## GOVERNMENT

OF

## THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MAY 7, 2025

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened via Video/Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m. EDT, Gary Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director
TERRI STROUD, General Counsel
MARISSA CORRENTE, Registrar of Voters
WILLIAM SANFORD, General Counsel, Office of
Campaign Finance

1	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
2	I. Call to Order	3
3	II. Ascertainment of Quorum	3
4	III. Adoption of Agenda/Approval	4
5	of Minutes from the April Regular Board Meeting (Wednesday, April 9, 2025)	4
6	IV. Board Matters	
7	V. Executive Director's Report -	4
8	Monica Evans A. General Matters	4
9	VI. General Counsel's Report - Terri D. Stroud	
10	A. Litigation Status 1. Stacia Hall, et al., v. D.C. Board of Elec	stions
11	(U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit)	CIOIIS
12	2. Charles Wilson v. Muriel Bowser, et al., (D.C. Superior Court)	12
13	3. Long v. D.C. Board of Elections, et al. (U.S. District Court for D.C.)	13
14	4. Deirdre Brown v. D.C. Board of Elections (D.C. Court of Appeals)	
15	5. Sobin v. D.C. Board of Elections (U.S.	13
16	District Court for D.C.) 6. Muhammad v. D.C. Board of Elections (D.C. Court of Appeals)	13
17	(_ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ ,	14
18	VII. Campaign Finance Report - Bill Sanford	15
19	VIII. Public Comment	22
20	IX. Adjournment	51
21		
22		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:30 a.m.)
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. I think
4	we're ready to start. I think we're connected with
5	everybody.
6	Good morning. I'm Gary Thompson, chair
7	of the DC Board of Elections.
8	Are we recording? I'll just
9	AUTOMATED: Recording in progress.
10	MS. HOLMAN EVANS: Okay.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIR THOMPSON: And Monica and Terri, you
13	can hear me okay?
14	MS. HOLMAN EVANS: Yeah. Okay.
15	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Good.
16	And good morning to everybody else who's
17	attending our meeting. I'm Gary Thompson, chair
18	of the DC Board of Elections. And with us today,
19	also by Zoom, is my fellow board member, Karyn
20	Greenfield.
21	We have a quorum. We have both looked at
22	the agenda in advance, and at this time I'd move

1	we adopt that agenda.
2	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All in favor, say
4	aye.
5	(Chorus of aye.)
6	CHAIR THOMPSON: And also, we've looked
7	at the minutes from our last meeting, and at this
8	time I'd move we adopt those minutes.
9	MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I second.
LO	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
L1	(Chorus of aye.)
L2	(Laughter.)
L3	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, that was
L4	simple. Hope everybody is doing well today. It's
L5	a nice day. And we will proceed now with our
L6	Executive Director's Report from Monica Evans.
L7	MS. HOLMAN EVANS: Good morning, and thank
L8	you. This is the Executive Director's Report for
L9	April 2025.
20	On Tuesday, April 22nd, I attended a town
21	hall at Temple of Praise in Ward 8. The town hall
22	was coordinated by Councilmember Kenyan McDuffie.

Τ	I snared information about the ward 8 special
2	election and about legislation that will affect the
3	residents of the District of Columbia.
4	On April 30, I participated in a webinar
5	conducted by the Center for Innovation and Research.
6	The webinar provided updates regarding
7	implications for election officials around the
8	passage of the SAVE Act in the House of
9	Representatives and updates from the Election
10	Assistance Commission. We are also planning for
11	the Ward 8 special election to be held on July 15.
12	On April 30, the mayor signed the funding
13	authorization to conduct this election.
14	Voter registration rolls. In April, we
15	registered 1,716 new voters and processed 7,495
16	registration changes. In total, we prepared 9,211
17	voter registration cards to be mailed.
18	Additionally, 126 registered voters moved out of
19	DC, 218 voters cancelled their DC registrations and
20	registered with other jurisdictions, and 691 voters
21	registered in DC after cancelling their
22	registrations in other states.

Unline voter registration. we are
continuing to register voters using our website
portal. To date, we have processed over 97,405
applications using the portal. These include new
voter registrations and updates to existing
registrations. Total non-citizen registration is
1,013. Since the election, we have seen a
noticeable decline in the number of non-citizens
registering to vote. However, we are continuing
our outreach in DC communities to share information.

Restore the Vote or RTV. The Restore the Vote division has completed the joint Corrections Information Council Board Of Elections report on the 2024 election cycle, and the report is now available on our website. Since our last meeting, the RTV division has performed several outreach events with our government and community partners, to include MORCA, CSOSA, the Department of Corrections, and Federal Bureau of Prisons.

It's worth mentioning the events with MORCA and the DOC were part of Second Chance Month events. They also performed in-person outreach at

the Arlington Detention Facility alongside the Spread the Vote Arlington chapter, one of our Virginia partners.

Lastly, the Restore the Vote division is working with the Department of Corrections and Bureau of Prisons to notify incarcerated Ward 8 residents of the upcoming Ward 8 special election.

For 2025 next steps and off-year election planning, we have received estimates to address our needs to replace voting equipment that has reached end of its life cycle, and we will address funding during our budget oversight hearing once it is scheduled. As we continue planning for the 2026 election cycle, we are taking steps to fully implement new legislation. We are currently reviewing the requirements of the Automatic Voter Registration Expansion Act, and we are also monitoring pending legislation and information on the federal level.

List maintenance. List maintenance is an ongoing process. Our data team continues to focus on keeping voter registration records accurate and

up to date by conducting essential tasks, such as identifying registrants who have moved, whether in state of our state; verifying to deceased individuals; addressing duplicate records; and identifying ineligible voters and inactive voters.

As part of our voter registration list maintenance efforts, we are conducting the biannual mail canvass to verify the residential addresses of voters who were eligible but did not participate in the 2024 general presidential election. process includes sending a first class non-forwardable canvass mailer to the address on record. This initiative will help maintain the integrity of the voter roll by identifying outdated or inaccurate address information. To initiate this process, 153,404 first class non-forwardable canvass mailers were sent to voters' recorded As a result, we have received 27,854 address. returned mailers through the postal service.

The second forwardable mailer will now be sent to 22,003 voters. An additional 18,883 voter records will be made inactive as a result of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

canvass. We have deferred an activation on 3,121 records for voters who reside in Ward 8. Due to the upcoming Ward 8 special election, these voters will remain active until the special election concludes.

Technology and cybersecurity. Our migration to a dot gov domain continues. We are continuing to work with OCTO to facilitate the process and clarify the timeline. Our teams are discussing the technical requirements and ensuring that all necessary infrastructure and security measures are in place for a successful migration.

BOE contacts are also being added to the .gov DNS portal to support the next phase of migration.

Voter education and outreach. During the month of April, the Voter Education and Outreach division participated in 20 events on behalf of the agency. The outreach events included a Welcome Home Expo for Returning Citizens at the Stadium Armory, the Smart from the Start program at Knox Hill, Buena Vista Terrace, and a voter registration drive at Potomac Job Corps.

Election Worker division. In preparation
for the Ward 8 special election, the Election Worker
division has updated its standard operating
procedures for the various election worker
positions. Additionally, the division has
confirmed site coordinator availability for the
special election, updated the allocation lists, and
assessed the supply inventory. The Election Worker
division is also working with the IT division to
test Poll Pad conditions to ensure the special
election is properly programmed for Ward 8.

active ANC vacancies for the 2025-2026 term. These positions are in different stages of being filled.

After candidate filing requirements are met, an open vote of registered voters of the affected SMD will be held during regularly scheduled ANC meetings.

Ward 8 special election. The candidate filing period for the Ward 8 special election closed on Thursday, April 17, at 5:00 p.m. The ten-day challenge period began on Sunday, April 20, and

1	closed on Tuesday, April 29, at 5:00 p.m. There
2	are currently seven candidates. The ballot is
3	tentatively the ballot lottery, rather is
4	tentatively scheduled for Friday, May 23rd, at 9:00
5	a.m. The lottery will be accessible to candidates
6	and members of the public via Zoom.
7	Planning meetings with our vendors for the
8	special election are currently in progress. We are
9	steadily moving forward and working closely with
10	all parties to ensure a smooth and successful
11	election. Individuals may visit the DC BOE website
12	for more information regarding the election,
13	current candidates, and pertinent dates.
14	And that concludes my report. Thank you.
15	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you
16	very much.
17	Moving on to the General Counsel's Report
18	from Terri Stroud.
19	MS. STROUD: Good morning. The only item
20	on my agenda is litigation status. The first matter
21	is Stacia Hall v. the Board in the U.S. Court of
22	Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. This is an appeal

from the U.S. District Court's denial of a challenge
to legislation allowing non-citizens to vote in
local elections. The Court heard oral argument on
March 11, and this case is pending disposition.
The second matter is Charles Wilson v.
Muriel Bowser, the Board, et cetera, et al. That's

Muriel Bowser, the Board, et cetera, et al. That's in the D.C. Superior Court. This is the remand from the D.C. Court of Appeals of a challenge to the Board's finding that Initiative Measure Number 83 met proper subject requirements and its formulation of the measure.

On April 8th, the Board filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. On April 18, new additional counsel for the plaintiff filed an entry of appearance and request extension of the time to file an opposition to the Board's motion to dismiss until May 23rd. On April 21st, the Court granted the plaintiff's motion, set June 23rd, 2025, as the date for the Board to reply to the opposition, and scheduled a status hearing for August 15th, 2025.

On April 22nd, the proposer of the measure moved to intervene and lodged a motion to dismiss.

The next matter is Long v. the Board of
Elections. This is in D.C. Superior Court, where
it was placed back from the U.S. District Court for
D.C. Mr. Long resubmitted in the D.C. Superior
Court a case that had previously been dismissed
without prejudice. He seeks \$10,000 in damages for
an overdraft fee caused by a stop payment on a \$500
check issued for his service as an election worker.
There has been no activity in this case since the
Board's last meeting.

This matter is Deirdre Brown v. the Board.

This is in the D.C. Court of Appeals. This matter involves the petitioner's request for review of the Board's certification of Initiative Measure Number 83. The Court heard oral argument on April 24th, and the case is pending disposition.

