 7 or 8 in conjunction with the date they signed, and then cross-checking the old ward maps with the newly established wards?

MS. STROUD: I'd say yes. Yes. I think that pretty much captures the process. We are looking at where they were when they sign, but we are using the wards as of December 31. So we're -- not exactly sure $I$ understand the full question, but we are checking to see where they were. We do realize that some people who signed moved and essentially where they were when they signed should be the information that we're using. But as far as this list go, so the decision of this list.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. (Inaudible) on top of all this is the ward boundaries changed in
the middle of this process. And the proposers went out there on the streets in October and often November and often December based on the voter registration lists that were being published on October 31 to November 30 and December 31. And from the signatures collected, quite obviously targeted in their signature effort around those numbers.

And as the director mentioned, and I think as everybody here heard earlier, the denominator is indeed defined by the December 31, 2021, voter registration list as published for purposes of defining the 5 percent threshold. And so that's driving the 5 percent signatures needed. Specifically with respect to Ward 6, that's 4,534 signatures needed. And so they're looking to verify that those who signed at the time, they sign from Ward 4 -- I'm sorry from Ward 6 qualify.

My understanding is that if they sign in October or November and December, some people who were in Ward 6 might not be anymore in Ward

6, that that would count towards that threshold. MS. STROUD: So is that it for the chat?

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. STROUD: I believe that's as far as what's in the chat.

CHAIR THOMPSON: So I mean, we're moving here in real time as data is coming in. I don't -- I just asked a question because I don't know the answer, we're going to be convened today at a later time. What is the suggestion or recommendation of general counsel?

MS. STROUD: We are expecting the additional information in the new report from DMD Office of Planning shortly. I think it would -it'd probably be reasonable to say that we will have everything by 5:00. If that gives everyone an opportunity to reconvene. And I don't know, maybe 5:30, but just to be on the safe side, maybe we should reconvene, that will allow us just to gather everything and make sure we go through the full report that is provided by

Office of Planning. So it will be my recommendation that 5:30, if that works with your schedule and with Ms. Greenfield. And, yes, I don't know what Mike Gill's schedule is.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: (Inaudible).
MEMBER GILL: Yes. I'm free for the rest of the day.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. I guess with that we make a motion that we adjourn for now. And Zoom at 5:30.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Second.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you everybody for bearing with us. Appreciate your patience.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you.
MS. STROUD: Thank you.
CHAIR THOMPSON: That's all right.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:31 p.m. and resumed at 5:51 p.m.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Well,
good evening now. 5:51 p.m. My name is Gary Thompson, the chair of the Board of Elections. I'm here with the other two board members, Karyn Greenfield and Mike Gill. And we once again have a quorum to proceed with part 3 of our consideration of Initiative 82 's signatures. And without repeating state of plan, we're basically looking at Ward 6 to see if the 5 percent threshold is met. Namely are there 4,534 ballot signatures in Ward 6. And we basically are at the point where we feel I have a determination, so I'll turn it over to Director Evans to give any update and then I'll come back and discuss them.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Thank you, Mr.
Chair. Pursuant to 3 DCMR 1009.11, the District of Columbia Board of Elections has -- is in the process of completing signature verification of the randomly selected names appearing on the petition filed in support of Initiative Measure Number 82, the District of Columbia Tip Credit Elimination Act of 2021.

As far as summary of findings, the petition for Initiative Measure 82, containing 7,966 pages was filed on February 22, 2022. The petition containing 33,228 signatures. After completing the procedures for verifying voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, the total number of eligible signatures counted for qualifying the Initiative for the ballot was found to be 26,935.

As noted earlier, the random sample procedure is conducted to determine with the required level of confidence whether or not a sufficient number of ballot signatures of registered voters are contained in the petition. And to help facilitate the sampling procedure, the Office of Planning randomly selects a sample of 100 petition signatures from each ward. The initial statistical summary findings from Planning indicated acceptance for three of the eight wards with no decision in two wards and rejection of three wards.

