## GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JUNE 5, 2025

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened via Video Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m. EDT, Gary Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director TERRI STROUD, General Counsel WILLIAM SANFORD, Office of Campaign Finance

1	CONTENTS
2	Call to Order
3	Ascertainment of Quorum
4	Adoption of Agenda/Approval of Minutes
5	from the May Regular Board Meeting, May 7, 2025 4
6	Board Matters 4
7	Executive Director's Report 4
8	General Counsel's Report A. Proper Subject Matter Determination Hearing for Proposed Initiative Measure, "The District of Columbia Time
10	Stability Act"
11	1. Stacia Hall, et al., v. D.C 20 Board of Elections (U.S. Court of
12	Appeals for the D.C. Circuit)  2. Charles Wilson v. Muriel Bowser, 21
13	et al., (D.C. Superior Court) 3. Long v. D.C. Board of Elections, 22
14	et al. (U.S. District Court for D.C.) 4. Deirdre Brown v. D.C. Board of 22
15	Elections (D.C. Court of Appeals) 5. Sobin v. D.C. Board of 23
16 17	Elections (U.S. District Court for D.C.) 6. Muhammad v. D.C. Board of 23 Elections (D.C. Court of Appeals)
18	Campaign Finance Report 25
19	Public Comment
20	Adjournment
21	
22	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:33 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning,
4	everybody. My name is Gary Thompson, chair of
5	the D.C. Board of Elections. Apologies for
6	connecting remotely. And also with me is Karyn
7	Greenfield, my fellow board member, and together
8	we constitute a quorum, so we're open for
9	business for our regular meeting.
10	I want to start by welcoming our
11	three interns, Amir, Matthew, and Trevor, who are
12	with us for the summer from their universities.
13	And with that, I'll also move that we adopt our
14	agenda that Karyn and I had a chance to look at.
15	MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes, second.
16	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, all in
17	favor?
18	(Chorus of aye.)
19	CHAIR THOMPSON: Also, we've had a
20	chance to review the meeting minutes from our
21	prior meeting and I also move that we adopt
22	those.

1	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.
2	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
3	(Chorus of aye.)
4	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, and with that
5	I'll turn it over to our Executive Director,
6	Monica Holman Evans, for her report.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you and good
8	morning. So I will start with engagement. So on
9	May 13th, the D.C. Council held a public round
10	table in the Board of Elections. Among other
11	things, we testified about the Ward 8 special
12	election, ANC vacancies, and the petition
13	process.
14	As far as the voter registration
15	rolls, in May, we registered 1,751 new voters and
16	processed 2,369 registration changes. In total,
17	we prepared 4,120 voter registration cards to be
18	mailed. Additionally, 334 registered voters
19	moved out of D.C. Two hundred and 54 voters
20	canceled their D.C. registrations and registered
21	with other jurisdictions, and 746 voters
22	registered in D.C. after canceling their

registration in other states.

2.2

We are continuing to register voters using our website portal. To date, we have processed over 97,803 applications using the portal and these include new voter registrations and updates to existing registrations. Total non-citizen registration is at 980. In May, we registered one non-citizen voter. Since the election, we have seen a noticeable decline in the number of non-citizens registering to vote. Additionally, some non-citizens have been removed from the registry due to our list maintenance efforts. We are continuing our outreach in D.C. communities to share information.

2025 Next Steps and election year planning. We have received estimates to adjust our need to replace voting equipment that has reached the end of its life cycle. We will address funding during our budget oversight hearing that is being held tomorrow, June 6th.

As we continue planning for the 2026 election cycle, we are taking steps to fully

implement new legislation. We are currently
reviewing the requirements of the Automatic Voter
Registration Expansion Act. Specifically, on
June 16, we will begin beta testing some of the
documents and processes that will be used to
comply with the AVR Expansion Act. We are also
monitoring pending legislation and information on
the federal level.

List maintenance. List maintenance is an on-going process. Our data team continues to focus on keeping our voter registration records accurate and up to date by conducting essential tasks, such as identify registrants who have moved, whether in state or out of state, verifying deceased individuals, addressing duplicate records, and identifying ineligible voters and inactive voters.

As part of our voter registration list maintenance efforts, we are conducting the biennial mail canvas to verify the residential addresses of voters who are eligible, but did not participate in the 2024 general presidential

election. This process includes sending a first		
class, non-forwardable canvas mailer to the		
address on record. This initiative will help		
maintain the integrity of the voter roll by		
identifying outdated our inaccurate address		
information. To initiate the process, 153,404		
first class, non-forwardable canvas mailers were		
sent to voters' recorded addresses. As a result,		
we have received 27,854 return mailers through		
the Postal Service.		

On May 15, 2025, a second canvas mailer was sent to 21,983 addresses. As a result of the second mailer, approximately 3,000 were returned to BOE by mail and 383 individuals had confirmed their address online. We are beginning to make voter records inactive, however, we have deferred the inactivation of Ward 8 voters due to the upcoming Ward 8 special election.

As previously reported, our migration to a .gov domain continues. We are continuing to work with OCTO to facilitate the process and clarify the time line. Our teams are discussing

the technical requirements and ensuring that all necessary infrastructure and security measures are in place for a successful migration. BOE contacts are also being added to the .gov DNS portals to support the next phase.

During the month of May, the Voter Education Outreach Division participated in 20 events on behalf of the agency and the outreach events, of course, included the naturalization ceremony.

In preparation for the Ward 8 special election, the Election Worker Division has updated its standard operation procedures for the various election worker positions. The call center is open and staff members have begun scheduling election workers to attend training classes. The first training class will be held on Saturday, June 7th.