The next matter is Sobin v. the Board.

This is in the U.S. District Court for D.C. Mr.

Sobin submitted a complaint to the District Court in which he alleged that the Board's petition circulation process requiring candidates to gather signatures violates the Americans with Disabilities

1	Act and that an Advisory Neighborhood Commission
2	election to be held in his single-member district
3	is illegal. There have been no updates since the
4	Board's last meeting with respect to this matter.
5	The final matter is Muhammad v. the Board.
6	This is in the D.C. Court of Appeals. On April
7	4th, Sherice Muhammad filed a petition for review
8	of a Board enforcement order imposing \$150 in fines
9	because she failed to personally witness signatures
LO	on her nominating petition. She also requested
L1	that the Court stay the fine, and she offered an
L2	affidavit from her counsel.
L3	On April 23rd, the Court denied Ms.
L4	Muhammad's request for a stay. On April 28th, the
L5	Board filed the agency record, a motion for summary
L6	affirmance of the Board's enforcement order, and
L7	a request to strike the affidavit of counsel.
L8	And that concludes the litigation status
L9	report.
20	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you
21	very much.
22	Office of Campaign Finance from Director

1	Collier-Montgomery or General Counsel Bill Sanford.	
2	Hello. Welcome.	
3	MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman	
4	and Distinguished Board Member Greenfield.	
5	Appearing on behalf of the Director Cecily	
6	Collier-Montgomery.	
7	During the month of April, the Office of	
8	Campaign Finance participated in several community	
9	outreach events across the district. On April 8th,	
10	2025, the office presented at the Advisory	
11	Neighborhood Commission single-member district to	
12	2C01's monthly meeting. On April 10th, the office	
13	participated in a community outreach event at the	
14	Paul Lawrence Dunbar Apartment Community Center	
15	located in the Cardozo Shore neighborhood.	
16	On April 23rd, the Office of Campaign	
17	Finance kicked off its partnership with the DC	
18	Public Library and held its first excuse me	
19	information session at the Southwest Library branch	
20	in the Southwest Waterfront neighborhood. And on	
21	April 24th, the Office of Campaign Finance	
22	participated in the Golden Rule Plaza Group	

education session located in the Bloomingdale neighborhood.

In the Fair Elections Division, the 2025 special election for Ward 8 City Council, as of today's date, there are three certified participating candidates in the Fair Election Program, and the Office of Campaign Finance has authorized the disbursements in the total sum of \$230,001.65 from the Fair Election Fund in base amount and matching payments. The candidates will receive disbursements during the month of April 2025.

Mike for Ward 8 Principal Campaign

Committee, matching payment in the amount of

\$32,695.00 and a base payment amount of \$20,000.00,

which were authorized on April 28th, 2025. Sheila

Bunn for Ward 8 Principal Campaign Committee,

matching payment in the amount of \$26,500.00 was

authorized for disbursement on the 21st of April.

And Friends of Salim Adofo 2025 Committee, matching

payment in the amount of \$24,000.00 disbursement

on April 25th, 2025. Excuse me.

During the month of April, the Fair Elections Division completed 31 desk reviews and issued seven requests for additional information letters. As of April 2025, the total sum of \$871,729.33 has been remitted for deposit in the Fair Elections Fund.

As of April 2025, there remain four post-election audits in process for the November 3rd, 2020, general election. And there were five final audits issued during the month of April for the November 2022 election cycle and three statements of findings were issued during the month of April.

In the Public Information and Records

Management Division, under the Constituent Services

Program, there were eight required filers, six

timely filed, one extension was granted, and one

matter was referred to the Office of the General

Counsel.

In the Senators and Representatives

Statehood Funds Section, there were four required

filers, and all four timely filed. For the second

required filing date of April 10th, 48 political action committees were required to file on April 10th. Thirty-eight timely filed, and two were referred to the Office of the General Counsel.

Eleven independent expenditure committees were required to file. Ten timely filed, and one extension was granted. Seven Fair Elections candidates were required to file reports on the April 10th filing date. Five timely filed, one extension was granted, and one was referred to the Office of the General Counsel.

During the month of April, six candidates registered for the Ward 8 special election. The candidates were Anthony DeVaughn, registered on the 2nd of April; Kenneth Diggs, registered on the 8th of April; Trayon White, registered on the 9th of April; Tonya Hawkins, registered on the 14th of April; Eric Cleckley, registered on the 15th of April; and Delonte Singh, registered on the 24th of April.

During the month of April, two initiative committees registered. They are Lock the Clock DC

1	- DC Time Stability Act, Daniel John Bernier,
2	treasurer, registered on the 1st of April; and Homes
3	Not Stadiums, Adam Eidinger, treasurer, registered
4	on the 15th of April.
5	The following candidates and treasurers
6	have completed their interest conferences, which
7	is required for April, which required for the
8	special election: Jauhar Abraham, candidate,
9	Abraham for Ward 8; Antoniese Ruffin, treasurer,
10	Abraham for Ward 8; Michael Austin, candidate, Mike
11	for Ward 8; Michael Austin, treasurer, Mike for Ward
12	8; Lauren Gordon, treasurer, Trayon White 2025;
13	Valencia Bond, treasurer, Tonya Hawkins for Ward
14	8.
15	In the Reports Analysis and Audit
16	Division, during the month of April, 69 desk reviews
17	were conducted. They included 36 political action
18	committees, 9 constituent services funds, 4
19	senators and representatives committees, 1

referendum and recall committees. And in addition,

principal campaign committee, 15 independent

expenditure committees, 4 additional initiative

20

21

10 a	udit	lett	ers	were	issue	ed re	eques	sting	ado	ditiona	.1
info	rmati	lon,	12 (	cases	were	resc	olved	d, and	d 2	matter	s
were	refe	erred	to	the	Office	e of	the	Gene	ral	Counse	:1

With regard to ongoing audits, one Fair Elections post-election audit is ongoing. A preliminary audit report was issued on April 18th, 2025, and the subject of that audit is the Committee to Elect Eboni-Rose Thompson.

In the traditional program, the Re-Elect Trayon White 2024 Principal Campaign Committee preliminary draft audit report was issued on April 18th, 2025. Jacque Patterson for DC audit fieldwork was completed. And finally, the Eboni-Rose for State Board of Education Ward 7 preliminary draft audit report was issued on April 18th, 2025.

In the General Counsel Division, during the month of April 2025, the Office of the General Counsel received 5 referrals, completed 20 informal hearings, and issued 20 orders, which included the following. Eleven orders were issued in which no fines were imposed. Six orders were issued in which

1	the notices of hearings were vacated. Three orders
2	were issued in which a total of \$8,000 in fines were
3	imposed.
4	During the month of April 2025, the Office
5	of Campaign Finance imposed fines against the
6	following respondents. A fine of \$4,000 was
7	imposed against Trina Equino for DC Council. A fine
8	of \$2,000 was imposed against Markus for DC. An
9	additional fine of \$2,000 was imposed against Markus
10	for DC. During the month of April 2025, the Office
11	of Campaign Finance received one payment of \$100
12	for a fine that was submitted by Nate Fleming for
13	Ward 5 Principal Campaign Committee.
14	During the month of April 2025, there were
15	no open investigations, no requests for
16	interpretative opinions, and no show cause
17	proceedings were conducted.
18	The contents of the Director's Report and
19	the General Counsel Report in their entirety will
20	be published at the Office of Campaign Finance
21	website under the Data and Reports section by close
22	of business today on today's date, 7th of May, 2025.

1	And that should conclude my report.
2	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you
3	very much.
4	We've got some time for public comment.
5	We always like to hear from members of the DC
6	public. We ask you to limit your comment to three
7	minutes, and all you got to do is raise your Zoom
8	hand. And we'll give everybody a minute or so to
9	get the technology.
LO	All right. I see we promoted dcwat. You
L1	could state your name and your address and please
L2	give us your comment.
L3	MS. BRIZILL: This is Dorothy Brizill with
L4	DCWatch. I would like to ask a couple of questions
L5	that have to do with the administrative order that
L6	was issued following last month's meeting, and it
L7	has to do with Administrative Order 25-010 and the
L8	stipulation that is attached.
L9	My first question or concern has to do with
20	the fact that the stipulation that is attached to
21	the administrative order has no signatures. Is it
22	possible for the order to be amended and that a

stipulation be posted with signatures attached to it? And why aren't there any signatures on the stipulation as we speak?

My second question has to do with who drafted the stipulation, and was the stipulation available for review at the pre-hearing that all parties attended along with the Office of General Counsel?

And my third question has to do with the fact that the stipulation seems to differ in many respects from the administrative order. Why wasn't a single copy of the stipulation signed and posted on the website? Why are there two separate -- for all the four parties to the order, why are there separate signature pages for them? And did the signers of the stipulation, in fact, see the stipulated agreement before they were asked to sign it?

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Ms. Brizill, thank you so much for your comment. And I'll defer to General Counsel Stroud to address your points.

MS. STROUD: Yes, they were all signed.

1	They signed from separate locations, and we advised 
2	that they could submit their signatures. They all
3	saw it, of course. Everybody that signed the
4	stipulation saw it in advance of signing. And for
5	the record, nobody complained about the none of
6	the signers, none of the parties to this agreement
7	complained about the order, if there is a concern
8	there.
9	And if she could repeat her questions.
LO	You know, whatever I didn't answer, what were they?
L1	The Office of the General Counsel drafted
L2	the stipulation with input from the counsel for the
L3	parties that signed. Yeah. There's no
L4	inconsistency between the administrative order.
L5	Though they differ, there's no inconsistency
L6	between the administrative order and the stipulated
L7	agreement.
L8	And the signatures were redacted as PII.
L9	MS. BRIZILL: I don't understand that last
20	statement. The signatures were redacted?
21	MS. STROUD: Yes, because they're PII.
22	MS. BRIZILL: I don't understand what PII

1	is.
2	MS. STROUD: Personally identifiable
3	information. And I think we responded all of your
4	questions, Mr. Chair.
5	MS. BRIZILL: Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thanks.
7	MS. BRIZILL: Can she post a single
8	document with the administrative order that
9	contains the signatures of all the individuals, at
10	least the date, because I am being told that at least
11	one individual, one of the four individuals who is
12	supposed to have signed the stipulated agreement,
13	says that he did not approve the terms in the
14	stipulated agreement and is denying signing the
15	agreement.
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thanks for
17	your comment, and we can move on to looks like
18	we got a hand raised from Commissioner Robbie
19	Woodland. I assume that's ANC Commissioner.
20	MS. WOODLAND: Yes it is. Hi. Thank
21	you. Good morning. How are you?
22	CHAIR THOMPSON: Good morning, and thank

you for your services. An eight-year ANC commissioner myself. Thank you for what you do.