As a whole, the District met the 95
percent confidence threshold, or its 1, 3 and 4 Wards accepted, Wards 5, 7 and 8 were rejected and there is no decision in Wards 2 and 6. Therefore, DMD indicate a larger sample would be drawn for Wards 2 and 6, since no decision could be made. The DMD statistical summary findings from the larger sample resulted in the acceptance of Ward 2, with still no decision with respect to Ward 6.

Consistent with our regulations, DMD determined a third or even larger sample should be drawn for Ward 2. The finals, that's statistical summary findings provided no decision for Ward 2. At this point -- oh, I'm sorry of Ward 6. At this point, it is the recommendation that the Board -- or at this point, the Board will continue to review data that is coming from Planning in order to make a recommendation as to whether or not this measure meets and qualifies for ballot access.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. So
basically they're still unable to determine 95
percent confidence that the total number of authentic signatures with respect to Ward 6 equals or exceeds signature requirements. The regulation clearly allows for larger samples to be taken. There's that third option if you don't get a yes or no to keep taking larger samples. And I'll just speak for myself at the moment, it's not a determination of anything, it's just wanting to see a definitive answer with respect to that.

But this is all simply because apparently it is so close that no matter how many times they get back into the well to sample signatures, they're not able to get to a confidence level of rejecting or accepting, they're still coming back undetermined or undecided. And the statute or regulation is silent as to how long you do this. You know, how many times you go, at what point do you give up and say it can't be reached, this 95 percent signature threshold.

And I'm just frustrated because I
wanted -- I'd like there to be for everyone's sake an answer with certainty before we, you know, call it a day and declare that the 95 percent confidence level just can't be reached. So we keep asking them to go back and keep at it. And that's just kind of where $I$ am right now.

Other than it being 5:57, a logical answer is, you know, take a larger sample size, take 500, take 1,000. Those are just my thoughts. So I think, I'll pass on the mic to when I started for Member Karyn Greenfield and you heard her comments.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well, I agree. I
think we need to do at least -- we need to do another sample size, because it's not in either direction and I'm not sure it's fair to determine -- just make a determination just so we can stop the sampling. We do need to get another sample so that we can know that this is accurate. The one thing -- and I'm not sure in terms of -- does in terms of the timeframe or whether we just continue this today and meet again in a few
hours. But I do think we need -- I do think we need to do that. I don't know how else really effectively to make a determination when they didn't come out either way as of yet, so.

MEMBER GILL: Hey, Gary. So Gary, it's Mike. Sorry. I agree with you and Karyn. I maybe just, I mean common sense says you count and people are expecting that there's a -- as you've made reference to a numerator and denominator, at some point we should count. You know, if you're doing this right, you're counting them all. Now, I guess just one thing I want to just be clear on, because there's a sense that the Office of Planning is like, you know, we're done, and, you know, that was brought to us as a board, them saying we're done, we just can't figure it out. And we're asking them to go back. And I just want to give, you know, let's determine now that if they take another sample size and they don't get it, that the answer from the Board is, go back, go back. I mean, at some point you can count every one of
these votes. I think what we're learning is that that's not how they do it. They don't actually count the votes. They take a sample size and then they take another sample size. At some point, we're going to run out of samples sizes. I mean, there's a finite amount of times you can go back because you run out of samples.

But can we as a board say keep
counting till you come to a determination or you run out of the ability to go back and get sample sizes? They may come back -- Office of Planning may come back and say we're not going to do it. But I think that's for them to say and make and sort of own that. From the Board of Elections' standpoint, we would say, you know, keep counting, right? So I just want make sure we're clear on that.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Now, that's a good point. I think each time they do it, they take a sample of 100 or 150 . It moves those signatures, samples from whom, they want to do another 100 or 150, those are the new ones. So we're starting
to see pattern. But, you know, I think I would -- I guess I'll file that together and make a motion that we the Board request that the Office of Planning through the Data Management Division, the DMD, proceed with a larger sample size.

Larger is the word that you see in the regulation, doesn't say how large. And I would suggest for their consideration a sample size of 500. And try to get back to us this evening if they can, see if we can get to a definitive answer.