MS. WOODLAND: Thank you, sir. I am commenting today to pretty much just express my disappointment with a recent experience that I had with Board of Elections, sir. And I'm not sure if you are aware of this situation, but I surrendered petitions for Trayon White to challenge him being on the ballot, and we would have successfully knocked him off the ballot with 430 valid signatures.

One of your employees, Maisha Thompson, took my petitions without going through protocol, did not go through the petitioners with me, took them in the back, and then stated that 22 pages of our petitions were missing, sir. And I'm just extremely disappointed. My team and I, we worked really hard on completing this challenge, and now I have to go through other measures to have this matter looked at within the District of Columbia courts now.

I'm going to be meeting with the US

1	Attorney, not the OIG, in regards to prosecution,
2	especially with the amount of forgeries. And I just
3	wanted to know, sir, is there a way that I can ask
4	that Trayon White is not allowed ballot access while
5	this investigation is going to completed by the OIG
6	and the US Attorney. Thank you.
7	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, Commissioner.
8	Appreciate the comment. I have seen your email
9	and some information about this, and I want you know
10	that it's something we've taken very seriously.
11	It's something we've looked into. It's something
12	we'll continue to look into, because we want to be
13	sure if a proper challenge was presented, and if
14	so, there are additional processes before we would
15	ever take the measure of denying ballot access.
16	But I guess I'll defer to General Counsel
17	Stroud to address this.
18	MS. STROUD: Well, Ms. Woodland has
19	indicated that she filed a sufficient challenge that
20	would have knocked Trayon White off of the ballot
21	such that he would have 430 signatures. We did not

receive, based on all the evidence that we have,

sufficient pages or challenges to knock Candidate White off of the ballot.

We have asked Ms. Woodland to supply the information that she says — because she says she has copies of it — we've asked her to provide that. She said that she would not on the advice of counsel. She submitted one sheet, which I believe challenged seven signatures, which, of course, would not have been sufficient. And if it is the Board's pleasure, we could have the registrar provide information as to her findings with respect to what was submitted and the impact of what was submitted on the nominating petition.

We simply do not have the sheets that she is referring to. We have asked to provide the copies that she says that she has. She has not done so, again, indicating that she was advised not to. She said that she did have them and that she was going to provide them to the counsel, but I advised Ms. Woodland that because we do not have a properly filed challenge, the Board lacked the authority to adjudicate what was submitted because it was an

insufficient challenge.

2.2

And so that is where it stands. She has been informed that the Board does not have the authority to adjudicate this challenge because a proper one wasn't filed. And despite repeated requests to provide copies of what she says that she submitted, she has not done so.

So I am at a loss as to what the Board could be expected to do. We've also provided her with information on how to properly file a complaint with respect to the issues with the signature that she's alleging indicated there was fraud in the petition. She has not done that.

So we are at standstill. We are at an impasse in terms of what the Board and/or Board staff can do with respect to this matter. We've responded to every request that she's made, even requests for information about what happened, and she has not been satisfied with the responses. And despite our responses to her requests, you know, she's asking the same questions repeatedly.

We've advised her how to get the

information that she wants. That's not
satisfactory. And so I told her in the last
correspondence that I sent to her directly that we
are waiting for her next step so that we can figure
out how to proceed. But that is where we are.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, thank you. We appreciate that, and as the Board chair, I certainly recognize that we have no authority here. This is not an agenda item. We're just hearing public comment. And I've seen some emails.

But from what I've seen and heard, our staff looked at this several times, reexamined the facts, and reached the determination, apparently appropriately, that there are not sufficient challenges sufficient to elevate this to the Board level of adjudicating the challenge.

You know, if there were sufficient challenges, we obviously would. We hear dozens of challenges in the main election cycle, and we've heard challenges before to Mr. White's candidacies, so we wouldn't hesitate to proceed. But without sufficient submissions to document that there is

1	a sufficient challenge, we have no jurisdiction as
2	a board to proceed.
3	You know, if there's other information,
4	you know, it can be submitted and reexamined, but
5	there are time parameters around this since there's
6	a special election in process. So I want to take
7	a moment to compliment our staff, especially Maisha
8	Thompson whose name just got mentioned as having
9	done a good job with what they did, and I feel
LO	satisfied there's not an issue here, you know,
L1	barring additional information being presented.
L2	So I appreciate everything, and I don't
L3	think we need to hear from anybody else to go over
L4	this. Unless Ms. Stroud has anything to add, we'll
L5	proceed with comment from Joseph Johnson, also an
L6	ANC commissioner.
L7	Mr. Johnson, thank you for your ANC
L8	service.
L9	MR. JOHNSON: Can you hear me?
20	CHAIR THOMPSON: I sure can. Thank you
21	for your ANC service.
22	MR. JOHNSON: Oh, thank you. Thank you.

You're welcome. I just have just a couple things.

You know, the Board over the time that I have been involved and served, you know, as a commissioner, the Board can never seem to get it right. And, you know, the problem here, you got the people, you know, that seem not to comprehend.

I can remember I filed a complaint where
I came down and the lady wanted to get hostile with
me, you know, because, you know, she wanted me to
wait outside. When a seat came available, she still
wanted to me to wait outside. The Board just -I mean, they have a weird way of how they interact
with me, how they complete these challenges.

The Board allow many people to gain ballot access with fraudulent signatures. I mean, I think at some point, the Board have to, you know -- and then the process to challenge these signatures, it just makes no sense. You know, some of the stuff doesn't make sense because if you see a fraudulent signature or if you see, you know, an address not there, somehow, if you don't challenge it and the Board see it, the Board will still allow that to

be a valid signature, and they would say, oh, well, you didn't challenge that one.

But you have eyes just the way I have eyes, and if you see that it doesn't have an address or a date, you know, that doesn't mean just because I didn't -- I could have not challenged it to see what the Board would do, right?

And so there's things like that, but I don't believe that the Board can get it right. I just think that the Board just not going to get it right. They going to continue to allow people to access the ballot with forged signatures. And in spite of all the rhetoric that the attorney was just speaking, I do think that regardless of Commissioner Robbie turning in all the pages, the Board would have came back with some type of bogus thing to still say that this person had the required amount of signatures to gain ballot access.

And so, with all due respect, I don't think, you know, even if it was done or you had more than enough, it seems like the Board goal is to keep whoever that submit petitions -- it doesn't matter

if it's fraudulent signatures or the signature doesn't match up or there's no address -- the Board still grant them access.

And the Board have to want to get it right because I'm starting to think now -- and I think other people are starting to think -- the people at the Board is incompetent where you cannot look and see that, hey, there's no address there. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to say, that's somebody that need to be removed, or, hey, you know, 15 pages of these signatures look like they were done by the same people, but for some apparent reason, the Board will still grant them access.

And it's been going on for years. I've been involved, you know, with the ANC stuff. This has been going on for years. People get challenged, the Board come very close to saying or doing the right thing, but then somehow they say, oh, they have enough to gain ballot access, so it doesn't seem like they in the business of doing the right thing, but they in the business of keeping people on the ballot that coming back and giving these bogus

1 narratives that they had enough signatures to access
2 the ballot.

But if you have a different, independent

-- this is in my opinion -- if you have an independent

firm that look at these signatures, and they say,

oh, this doesn't look right, this doesn't look

right, it would technically embarrass the Board of

Elections because as citizens, as elected

officials, we know the Board is not doing their job,

you know. Their job is to keep people on the ballot,

and then when you challenge somebody, they remain

on the ballot, so they achieving that part, but they

not, you know, sticking to what it should really

be.

And so they doing a disservice to all people, and I think that as the chair of the Board, I think that, you know, under your leadership, you know, things have to change. Ms. Karen Brooks -- I believe that's her name -- she left, things got worse, worse, worser, you know. And the people that's there now, it seems like they are just incompetent.

And so I'm going to rest there, and I appreciate you guys giving me the opportunity to, you know, hear this, but the Board has to get better because I'm losing trust in the process, you know, just the whole election process altogether because of the Board of Elections. And so I'll rest, and again, thank you so much for the opportunity.

MS. HOLMAN EVANS: Yes. And, Chair, if
I may speak. Thank you, Commissioner. One thing
I will say, I do take strong exception to your
allegations regarding the acts of the Board as being
bogus and the Board's goal.

The Board's goal is to follow the process that is in place. You have repeatedly said that we have eyes and we can see things, but I urge you to look at the policies and procedures that we have to follow. We do not have a process to challenge petitions. That is not our job. We follow the policies and procedures that are outlined in law for us to follow. I can look at a petition and see irregularities, but there is not a process for me to file a challenge. And if a challenge is not

filed, then we follow the process that is in place.

And as far as incompetence, you know, I stand behind staff members. If there are any questions, we have made very strong efforts to address irregularities. I am not saying mistakes don't happen. That is absolutely not what I'm saying. We are human just like anybody else is human, and mistakes may have been made in the past, and they will be made in the future because we are human.

However, when there are allegations that counter the integrity of the Board's work, I must take exception to that. We operate in a non-partisan fashion, and we operate in a way that ensures we are following the process as we are required to follow, and we engage accordingly. So I will say that. Thank you.

MS. STROUD: Yeah. And just to piggyback on that, you know, it would be helpful, Mr. Johnson, if you could review the Board's orders on our website and identify specific instances that you are referring to when you're talking about the Board

2.2

getting it wrong. We have administrative orders dating back decades.