MS. STROUD: I am happy to ask them to do that as long as we are clear that their workday is done. So, I don't know that we'll be able to get anything else this evening. I can ask. I don't have a problem with doing that, but I just want to be realistic about our expectations as far as getting anything else this evening.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Let me make sure -Mike, we're preparing here a second, passing my motion. Any second to what I put on the table.

MEMBER GILL: Sorry, Gary, I couldn't
hear you there. Can you repeat that?
CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. I mean a motion that we request the Data Management Division at Office of Planning, we can talk a sample of 500 more ballots, see if they need (inaudible).

MS. STROUD: Signatures.
MEMBER GILL: Signatures.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Signatures. I'm sorry.

MS. STROUD: Mr. Chair, if I may just for a moment, with certain numbers, it's hard for them to get a sample. So for instance, when they try to use 100, they could not use that number as a sample because it would provide a situation where it can never be achieved because the higher end was 100 and you can't get 101 out of 100 . 150 worked because it came to 149, so you could get 150 above the 149. They tried 200, 200 didn't work because again, that was an impossibility.

So I don't know if you want to be very specific with that 500 number, because that may
also create a scenario where it's impossible to achieve.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, I guess in that case, approximately or -- because this number that's practical from --

MS. STROUD: Yes. Thank you for entertaining my ask --

MEMBER GILL: Yes. So I -- Gary, I get the gist of your motion. A larger sample size, but one that proved, but not so locked in, and $I$ second that motion.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All in favor?
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: That passes. And we're, you know, we're aware of the time of the evening and maybe they're not going to be able to get through it this evening. If that's the case, then we're running up against the time provision that otherwise requires that this Board determine by today whether the petition contains the number of ballot signatures necessary in terms of
percentage and ward distribution requirements to be certified for ballot access and we're not there yet.

They're still stuck in this limbo. But hoping that this round of sampling will yield more certainty and if it doesn't today, $I$ assume they understand that, you know, people can make the argument since they're aware about what that means in terms of us asking that 30-day window. But that's the least of my concerns right now. I think we're concerned that we want them to really tell us the answer.

I mean, at some point you can count every single one of the signatures or inspect every single one of the signatures to see if there's a pass. So, you know, giving them the task of looking in front where they're about 550, 475, whatever it is, will hopefully yield enough of another sample size $I$ guess a confidence answer. Hopefully, if not tonight, hopefully by tomorrow. Tomorrow is Friday. If not Friday, by Monday.

We still -- this 30-day issue aside, there is still time, ample time to run this to ground and get the right answer. There's an absolute drop that they -- by which it is going to be certified and included on the ballot. It certainly has to be decided by that day, but I think that starts somewhere in April.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: We will the ballot lottery as tentatively scheduled for April 29, and the ballots have to be mailed out to overseas -- military overseas voters by the 45th day before the election, which I think is about a week or so after that, May 6.

CHAIR THOMPSON: In other words there's no prejudice --

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: No.
CHAIR THOMPSON: -- no concern waiting another week, if that's what it takes to get the right answer. And it's going to be what it's going to be. I mean, and ultimately it's a number, the numerator is a number. We can debate the denominator $I$ guess separately. But that's
where we are.
MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Okay. I'm just confirming the ballots will have to go out to yield (inaudible) voters on, let's see, May 6.

CHAIR THOMPSON: So we see a question in the chat. Have any counts come in below 5 percent specifically for Ward 6? And the answer is, it's not -- I don't think it's that simple, it's a calculation from these samples. There's a range to get to the 95 percent certainty threshold in one direction or another, have to be below or above the range, get the certainty point.

Then all three samples we've seen today, it's within the brackets. So I think the latest one we saw is 150 sample for Ward 6. And have 146 signatures in the sample. The range to define, fiscal range was 95 percent certainty was between 142 and 149. So it's in middle, 146 is not definitive enough.