So if you could identify specifically what you're talking about, that would be helpful, as opposed to just launching or, you know, throwing out blanket accusations and casting aspersions and talking up incompetence and things of that nature, it would be helpful. And if you really are interested in addressing things that you view as concerns, then let's have a conversation about that where you identify instances where the Board has gotten it wrong.

And to piggyback on the executive director's point, in the statute, as she indicated, actually it indicates that the Board is authorized to accept any nominating petition for a candidate for any office as bona fide, with respect to the qualifications of the signatures thereto, if it has been posted for challenge. That means, like she said, that we do not challenge, we do not review these petitions unless they are subject to challenge. This is unlike the process with ballot

2.2

1	measures where we do do an independent review and
2	look line by line.
3	So that is the difference between ballot
4	access for nominating petitions and ballot measures
5	or ballot questions. So there is that. And that's
6	all I have to say. Your comments have been noted.
7	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you for that,
8	Director Evans and Counsel Stroud. I mean, Mr.
9	Johnson, you're, of course, entitled to your point
10	of view. I'll just give you mine, for what it's
11	worth.
12	The Board of Elections is an independent
13	agency, and our staff is independent, and in
14	addition to that, our three-member board is
15	independent. So Karen Greenfield and I are private
16	citizens. We're independent as well in our
17	oversight role.
18	I've been a DC resident for 40 years, and
19	I'll just give you my perspective. I think our laws
20	and regulations surrounding the Board of Elections
21	are very well designed, coming from the Home Rule
22	Act and our DC election laws as specified with more

detail in our regulations. And we follow those laws and those regulations, and we do it really well.

And I have found in my now three-and-a-half years as chair of the Board, that the BOE is extraordinarily competent and independent and ethical in everything they do. I've worked for several different law firms in my career, some of them the most reputable law firms in the country. I've worked for other institutions in my now aging career, and I have never worked with a finer group of individuals. These are people who are dedicated to the mission. The mission is really centered on the voters, making sure our voting processes are fair and transparent and that people get a chance to vote, whether for a candidate or for an issue. And we do it really, really well.

I've been incredibly impressed these last three and a half years with each and every person

-- from our amazing director, Monica Holman-Evans; our general counsel, Terri Stroud; our registrar,

Marissa Corrente; and everybody else on the team.

We really work hard day in and day out to make this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	work well, and so I do take issue as well with
2	rhetoric like incompetent or weird. I mean,
3	they're just adjectives and they don't matter.
4	You know, as the general counsel
5	commented, if you make it more specific, we'll look
6	into it, obviously. And we do that, and we do it
7	dispassionately. It's kind of an old analogy, but,
8	you know, we calls balls and strikes, you know.
9	That one was out of the strike zone; that one was
10	in the strike zone.
11	You know, we do the absolute very best job
12	we can, and thereby saying, look, as a private
13	citizen who's also independent, I'm incredibly
14	impressed by our agency, so I respectfully disagree
15	with pretty much every word from that prior comment.
16	PARTICIPANT: You do have Sandra Seegars.
17	CHAIR THOMPSON: I think maybe Board
18	Member Greenfield wants to say something as well.
19	MEMBER GREENFIELD: No. I'm going to
20	echo what you said. I welcome public matters and
21	the comments we get in, and a lot of them are helpful
22	and what we need to look for, but I'm going to say

1	what counsel said is we need facts so we can go back
2	and research and see what it is. When we get
3	challenges, then it is the Board's, you know,
4	required to look at them, whatever. They take that
5	very seriously.
6	This is great team that works for the Board
7	of Elections. They're very serious about it. And
8	we'll give a reason or rationale whether we agree
9	or disagree with the challenges or whether we find
10	something different once the challenge is issued.
11	In the same vein, we need that information. And
12	so if we don't have the adequate information, we
13	have to follow what the regulations say and what
14	the laws tell us we have to do. And the Board has
15	to follow what they have to do.
16	But yeah, you know, I get concerned. You
17	know, I love comments positive, negative it
18	helps us. But I like facts, and when you start
19	hearing, they're incompetent, they're this, they're
20	that, what bothers me is that there's nothing behind
21	it. One, there's nothing for me to unpack or do
22	or see what we need to do. Two, it's a malignment

that I just think is undeserved.

And so if there's a specific issue, raise it. Raise it to us, raise it to the Board, and so we can address it. But if there's a concern that in terms of the petition challenges that the Board is not doing the job it should, that is absolutely incorrect.

And I would take great exception that we're accepting fraudulent signatures or fraudulent petitioners. I take great exception to that. They and we work very hard doing oversight to make sure that that stuff does not happen. I've been on the Board since 2020, right before COVID, and I couldn't be prouder of the people we have that work there, and I know they work very hard, and I know they take it very seriously, so I'm very concerned when they get them wrong.

Does it mean that we don't get certain things wrong? Everybody's human. Things may get missed or whatever, we do try to work them out, and we will ask for information to try to help work that out. But I'm going to agree with Gary. I take

1	exception to that comment.
2	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for
3	that, Karen.
4	So let's move on to a comment from Sandra
5	"SS" Seegars, who we've not heard yet from.
6	MS. SEEGARS: Okay. Is it morning still?
7	Good morning, everyone.
8	Mr. Chair, this is my first time seeing
9	Monica Evans, and I communicated with her last week
10	for the first time. So with the statement I just
11	heard from Commissioner Woodland, and it's a video
12	of what was told to Marissa said there's a video
13	of Maisha Thompson leaving the area with the
14	petitions. So have you all corrected that or said
15	something about that because it's far as following
16	the rules and the policies, she was not supposed
17	to leave the area with the petitioners in her hand,
18	so something need to be done about that.
19	But the other thing is a general statement.
20	On the machines or the computers for us to use to
21	verify signatures, oftentimes the signature is not
22	on the computer that we have, so what can be done

1	about that so it'd be easier for us to do a challenge
2	as far as comparing the signatures? We would have
3	to go and get the card and then cause the staff to
4	take time to go and print a card out. So how can
5	we fix that and make sure the signatures are on the
6	computers that we used when we look up the
7	signatures?
8	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you so
9	much for your questions. And I see, perhaps, our
10	staff would like to address that or maybe the
11	registrar.
12	MS. STROUD: Mr. Chair, I'm sorry to
13	interrupt, but I will say that Ms. Woodland did ask
14	for a recording of the time that she came into the
15	Board's offices and the time that she left. And
16	we sent a response to her, indicating that she would
17	have to go to the Department of General Services,
18	because if there's any footage, then it would be
19	in their possession.
20	So we gave her the contact information of
21	the person to call or contact with respect to any
22	camera footage that there might be. Not sure that

1	there is. We don't have it. And so she didn't like
2	that response. She said, "Why do I have to go to
3	another agency with respect to this video?" She
4	said that Ms. Corrente, the registrar of voters,
5	who is sitting here, who is ready to respond to that,
6	said that she saw footage. And she is here to, you
7	know, address that statement that was made by Ms.
8	Woodland.
9	MS. CORRENTE: Good morning, Chair.
10	Thank you. Can everyone hear me okay?
11	CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes.
12	MS. CORRENTE: I had several different
13	conversations with Commissioner Woodland after she
14	received documentation stating that the challenge
15	to the petition wasn't sufficient. And at no point
16	did I say that I watched a video. She was asking
17	how to acquire any video, if it existed, and I said
18	that she would have to put in a FOIA request.
19	At no point did I say that I had reviewed
20	any videos. I did say that I reviewed what happened
21	and that we had looked into the matter, but that
22	did not imply that there was a video that I watched.

There's, to my knowledge, a camera in our exterior
lobby. And again, so what Counsel Stroud said,
that's attached to the building, and so it's not
something that we have access to. I haven't looked
at a video, nor would I have communicated that I
did when I hadn't.
CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Well, thank
you very much. This is maybe
MS. SEEGARS: What about the signatures
on the computer?
CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you for your
comment. We could just let the registrar answer
that, and then I'll make some final comments.
MS. SEEGARS: Okay.
MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. And thank you
for that question, Ms. Seegars. So sometimes
depending on how documents are clipped, signatures
don't always show up because only one clip shows
up on the public kiosk, just the way the system
works. So there are times when, if we're notified
that there aren't signatures, we can reclip so that
folks can look. And sometimes it takes reaching

1	out to our Data Services Team in order to get that
2	clip to be on the front page. It's not something
3	that we always have access to doing ourselves, us
4	being the Voter Services Team.
5	And so those signatures can be made
6	available if people ask us, and I know in different
7	instances, Ms. Woodland did ask. And as we were
8	able to, within the Voter Servies purview, we
9	provided those signatures.
10	MS. SEEGARS: Okay. Yeah. That was done
11	for me too. When I would challenge, they would go
12	back and get the copies for us. Thank you. All
13	right. Thank you. Have a great day.
14	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right.
15	MS. CORRENTE: You too. Take care.
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, thank you so much.
17	And this is a good example of our independence.
18	I mean, we all understand that Trayon White is
19	seeking to be a candidate for Ward 8 in the special
20	election. We have absolutely no view whatsoever
21	about the candidate. We're not trying to support
22	a challenge or defeat a challenge. We're following

our policies and procedures, and the sufficient number of signatures were provided. A sufficient challenge wasn't presented.

And the voters will be the ones that decide. I mean, that's really where our focus always is, you know, the voters. So everybody reads the news and can make up their own mind among a field of many candidates who have followed the processes and qualified, and regarding whom there have been no challenges.

Soon enough, there will be a lottery.

Their positions on the ballot will be confirmed.

And then it will simply go to the voters to make their decision, and that's really our focus. We've been independent about this from the start, as we do with everything.

So, you know, we appreciate the questions raised about this particular set of papers that was submitted to support a possible challenge. And we've looked into it, and objectively, it very much appears to me that we've done absolutely the right thing with each and every staff member involved.

2.2

2.2

so I'll leave it at that. And before I
move to adjourn, I'll maybe give the last word to
the director or general counsel if you have any final
comments.

- 6 MS. HOLMAN EVANS: No additional
- 7 | comments. Thank you.
- 8 MS. STROUD: I have nothing further,
- 9 Mr. Chair.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, you know, we're busy at the BOE. This, you know, may be a quote, off year, from the normal election cycle, but we do an incredible amount of work in between election years, not just for special elections like this one, but everything else we have to do to keep pace with a very fast-changing world. And as I mentioned, we do an incredible job.

So we got a busy month ahead of us. We'll have our next regular board meeting sometime the first week of June. It may not be Wednesday, June 4th, but we'll figure it out and notify the public in advance. So I hope everybody has a healthy and

1	prosperous month of May ahead. And with that, I
2	shall move we adjourn.
3	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.
4	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All in favor?
5	MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
6	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, everybody.
7	PARTICIPANT: Thank you.
8	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
9	went off the record at 11:30 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

<b>\$</b>	—   <b>11:30</b>   51:9	<b>2026</b> 7:13	4
<del>_</del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
\$10,000	20:2	<b>218</b> 5:19	4
13:6			19:18,21
\$100	<b>126</b> 5:18	<b>21st</b> 12:17 16:19	40
21:11			39:18
\$150	14th	22	430
14:8	18:17	26:15	26:10 27:21
\$2,000	15	22,003	48
21:8,9	5:11 19:20 34:11	8:21	18:1
\$20,000.00	153,404	22nd	4th
16:15	8:16	4:20 12:21	14:7 50:21
\$230,001.65	15th	23rd	
16:9	12:20 18:18 19:4	11:4 12:17,18 14:13	5
	16	15:16	
<b>\$24,000.00</b> 16:21	10:12	24th	5
	17	13:15 15:21 18:19	20:19 21:13
\$26,500.00	10:21	25-010	5:00
16:18	18	22:17	10:21 11:1
\$32,695.00	12:13	25th	
16:15		16:22	6
\$4,000	<b>18,883</b> 8:21	27,854	
21:6		8:18	69
\$500	18th	28th	19:16
13:7	20:6,12,16	14:14 16:16	691
\$8,000	1st		5:20
21:2	19:2	<b>29</b> 11:1	
\$871,729.33			7
17:5	2	2c01's	-
	2	15:12	<b>7</b> 20:14
1	20:2	2nd	
		18:15	7,495
1	9:17 10:22 20:19,20		5:15
19:19		3	7th
1,013	2020	2.424	21:22
6:7	17:9 43:13	<b>3,121</b> 9:1	
1,716	2022		8
5:15	17:11	5.4.42	8
10	2024	5:4,12	4:21 5:1,11 7:6,7 9:2,3
20:1	6:14 8:10 20:10	31	10:2,11,19,20 16:4,13,
10:30	2025	17:2	17 18:13 19:9,10,11,12
3:2	4:19 7:8 12:18,20 15:10	36	14 48:19
	16:3,12,16,20,22 17:4,7	19:17	83
<b>10th</b> 15:12 18:1,3,9	19:12 20:7,12,16,18 21:4,10,14,22	3rd	12:9 13:15
		17:9	8th
11	2025-2026		12:12 15:9 18:15
12:4	10:13		

9	39:22	22:15,17,21 23:11	allowed
<u> </u>	action	24:14,16 25:8 38:1	27:4
9	18:2 19:17	Adofo	allowing
, 19:18	activation	16:20	12:2
	9:1	adopt	alongside
<b>9,211</b> 5:16	antina	4:1,8	7:1
	active 9:4 10:13	advance	altogether
97,405		3:22 24:4 50:22	36:5
6:3	activity		
9:00	13:9	advice 28:6	amazing 40:19
11:4	acts	20.0	40.19
9th	36:11	advised	amended
18:16	Adam	24:1 28:17,19 29:22	22:22
	19:3	Advisory	Americans
Α	644	14:1 15:10	13:22
	add 31:14	affect	amount
a.m.		5:2	16:10,14,15,18,21 27:
3:2 11:5 51:9	added		33:17 50:13
ahawa antitlad	9:13	affected	
above-entitled 51:8	addition	10:16	analogy 41:7
31.0	19:22 39:14	affidavit	41.7
Abraham	additional	14:12,17	Analysis
19:8,9,10	8:21 12:13 17:3 19:21	affirmance	19:15
absolute	20:1 21:9 27:14 31:11	14:16	ANC
41:11	50:6		10:12,13,17 25:19 26:
absolutely	Additionally	agency 9:18 14:15 39:13 41:14	31:16,17,21 34:15
37:6 43:6 48:20 49:21	5:18 10:5	46:3	and/or
			29:15
accept 38:16	address	agenda 3:22 4:1 11:20 30:9	Anthony
30.10	7:9,11 8:12,15,18 22:11 23:21 27:17 32:20 33:4	3:22 4:1 11:20 30:9	Anthony 18:14
accepting	34:2,8 37:5 43:4 45:10	aging	
43:9	46:7	40:9	Antoniese
access		agree	19:9
27:4,15 32:15 33:12,18	addresses	42:8 43:22	Apartment
34:3,13,19 35:1 39:4	8:8	agreement	15:14
47:4 48:3	addressing	23:17 24:6,17 25:12,14,	apparent
accessible	8:4 38:9	15	34:12
11:5	adequate	also and	
accurate	42:12	ahead 50:18 51:1	apparently 30:13
7:22	adjectives		
	41:3	allegations	appeal
accusations		36:11 37:11	11:22
38:6	adjourn	alleged	Appeals
achieving	50:3 51:2	13:20	11:22 12:8 13:12 14:6
35:12	adjudicate	alleging	appearance
acquire	28:22 29:4	29:12	12:15
46:17	adjudicating		
	30:16	allocation	Appearing
<b>Act</b> 5:8 7:17 14:1 19:1	administrative	10:7	15:5
J.O 1.11 14.1 19.1	administrative		

appears 49:21 applications 6:4

appropriately 30:14

approve 25:13

April
4:19,20 5:4,12,14 9:16
10:21,22 11:1 12:12,13,
17,21 13:15 14:6,13,14
15:7,9,12,16,21 16:11,
16,19,22 17:1,4,7,10,13
18:1,2,9,12,15,16,17,
18,19,20,21 19:2,4,7,16

20:6,11,15,18 21:4,10,

14 area

44:13,17 aren't

23:2 47:21

argument 12:3 13:15

Arlington 7:1,2

Armory 9:20

aspersions 38:6

assessed 10:8

Assistance 5:10

**assume** 25:19

attached 22:18,20 23:1 47:3

attended 4:20 23:7

attending 3:17

attorney 27:1,6 33:13

**audit** 19:15 20:1,5,6,7,11,12,

15

audits 17:8,10 20:4

August 12:20

Austin 19:10,11

authority 28:21 29:4 30:8

authorization 5:13

authorized 16:8,16,19 38:15

**AUTOMATED** 3:9

Automatic 7:16

availability 10:6

**aware** 26:7

**aye** 4:4,5,11 51:5

В

back 13:3 26:15 33:16 34:22 38:2 42:1 48:12

ballot 11:2,3 26:9,10 27:4,15, 20 28:2 32:14 33:12,18 34:19,22 35:2,10,12 38:22 39:3,4,5 49:12

**balls** 41:8

barring 31:11

**base** 16:9,15

27:22 began 10:22

**behalf** 9:17 15:5

Bernier 19:1

biannual 8:7

15:1 blanket

38:6

Bill

Bloomingdale 16:1

board

3:7,18,19 6:13 11:21 12:6,12,19 13:1,11,17 14:5,8,15 15:4 20:14 26:6 28:21 29:3,8,15 30:7,15 31:2 32:2,4,11, 14,16,22 33:7,9,10,15, 21 34:2,4,7,13,17 35:7, 9,16 36:3,6,11 37:22 38:11,15 39:12,14,20 40:4 41:17 42:6,14 43:3,5,13 50:19

Board's 12:9,16 13:10,14,20 14:4,16 28:10 36:12,13 37:12,20 42:3 45:15

**3OE** 9:13 11:11 40:4 50:11

**bogus** 33:16 34:22 36:12

38:17 **Bond** 19:13

bona

bothers 42:20 Bowser

12:6 **branch** 15:19

**Brizill** 22:13 23:19 24:19,22 25:5,7

35:18 **Brown** 13:11

**Brooks** 

budget

7:12

**Buena** 9:21

building 47:3

Bunn 16:17 Bureau

6:19 7:6

business 21:22 34:20,21

**busy** 50:11,18

С

**call** 45:21

**calls** 41:8

**camera** 45:22 47:1

campaign 14:22 15:8,16,21 16:7, 13,17 19:20 20:10 21:5, 11,13,20

cancelled 5:19

cancelling 5:21

candidacies 30:20

candidate 10:15,19 19:8,10 28:1 38:16 40:15 48:19.21

candidates 11:2,5,13 13:21 16:6,10 18:8,12,14 19:5 49:8

**canvass** 8:8,12,17 9:1

card 45:3,4 Cardozo 15:15 cards 32:13 42:3,9 43:5 49:10 5:3 26:20 compliment 5:17 31:7 chance comment 6:21 40:14 22:4,6,12 23:20 25:17 comprehend care 48:15 27:8 30:10 31:15 41:15 32:6 change 44:1,4 47:12 35:18 computer career 44:22 47:10 commented 40:7,10 chapter 41:5 7:2 computers case commenting 44:20 45:6 12:4 13:5,9,16 Charles 26:4 cases 12:5 concern 20:2 comments 22:19 24:7 43:4 check 39:6 41:21 42:17 47:13 casting 13:8 concerned 50:5.7 38:6 42:16 43:16 chorus Commission 4:5,11 caused concerns 5:10 14:1 15:11 38:10 13:7 Circuit commissioner conclude Cecily 11:22 25:18.19 26:2 27:7 15:5 22:1 31:16 32:3 33:14 36:9 circulation Center 13:21 44:11 46:13 concludes 5:5 15:14 9:5 11:14 14:18 citizen committee centered 41:13 16:14,17,20 19:20 20:7, conditions 40:12 10 21:13 10:10 citizens committees certification 9:19 35:8 39:16 conduct 18:2,5,22 19:18,19,21, 13:14 5:13 City certified 16:4 conducted 16:5 communicated 5:5 19:17 21:17 clarify 44:9 47:5 cetera 9:9 conducting 12:6 communities 8:1,7 class 6:10 conferences chair 8:11,16 3:3,6,12,15,17 4:3,6,10, community 19:6 Cleckley 6:17 15:8,13,14 13 11:15 14:20 22:2 confirmed 18:18 23:19 25:4,6,16,22 27:7 comparing 10:6 49:12 30:6,7 31:20 35:16 36:8 ailo 45:2 39:7 40:4 41:17 44:2,8 47:18 48:2 connected competent 45:8,12 46:9,11 47:7,11 3:4 clipped 48:14,16 50:9,10 51:4,6 40:5 47:17 constituent Chairman complained 17:15 19:18 Clock 15:3 25:5 24:5,7 18:22 contact complaint challenge 45:20,21 close 10:22 12:1,8 26:8,18 12:13 13:19 29:10 32:7 contacts 21:21 34:17 27:13,19 28:21 29:1,4 complete 9:13 30:16 31:1 32:17,21 closed 32:13 33:2 35:11 36:17,22 10:20 11:1 contents 38:19,20,22 42:10 45:1 completed 21:18 closely 46:14 48:11,22 49:3,19 6:12 17:2 19:6 20:13,19 11:9 continue 27:5 challenged 7:13 27:12 33:11 **Collier-montgomery** 28:8 33:6 34:16 completing 15:1,6 continues 26:18 challenges 7:21 9:7 Columbia 28:1 30:15,18,19,20