Those who've got a calculator, 146 out of 150 looks to be 97.3333 percent of ballots
sampled pass. But smaller number do not pass. So it's not enough -- not enough of the sample size to be determined with confidence whether all signatures are 46,56 is going to be minus the ones that aren't going to qualify, it's going to be enough to pass, ultimately pass the prior number of 45,34 . That's just me talking as I've been trying process the math behind this and impress lawyers. We all took math in, you know, junior, high school. So we're doing our best. So I think that -- I don't know if that answers your question, but it doesn't come back and that data doesn't come back in terms 5.1 percent or 5.3 percent.

And another chapter. So there's roughly a 94 to 98 percent chance that 5 percent of eligible voters signed the Ward 6. I don't think that's the way to express it either. It's not how the data comes back. But I see Mr. Kline has his hand raised and going to him.

MR. KLINE: Yes. Just going to register our continuing objections in this
process taking place without the Initiative Committee (inaudible) i.e. to being allowed to observe as required by the statute.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. Thank you for that. I was reviewing that language and it's so far to me unclear where that requirement includes certainly during the 30 days that BOE was reviewing signatures, the qualification in many respects, whether the -- whether it's -- et cetera. There were observers present on both opposer and challenger or they were allowed to be present, $I$ think on most days were present. But at a certain point under the reg, there's this delegation to the data management division providing these random sample -- random sampling process which takes place outside the BOE.

And I wasn't sure whether you were referring to not being able to observe that over at the data management division, or whether you meant at BOE. Any other comments or questions? MS. STROUD: Yes, Mr. Chair. CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. STROUD: I apologize for stepping away. Dr. Phyllis (phonetic) at DMD called back with another possible solution to move us to a path of decision. She indicated that the formula does allow for after we do at least two samples, we can use the entire universe as a sample. And that would be 4,656 will be our universe for Ward 6. And we would just have to do a verification of each of those signatures. And if we reach the 4,534, that require -- that is part of the formula that is allowed when you reach a situation where you can't come to decision based on her process.

SPEAKER: That makes sense. CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, enormously. She's saying that doctor is saying -- the doctor of statistics is saying that they can proceed the sample off 4,656 signatures?

MS. STROUD: That would be the
alternative to sample the entire universe -- I mean, to check the entire universe. And come up to the 4,534 that are required. And the sampling
process keeps you from having to test the entire universe. But the alternative is to test the entire universe.

CHAIR THOMPSON: I mean, that changes.
You know, I think our direction I -- she's confirming that that's something that she can do. I'm very much in favor of them proceeding to do that, to sample the entire universe. I guess I've denied if possible, I'll put in quotes, but I would much, much rather them do that and come back with the absolutely definitive answer that we all just know. And so I would amend my prior motion and ask for a second that we pick up -- if I could somehow offer to receive the sample of the entire universe.

MS. STROUD: And that clarification with the signature process that actually occurs here, not with Planning, as far as verifying those signatures of the entire universe.

MEMBER GILL: And folks will be able to watch that process?

MS. STROUD: Yes.

MEMBER GILL: Okay.
MS. STROUD: And individuals
representing the proposers and any political committee that exists in opposition to the initiative could be present during that counting, during that validation process.

MEMBER GILL: Okay. I'm happy to second.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. I just have a question. So to do the entire one, that gets done by BOE, not by Planning? Is that what she's saying, or just gets done there?

MS. STROUD: They provide -- they do the sampling --

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
MS. STROUD: -- to get sampled and then we do the signature comparisons.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. Okay.
MS. STROUD: They wouldn't create the
sample because the sample would be the universe.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
MS. STROUD: And we will have to do
the comparisons.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, having a second I guess I'll file the motion. All in favor say aye.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye. So, you know, other than time and effort, I think that's the perfect solution at this point because take the entire universe and all of the 12 (phonetic) sample. And they delegate back to BOE the literal task of observing each signature and doing the comparison. We'll just have to do long division here and see how many of these signatures add up to 4,534 or more. See if, you know, yes can be obtained. I think we owe that to the people who took the time and put in the effort, you know, to get on the streets and collect these signatures.