continuing **DCWATCH** determination Court 6:2,9 9:8 11:21 12:3,7,8,17 13:2, 22:14 30:13 3,5,12,15,18,19 14:6, conversation decades Devaughn 11,13 38:10 38:2 18:14 courts conversations deceased didn't 26:21 46:13 8:3 24:10 33:2,6 46:1 Court's coordinated decide differ 12:1 49:5 4:22 23:10 24:15 COVID coordinator decision difference 43:13 10:6 49:14 39:3 **CSOSA** decline copies **Diggs** 6:18 28:5,16 29:6 48:12 6:8 18:15 current dedicated directly сору 11:13 40:11 23:12 30:3 cybersecurity defeat Corps director 9:6 9:22 48:22 14:22 15:5 39:8 40:19 50:4 cycle corrected defer 6:14 7:11,14 17:11 44:14 23:20 27:16 director's 30:19 50:12 4:16,18 21:18 38:14 Corrections deferred 6:12,19 7:5 9:1 **Disabilities** D 13:22 Corrente **Deirdre** 40:21 46:4,9,12 47:15 13:11 disagree 11:22 12:7,8 13:2,4,12, 41:14 42:9 48:15 **Delonte** 18 14:6 correspondence 18:19 disappointed 30:3 damages 26:17 denial 13:6 disappointment couldn't 12:1 Daniel 43:13 26:5 denied 19:1 Council 14:13 disbursement 6:13 16:4 21:7 data 16:19.21 denying 7:21 21:21 48:1 Councilmember 25:14 27:15 disbursements 4:22 date 16:8,11 **Department** 6:3 8:1 12:18 16:5 18:1, counsel 6:18 7:5 45:17 discussing 9 21:22 25:10 33:5 12:14 14:12,17 15:1 9:10 depending 17:19 18:4,11 20:3,17, dates 47:17 dismiss 19 21:19 23:8,21 24:11, 11:13 12:13,16,22 12 27:16 28:7,19 39:8 deposit dating 40:20 41:4 42:1 47:2 17:5 dismissed 38:2 50:4 13:5 designed day Counsel's 39:21 dispassionately 4:15 40:22 48:13 11:17 41:7 desk counter disposition 17:2 19:16 3:7,18 5:19,21 6:10 37:12 12:4 13:16 11:11 15:17 18:22 19:1 detail 20:12 21:7,8,10 22:5 country disservice 40:1 39:18,22 40:8 35:15 **Detention** dcwat couple Distinguished 7:1 22:14 32:1 22:10 15:4

district employees exception E 5:3 12:1 13:3,18,19 26:12 36:10 37:13 43:8,10 14:2 15:9.11 26:20 44:1 end easier division 7:11 excuse 45:1 6:12,16 7:4 9:17 10:1,3, 15:18 16:22 enforcement 5,9 16:3 17:2,15 19:16 **Eboni-rose** 14:8,16 executive 20:17 20:8,14 4:16.18 38:13 engage DNS echo 37:16 existed 9:13 41:20 46:17 ensure DOC education 10:10 11:10 existing 6:21 9:15,16 16:1 20:14 6:5 ensures document efforts **Expansion** 37:15 25:8 30:22 8:7 37:4 7:17 ensuring documentation Eidinger 9:10 expected 46:14 19:3 29:9 entirety documents eight-year 21:19 expenditure 47:17 26:1 18:5 19:21 entitled doesn't **Elect** 39:9 experience 32:19 33:4,5,22 34:2,9, 20:8 26:5 19 35:6 entry elected 12:14 Expo domain 35:8 9:19 9:7 **Equino** election 21:7 express 5:2,7,9,11,13 6:7,14 don't 26:4 32:21 33:9 37:6 41:3 7:7,8,14 8:10 9:3,4 equipment 42:12 43:18 46:1 47:18 10:1,2,4,7,8,11,19,20 7:10 extension 11:8,11,12 13:8 14:2 12:15 17:17 18:7,10 Dorothy Eric 16:4,6,9 17:9,11 18:13 22:13 18:18 exterior 19:8 30:19 31:6 36:5 47:1 39:22 48:20 50:12,14 dot essential 9:7 8:1 extraordinarily elections 40:5 dozens 3:7,18 6:13 12:3 13:2 estimates 30:18 16:3 17:2,6 18:7 20:5 7:9 extremely 26:6 35:8 36:6 39:12,20 26:17 draft et al 42:7 50:14 20:11,15 12:6 eves elevate 33:3 36:15 drafted ethical 30:15 23:5 24:11 40:6 Eleven F drive **Evans** 18:5 20:21 9:22 3:10,14 4:16,17 36:8 facilitate eligible 39:8 44:9 50:6 due 9:8 8:9 9:2 33:19 event **Facility** email 15:13 Dunbar 7:1 27:8 15:14 events emails 6:17,20,22 9:17,18 15:9 duplicate 22:20 23:10,16 30:10 8:4 Everybody's embarrass 43:19 30:13 42:1,18 35:7 evidence failed 27:22

14:9 21:5,11,20 fraud good 29:12 3:6,15,16 4:17 11:19 find fair 15:3 25:21,22 31:9 44:7 16:3,6,9 17:1,6 18:7 42:9 fraudulent 46:9 48:17 20:4 40:14 32:15,19 34:1 43:9 finding Gordon fashion 12:9 **Friday** 19:12 37:14 11:4 findings gov **Friends** fast-changing 17:12 28:11 9:7,13 16:20 50:16 government favor 14:11 21:6,7,9,12 front 6:17 4:3,10 51:4 48:2 finer grant 40:10 federal fully 34:3,13 6:19 7:19 7:14 fines granted 14:8 20:22 21:2,5 **Fund** fee 12:17 17:17 18:7,10 16:9 17:6 13:7 firm funding feel 35:5 42:6 43:8,10 48:13 5:12 7:11 31:9 firms Greenfield fellow 40:7.8 funds 3:20 4:2,9 15:4 39:15 3:19 17:21 19:18 41:18,19 51:3,5 fix future fide 45:5 group 38:17 37:9 15:22 40:10 **Fleming** field 21:12 guess G 49:7 27:16 focus fieldwork 7:21 49:5,14 quys 20:13 32:14 33:18 34:19 36:2 **FOIA** figure 46:18 Gary 30:4 50:21 folks 3:6,17 43:22 Н file 47:22 gather 12:15 18:2,6,8 29:10 hadn't follow 13:21 47:6 36:22 36:13,17,18,20 37:1,16 gave filed 40:1 42:13.15 half 45:20 40:18 12:12,14 14:7,15 17:17, footage 22 18:3,6,9 27:19 28:21 general 45:18,22 46:6 hall 29:5 32:7 37:1 8:10 11:17 15:1 17:9.18 4:21 11:21 forged 18:4,11 20:3,17,18 filers 21:19 23:7,21 24:11 33:12 hand 17:16,22 27:16 40:20 41:4 44:19 22:8 25:18 44:17 forgeries 45:17 50:4 filing 27:2 happen 10:15,20 18:1,9 37:6 43:12 give formulation 22:8,12 39:10,19 42:8 filled 12:10 happened 10:14 50:3 29:18 46:20 forward final giving 11:9 hard 14:5 17:10 47:13 50:4 34:22 36:2 26:18 40:22 43:11,15 forwardable finally goal haven't 8:20 20:13 33:21 36:12,13 47:4 found Golden **Finance** 40:3 **Hawkins** 15:22 14:22 15:8,17,21 16:7 18:17 19:13

healthy incredible identify integrity 37:21 38:3,11 50:22 50:13,17 8:14 37:12 incredibly interact identifying 3:13 22:5 30:18 31:13, 8:2,5,14 40:17 41:13 32:12 19 36:3 46:10 illegal independence interest 14:3 48:17 19:6 heard 12:3 13:15 30:11.20 interested impact independent 44:5,11 38:9 28:12 18:5 19:20 35:3,4 39:1, hearing 12,13,15,16 40:5 41:13 impasse interpretative 7:12 12:20 30:9 42:19 49:15 29:15 21:16 hearings indicating implement interrupt 20:20 21:1 28:17 45:16 45:13 7:15 individual held implications intervene 5:11 10:17 14:2 15:18 25:11 5:7 12:22 helpful individuals imply inventory 37:19 38:4,8 41:21 8:4 11:11 25:9,11 40:11 46:22 10:8 ineligible helps imposed investigation 42:18 8:5 20:22 21:3,5,7,8,9 27:5 hesitate informal imposing investigations 30:21 20:19 14:8 21:15 information hey impressed involved 34:8,10 5:1 6:10,13 7:18 8:15 40:17 41:14 32:3 34:15 49:22 11:12 15:19 17:3,14 Hill in-person 20:2 25:3 27:9 28:4,11 involves 9:21 6:22 29:10.18 30:1 31:3.11 13:13 **HOLMAN** 42:11.12 43:21 45:20 inaccurate irregularities 3:10,14 4:17 36:8 50:6 8:15 informed 36:21 37:5 29:3 Holman-evans inactive issue 40:19 8:5,22 infrastructure 31:10 40:15 41:1 43:2 9:11 Home incarcerated issued 9:19 39:21 7:6 initiate 13:8 17:3,10,12 20:1,6, **Homes** 8:15 11,15,20,21,22 21:2 include 22:16 42:10 19:2 initiative 6:4,18 8:13 12:9 13:14 18:21 hope issues included 19:21 4:14 50:22 29:11 9:18 19:17 20:20 Innovation hostile item includes 5:5 32:8 11:19 30:9 8:11 input it'd House incompetence 24:12 5:8 45:1 37:2 38:7 human instances incompetent 37:21 38:11 48:7 37:7,8,10 43:19 4:14 6:20 27:10,11 34:7 35:22 41:2 42:19 34:1,14 39:10 41:7 institutions inconsistency 42:22 44:11,15 47:3 40:9 48:2 24:14,15 insufficient identifiable ľd incorrect 29:1 3:22 4:8 25:2 43:7

ľIJ Kenneth left lottery 3:8 23:20 27:16 36:6 18:15 35:19 45:15 11:3,5 49:11 39:10,19 47:13 50:2,3 Kenyan legislation love 4:22 5:2 7:15,18 12:2 42:17 3:6,17 26:6,16,22 34:5 kicked letters 36:1,4 37:6 41:13,19,22 М 15:17 17:4 20:1 43:16,22 45:12 kind let's machines 41:7 38:10 44:4 30:10,11 34:14 39:18 44:20 40:6,9,17 43:12 kiosk level made 47:19 7:19 30:16 8:22 29:17 37:4,8,9 J knock 46:7 48:5 Library 15:18,19 28:1 mail Jacque knocked life 8:8 20:12 26:10 27:20 7:11 mailed **Jauhar** knowledge limit 5:17 19:8 47:1 22:6 mailer job Knox list 8:12,20 9:22 31:9 35:9,10 36:18 9:20 7:20 8:6 41:11 43:6 50:17 mailers 8:17,19 lists John L 10:7 19:1 main litigation 30:19 Johnson lacked 11:20 14:18 31:15,17,19,22 37:19 28:21 maintain 39:9 8:13 lobby lady 47:2 joint 32:8 maintenance 6:12 local 7:20 8:7 Lastly 12:3 Joseph 7:4 Maisha 31:15 26:12 31:7 44:13 located Laughter 15:15 16:1 July 3:11 4:12 make 5:11 **locations** 32:19 40:22 41:5 43:11 launching 24:1 45:5 47:13 49:7,13 June 38:5 12:18 50:20 makes Lock Lauren 32:18 18:22 jurisdiction 19:12 31:1 lodged making law 12:22 40:13 jurisdictions 36:19 40:7,8 5:20 malignment Long Lawrence 42:22 13:1,4 15:14 Κ Management looked laws 17:15 3:21 4:6 26:20 27:11 39:19,22 40:1 42:14 Karen 30:12 46:21 47:4 49:20 March 35:18 39:15 44:3 leadership 12:4 losing 35:17 Karyn 36:4 Marissa 3:19 leave 40:21 44:12 loss 44:17 50:2 keeping 29:8 Markus 7:22 34:21 leaving lot 21:8,9 44:13 41:21

match Muhammad's number mine 34:2 39:10 14:14 6:8 12:9 13:14 49:2 minute Muriel matching 0 16:10,14,18,20 22:8 12:6 minutes matter objectively Ν 4:7,8 22:7 11:20 12:5 13:1,11,12, 49:20 17 14:4.5 17:18 26:20 missed 29:16 33:22 41:3 46:21 narratives осто 43:20 51:8 35:1 9:8 missing matters Nate off-year 26:16 20:2 41:20 21:12 7:8 mission mayor nature offered 40:12 5:12 38:7 14:11 mistakes Mcduffie office negative 37:5,8 4:22 42:17 14:22 15:7,10,12,16,21 16:7 17:18 18:4,11 moment neighborhood means 20:3,18 21:4,10,20 23:7 31:7 38:19 14:1 15:11,15,20 16:2 24:11 38:17 Monica measure news 3:12 4:16 40:19 44:9 offices 12:9,11,21 13:14 27:15 49:7 45:15 monitoring measures nice officials 7:18 9:12 26:19 39:1,4 4:15 5:7 35:9 month meeting nominating oftentimes 6:21 9:16 15:7 16:11 3:17 4:7 6:15 13:10 14:10 28:13 38:16 39:4 44:21 17:1,10,12 18:12,21 14:4 15:12 22:16 26:22 19:16 20:18 21:4,10,14 non-citizen 50:19 OIG 50:18 51:1 6:6 27:1,5 meetings monthly non-citizens 10:18 11:7 ongoing 15:12 6:8 12:2 7:21 20:4,5 member month's non-forwardable 3:19 4:2,9 15:4 41:18, Online 8:12.16 22:16 19 49:22 51:3,5 6:1 **MORCA** non-partisan members open 37:14 6:18,21 11:6 22:5 37:3 10:16 21:15 morning normal mentioned operate 3:6.16 4:17 11:19 15:3 50:12 31:8 50:17 37:13,14 25:21,22 44:6,7 46:9 noted mentioning operating motion 39:6 10:3 6:20 12:12,16,18,22 14:15 noticeable met opinion 6:8 10:15 12:10 35:4 3:22 4:8 25:17 44:4 notices 50:3 51:2 Michael opinions 21:1 19:10.11 21:16 moved notified migration 5:18 8:2 12:21 opportunity 47:20 9:7,12,14 36:2,7 moving notify Mike 11:9,17 opposed 7:6 50:21 16:13 19:10,11 38:5 Muhammad **November** 14:5,7 opposition mind 17:8,11 49:7 12:16,19

oral participating petitioner's post-election 12:3 13:15 16:6 13:13 17:8 20:5 order parties petitions postal 14:8,16 22:15,17,21,22 11:10 23:7,14 24:6,13 26:8,13,16 33:22 36:18 8:19 23:11,14 24:7,14,16 38:21 39:4 44:14 partners posted 25:8 48:1 6:17 7:3 23:1,12 38:19 phase orders 9:14 partnership **Potomac** 20:20,21,22 21:1 37:20 15:17 piggyback 9:22 38:1 37:18 38:13 passage **Praise** outdated 5:8 PII 4:21 8:14 24:18,21,22 past pre-hearing outlined 37:8 place 23:6 36:19 9:12 36:14 37:1 **Patterson** prejudice outreach plaintiff 20:12 13:6 6:10,16,22 9:15,16,18 12:14 15:9,13 preliminary Paul plaintiff's 15:14 20:6,11,15 overdraft 12:18 preparation 13:7 payment 13:7 16:14,15,18,21 planning 10:1 oversight 21:11 5:10 7:9,13 11:7 7:12 39:17 43:11 prepared payments Plaza 5:16 15:22 16:10 Ρ presented pending 15:10 27:13 31:11 49:3 pleasure P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 7:18 12:4 13:16 28:10 presidential 3:1 people point 8:10 32:5,14 33:11 34:6,12, 32:16 38:14 39:9 46:15, p.m. pretty 10:21 11:1 16,21 35:10,16,20 19 26:4 41:15 40:11,14 43:14 48:6 pace points previously 50:16 performed 23:21 13:5 6:16,22 Pad policies principal 36:16,19 44:16 49:1 10:10 period 16:13.17 19:20 20:10 10:20,22 21:13 pages political 23:15 26:15 28:1 33:15 18:1 19:17 person print 34:11 33:17 40:18 45:21 Poll 45:4 papers personally 10:10 prior 49:18 14:9 25:2 portal 41:15 parameters perspective 6:3,4 9:14 **Prisons** 31:5 39:19 positions 6:19 7:6 10:5,14 49:12 pertinent part private 6:21 8:6 35:12 11:13 positive 39:15 41:12 **PARTICIPANT** 42:17 petition problem 41:16 51:7 13:20 14:7,10 28:13 possession 32:5 29:12 36:20 38:16 43:5 participate 45:19 46:15 procedures 8:9 10:4 36:16,19 49:1 post petitioners participated 25:7 26:14 43:10 44:17 proceed 5:4 9:17 15:8,13,22 4:15 30:5,21 31:2,15

proceedings Q 21:17 process qualifications 7:21 8:11,16 9:9 13:21 38:18 17:8 31:6 32:17 36:4,5, 13,17,21 37:1,15 38:22 qualified 49:9 processed 5:15 6:3 question 22:19 23:4,9 47:16 processes 27:14 40:13 49:8 questions 22:14 24:9 25:4 29:21 program 37:4 39:5 45:9 49:17 9:20 16:7 17:16 20:9 quorum programmed 3:21 10:11 quote progress 50:12 3:9 11:8 promoted R 22:10 proper raise 12:10 27:13 29:5 22:7 43:2,3 raised properly 25:18 49:18 10:11 28:20 29:10 rationale proposer 42:8 12:21 prosecution Re-elect 27:1 20:9 reached prosperous 7:10 30:13 51:1

reaching protocol 26:13 47:22 prouder reads 43:14 49:6 provide ready 3:4 46:5 28:5,11,15,19 29:6 provided reason 34:13 42:8 5:6 29:9 48:9 49:2 recall public 19:22 11:6 15:18 17:14 22:4,6 30:9 41:20 47:19 50:21 receive published 16:11 27:22 21:20 received purview 7:9 8:18 20:19 21:11

46:14 recent 26:5

reclip 47:21 recognize 30:8 record 8:13 14:15 24:5 51:9 recorded 8:17 recording 3:8,9 45:14 records 7:22 8:4,22 9:2 17:14 redacted 24:18,20 reexamined 30:12 31:4 referendum 19:22 referrals 20:19 referred referring regard 20:4 register 6:2 registered registering