We owe to the people who stopped and signed because comparing signatures is I got to
believe not easy. Kind of have a front history where we all obviously draw a line when we sign up, that signatures like we do it, you know, pay stations. So it's not the way we used to sign 30 years ago where $I$ felt like signing my signature was like a far more important thing, you know. So I think we owe it to everybody to, you know, take on this extra burden of confirming the whole universe. And if the only thing that is out of sync here, when all is said and done, is it took us 32 days instead of $\mathbf{3 0}$ days or 35 days instead of 30 days, that would be a minor point in the larger scheme of what we're dealing with here. And that's I think --

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chairman, this works maybe bad, $I$ mean, beating it unnecessarily, but the rule that we're talking about is 1008, which says that three persons representing proposer and three persons representing any political committee or committee registered with the Office of Campaign Finance and organize the amount of assistance proposed in this initiative or
referendum measure may be present during the counting and validation procedures and shall be deemed watcher.

We registered for that. We were sure that that would happen. It has not happened at all throughout this process and we, again, renew our objection to this having proceeded in this fashion and completely ignored.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. I'll -you know, I'll check on that and certainly try to run aground. If the organizing political committee or committees register and are organized in our position, submitted those requests and have that opportunity that they didn't and they had and still have that opportunity.

We'll also run to ground here shortly logistically how is this going to work without going to sample the whole universe, especially at BOE doing signature comparison, how do we continue to allow observation to take place? I'm pretty sure the proposers were present for a lot
of this, so I'll just leave to a follow-up after this call how the logistics of that are going to work.

And if you're -- you know, I hear your point that you've made that you never -- you applied and you never received permissions, I don't know whether that's true or not, but the objection is noted, you know, for the record.

MR. KLINE: Please check with Ms. Brooks (phonetic) because we were sure repeatedly that we contacted at the appropriate time for that to happen. We followed up, it has never happened. And I'm very disturbed if the proposers were present because we were completely deprived of that opportunity despite complying with your rules and despite following up. And we were advised that we were going to be told when that was going to happen on at least three occasions.

MR. O'LEARY: To be clear, Andrew, I was present for their individual validation of names and addresses. I have not been in the

Board of Elections Office during this random sampling procedure.

MR. KLINE: We haven't been accorded the privilege of attending any of them.

CHAIR THOMPSON: We'll check on that.
MS. STROUD: We register our voters. But when we had a discussion today, she indicated that at one point one of the individuals representing the opposition was present. So, we can confirm that. But I think that what we are saying going forward is that we will establish that we are going to do a signature comparison with respect to the whole universe. And certainly that's going to take some time over a course of days, and if you are an individual who is assigned as a watcher, that you can come into the office and be a part of that process.

MR. KLINE: All right. Well, that's when that is. I mean, certainly want to be present when determinations are made as to whether signatures are valid or not.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, I really
appreciate everybody bearing with us in a long day, especially for those at the BOE, though not as much in the Office of Planning. And so thank you to them. Especially, thank you to everybody on this Zoom meeting. I think most everybody's names I see, you've probably been here for all three. So thank you so much for bearing with us today. And --

MS. STROUD: Mr. Chair, we have another hand up, Nikolas Schiller. I don't know if you wanted to entertain.

MR. SCHILLER: Yes, I'm just curious.
The sample -- a global sample size for Ward 6, what is that exact number that the Board of Elections found Ward 6 to be okay or to be a qualified registered voters signed the petition? How many -- what is that total number? MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: The number of registrants and the random sampling universe 4,656. The signatures require of registered voters, 4,534.

MR. SCHILLER: Okay. My records
indicate when we were doing our own validation within the campaign that we actually have 5,284 valid signatures in Ward 6. In the process of the watching of the petitions being validated, there appears to be about nearly 600 signatures that were deemed not valid either for the person was seen to be not registered to vote or wrote the wrong address on the petition or some other discrepancy.

The random sampling universe that is being discussed here is strictly what the Board of Elections found to be valid out of all of the petitions, correct?

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: That is correct.
MR. SCHILLER: Okay. Thank you. And we look forward to watching.

MS. HOLMAN-EVANS: Thank you. CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, well, with that, we adjourn and have some dinner.

MEMBER GILL: Second.
CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
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