17:18 18:4,10 20:3 28:15 37:22 5:15,18,20,21 10:16 18:13,14,15,16,17,18, 19,22 19:2,3 6:9 registrants 8:2 registrar 28:10 40:20 45:11 46:4 47:12 registration 5:14,16,17 6:1,6 7:17, 22 8:6 9:21 registrations 5:19,22 6:5,6 regular

50:19 regularly 10:17 regulations 39:20 40:1,2 42:13 remain 9:4 17:7 35:11 remand 12:7 remember 32:7 remitted 17:5 removed 34:10 repeat 24:9 repeated 29:5 repeatedly 29:21 36:14 replace 7:10 reply 12:19 report 4:16,18 6:13,14 11:14, 17 14:19 20:6,11,15 21:18,19 22:1 reports 18:8 19:15 21:21 representatives 5:9 17:20 19:19 reputable 40:8 request 12:15 13:13 14:14,17 29:17 46:18

requested 14:10 requesting 20:1 requests 17:3 21:15 29:6,17,20

48:8

46:18

put

required returned 29:19 31:10 sheet 17:16,21 18:1,2,6,8 8:19 28:7 **SAVE** 19:7 33:17 37:16 42:4 Returning 5:8 sheets requirements 9:19 28:14 scheduled 7:16 9:10 10:15 12:10 7:13 10:17 11:4 12:20 Sheila review 13:13 14:7 23:6 37:20 16:16 requiring scientist 13:21 38:20 39:1 34:9 **Sherice** research reviewed 14:7 seat 5:5 42:2 46:19,20 32:10 she's reside reviewing 29:11,17,20 section 9:2 7:16 17:21 21:21 Shore resident reviews 15:15 security 39:18 17:2 19:16 9:11 show 21:16 47:18 rhetoric residential Seegars 8:8 33:13 41:2 41:16 44:5,6 47:9,14,16 shows residents Robbie 48:10 47:18 5:3 7:7 25:18 33:15 seeking sign resolved rocket 48:19 23:17 20:2 34:9 seeks signature role 13:6 23:15 29:11 32:20 33:1 respect 34:1 44:21 14:4 28:11 29:11,16 39:17 senators 33:19 38:17 45:21 46:3 roll 17:20 19:19 signatures respectfully 8:14 13:22 14:9 22:21 23:1,2 sending 41:14 24:2,18,20 25:9 26:11 rolls 8:11 27:21 28:8 32:15,17 respects 5:14 sense 33:12,18 34:1,11 35:1,5 23:11 32:18,19 38:18 43:9 44:21 45:2, RTV 5,7 47:9,17,21 48:5,9 respond 6:11,16 separate 49:2 46:5 Ruffin 23:13,15 24:1 responded 19:9 signed served 5:12 23:12,22 24:1,3,13 25:3 29:16 Rule 32:3 25:12 respondents 15:22 39:21 service signers 21:6 rules 8:19 13:8 31:18,21 23:16 24:6 response 44:16 services 45:16 46:2 signing 17:15 19:18 26:1 45:17 24:4 25:14 S responses 48:1,4 simple 29:19,20 **Servies** 4:14 Salim 48:8 rest 16:20 simply 36:1,6 session 28:14 49:13 Sandra Restore 15:19 16:1 41:16 44:4 6:11 7:4 Singh set 18:19 Sanford resubmitted 12:18 49:18 15:1,3 13:4 single share satisfactory 23:12 25:7 result 6:10 30:2 8:18,22 single-member shared 14:2 15:11 satisfied 5:1

sir	staff	stipulated	support
26:3,6,16 27:3	29:15 30:12 31:7 37:3	23:17 24:16 25:12,14	9:14 48:21 49:19
site	39:13 45:3,10 49:22	stipulation	supposed
10:6	stages	22:18,20 23:1,3,5,10,	25:12 44:16
sitting	10:14	12,16 24:4,12	surrendered
46:5	stand	stop	26:7
	37:3	13:7	
situation 26:7	standard	strike	surrounding 39:20
	10:3	14:17 41:9,10	
Smart			system
9:20	stands 29:2	strikes 41:8	47:19
SMD			
10:16	standstill 29:14	strong	ТТ
smooth	29.14	36:10 37:4	takes
11:10	start	Stroud	47:22
Sobin	3:4 9:20 42:18 49:15	11:18,19 23:21,22 24:21 25:2 27:17,18	taking
13:17,19	starting	31:14 37:18 39:8 40:20	taking 7:14
Southwest	34:5,6	45:12 47:2 50:8	
15:19,20	state		talking
speak	8:3 20:14 22:11	stuff 32:18 34:15 43:12	37:22 38:4,7
23:3 36:9	stated		tasks
an a akin n	26:15	subject 12:10 20:7 38:21	8:1
speaking 33:14	Statehood	12.10 20.7 30.21	team
	17:21	submissions	7:21 26:17 40:21 42:6
special		30:22	48:1,4
5:1,11 7:7 9:3,4 10:2,7, 10,19,20 11:8 16:4	statement 24:20 44:10,19 46:7	submit	teams
18:13 19:8 31:6 48:19		24:2 33:22	9:9
50:14	statements	submitted	technical
specific	17:12	13:19 21:12 28:7,12,13,	9:10
37:21 41:5 43:2	states	22 29:7 31:4 49:19	technically
specifically	5:22	successful	35:7
38:3	stating	9:12 11:10	technology
	46:14	successfully	9:6 22:9
<b>spite</b> 33:13	status	26:9	
	11:20 12:20 14:18	sufficient	Temple 4:21
Spread	statute	27:19 28:1,9 30:14,15,	
7:2	38:14	17,22 31:1 46:15 49:1,2	Ten
SS	stay	sum	18:6
44:5	14:11,14	16:8 17:4	ten-day
Stability			10:21
19:1	steadily 11:9	summary 14:15	tentatively
Stacia			11:3,4
11:21	step	Sunday	term
Stadium	30:4	10:22	10:13
9:19	steps	Superior	terms
	7:8,14	12:7 13:2,4	25:13 29:15 43:5
Stadiums 19:3	sticking	supply	Terrace
	35:13	10:8 28:3	i remace

Vista 9:21 timely 43:1 17:17,22 18:3,6,9 9:21 unlike Terri 3:12 11:18 40:20 38:22 times vote 30:12 47:20 6:9,11,12 7:2,4 10:16 unpack 12:2 40:15 10:10 42:21 today 3:18 4:14 21:22 26:4 voter that's upcoming 5:14.17 6:1.5 7:16.22 7:7 9:3 12:6 25:19 30:1 34:9 todav's 8:6,14,21 9:15,16,21 35:19,21 39:5 47:3 16:5 21:22 updated 48:4,8 49:5,14 told 10:3,7 voters 25:10 30:2 44:12 thereto 5:15,18,19,20 6:2 8:5,9, updates 38:18 5:6,9 6:5 14:3 17,21 9:2,3 10:16 40:13 Tonya 46:4 49:4,6,13 18:17 19:13 there's urge 24:13,15 31:3,5,10 33:8 36:15 voting total 34:2,8 42:20,21 43:2,4 5:16 6:6 16:8 17:4 21:2 7:10 40:13 44:12 45:18 47:1 ٧ town they're W 4:20.21 24:21 41:3 42:7,19 vacancies traditional thina 10:12.13 wait 20:9 33:16 34:18,21 36:9 32:10,11 vacated 44:19 49:22 transparent 21:1 waiting 40:14 30:4 things Valencia 32:1 33:8 35:18,19 Trayon 19:13 wanted 36:15 38:7,9 43:19 18:16 19:12 20:10 26:8 27:3 32:8,9,11 27:4,20 48:18 valid Thirty-eight 26:10 33:1 Ward 18:3 treasurer 4:21 5:1,11 7:6,7 9:2,3 19:2,3,9,11,12,13 vein 10:2,11,19,20 16:4,13, **Thompson** 42:11 17 18:13 19:9,10,11,13 3:3,6,12,15,17 4:3,6,10, treasurers 13 11:15 14:20 20:8 20:14 21:13 48:19 19:5 vendors 22:2 23:19 25:6,16,22 11:7 Trina wasn't 26:12 27:7 30:6 31:8,20 23:11 29:5 46:15 49:3 21:7 verify 39:7 41:17 44:2,13 45:8 8:8 44:21 46:11 47:7,11 48:14,16 trust watched 50:10 51:4,6 36:4 verifying 46:16,22 8:3 Waterfront three-and-a-half Tuesday 40:3 15:20 4:20 11:1 video 44:11,12 46:3,16,17,22 three-member webinar turning 39:14 5:4,6 33:15 videos throwing website type 46:20 38:5 6:2,15 11:11 21:21 33:16 23:13 37:20 view **Thursday** 38:9 39:10 48:20 10:21 U Wednesday 50:20 violates time U.S. 13:22 3:22 4:8 12:15 19:1 week 11:21 12:1 13:3,18 22:4 31:5 32:2 44:8.10 44:9 50:20 Virginia 45:4,14,15 7:3 understand weird 24:19.22 48:18 timeline 32:12 41:2 visit 9:9 undeserved 11:11

we'll

22:8 27:12 31:14 41:5 42:8 50:18,21

we're

3:4 30:9 39:16 43:8 47:20 48:21,22 50:11

we've

4:6 22:4 27:10,11 28:5 29:9,16,22 30:19 44:5 49:14,20,21

whatsoever

48:20

White

18:16 19:12 20:10 26:8 27:4,20 28:2 48:18

White's

30:20

who's

3:16 41:13

Wilson

12:5

Woodland

25:19,20 26:3 27:18 28:3,20 44:11 45:13 46:8,13 48:7

word

41:15 50:3

work

9:8 37:12 40:22 41:1 43:11,14,15,20,21 50:13

worked

26:17 40:6,9,10

worker

10:1,2,4,8 13:8

working

7:5 10:9 11:9

works

42:6 47:20

world

50:16

worse

35:20

worser

35:20

worth

6:20 39:11

wouldn't

30:21

wrong

38:1,12 43:17,19

Υ

year

50:12

years

34:14,16 39:18 40:4,18 50:14

.

**you're** 39:9

Ζ

**zone** 41:9,10

. . . . . ,

Zoom

3:19 11:6 22:7