The Election Worker Division has updated the list for election equipment and election workers to be deployed for the special election. Signage has been ordered and printing

1	requests have been submitted to our vendor. The
2	Division has also assessed supply needs and
3	prepared the items needed for early voting and
4	election day.
5	ANC vacancies. We currently have 17
6	active ANC vacancies for the 2025-2026 term.
7	These positions are in various stages of being
8	filled. After candidate filing requirements are
9	met, an open vote of registered voters of the
10	affected SMD will be held during regularly
11	scheduled ANC meetings.
12	Ward 8 special election. There are
13	four candidates on the Ward 8 special election
14	ballot. The ballot lottery was held on Friday,
15	May 23rd. The lottery results are posted on our
16	website. We began logic and accuracy testing on
17	Tuesday, May 27. We have completed testing of
18	the DS-950 tabulator machines and the Express
19	Vote touch screen machines. We are still testing
20	the DS-200 machines. To date, machine testing
21	has been successful.
22	Planning meetings with our vendors

1	for the special election are currently in
2	progress. We are steadily moving forward and
3	working closely with all parties to ensure a
4	smooth and successful election. Vendors are
5	providing onsite technical support to perform
6	schedules for benefit maintenance and software
7	updates on election equipment.
8	Ballots for the Ward 8 special
9	election are scheduled to be mailed on Monday,
10	June 9th. The audit lottery will be held on
11	Friday, June 20 at 10 a.m. The post-election
12	audit is scheduled to begin on Tuesday, August
13	5th. Individuals may visit the D.C. BOE website
14	for more information regarding the election,
15	current candidates, and pertinent dates. And
16	that concludes my report. Thank you.
17	CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you very much.
18	General Counsel Terri Stroud.
19	MS. STROUD: Good morning, everyone.
20	The first item on my agenda is a proper subject
21	matter hearing for proposed measures titled The
22	District of Columbia Time Stability Act. At this

meeting, the Board will conduct a hearing to determine whether that measure presents a proper subject of initiatives in the District of Columbia. And by way of background, here in the District, voters are permitted to participate directly in the legislative process via the right of initiative.

The term initiative is defined in the District charter as the process by which the electors of the District of Columbia may propose laws, except for laws appropriating funds, and present such proposed laws directly to the registered voters of the District for their approval or disapproval.

Pursuant to D.C. official code

Section 1-101.16, the Board, upon receipt of a

proposed measure, must refuse to accept it if it

determines that the measure conflicts with or

seeks to amend Title IV of the D.C. Home Rule

Act, otherwise known as the District Charter;

the measure conflicts with the U.S. Constitution;

the measure has not been properly filed; the

1	verified statement of contributions which
2	consists of the Measure Committee's statement of
3	organization and initial report of receipt and
4	expenditures was not timely filed; the measure
5	would authorize discrimination in violation of
6	the D.C. Human Rights Act; the measure would
7	negate or limit a budgetary act of the Council;
8	or the measure would impermissibly appropriate
9	funds under applicable D.C. Court of Appeals
10	ruling.
11	On April 11th, Daniel Bernier, a
12	registered voter in the District, submitted a
13	proposed measure to the Board titled the D.C.
14	Time Stability Act. By its terms, the measure
15	would exempt the District from Daylight Savings
16	Time and establish and implement permanent
17	Standard Time year round. That's the equivalent
18	of Eastern Standard Time in the District. And
19	that would begin on Sunday, November 1st, 2026 at
20	2 a.m.

the Board placed a notice on its website.

Shortly after receiving the measure,

1

21

22

On

April 14th, the Office of the General Counsel requested advisory opinions, as required by law, from the Attorney General for the District and the D.C. Council's General Counsel as to whether the proposed initiative met certain proper subject requirements. On April 29th, the Attorney General provided an advisory opinion to the Board. That opinion concluded that the proper subject of initiative measure was а because it is expressly authorized by the Uniform Time Act which is the federal law that would have been implicated by the measure. It does not appropriate funds. It does not violate the Home Rule Act, the U.S. Constitution, or applicable legal requirements.

On May 5th, the General Counsel for the Council provided its advisory opinion. That opinion concluded that the measure was the proper subject of initiative because it complies with the requirements of District law and the Uniform Time Act, does not appropriate funds, authorize discrimination, or negate an act of the Council,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	and it is consistent with the District charter
2	and the U.S. Constitution. And I offer both of
3	these advisory opinions for the record. They
4	were both placed on the Board's website in the
5	section dedicated to current initiative measures
6	on the Board's website.
7	And so at this time, I'm going to
8	determine whether or not the proposer, Mr.
9	Bernier, is present and if he could so indicate
10	via the Zoom platform, whether he is and I
11	believe I see a raised hand.
12	Yes, let's allow him to talk and then
13	we'll Mr. Bernier?
14	MR. BERNIER: Hi, good morning. And
15	yes, I'm Daniel Bernier and I am here.
16	MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you. And
17	before we begin, I'll also ask whether or not a
18	representative from the OAG or the General
19	Counsel for the Council's Office are present and
20	want to weigh in today during this hearing. We
21	have their advisory opinions for the record, as
22	noted, so if they don't want to speak, that's

fine. We have the opinions as part of the record.

We did not receive any testimony from members of the public regarding this measure.

And so in light -- and the Office of the General Counsel has also reviewed the measure and our office agrees with the opinions rendered by the OAG and the General Counsel for the Council. If ultimately enacted, the measure would exempt the District from Daylight Savings Time and that action does not conflict with the relevant statute at issue here, the Federal Uniform Time Act of 1966. That act authorizes the exemption that is proposed here.

The Uniform Time Act permits a state, which the District is for purposes of this act, to exempt itself from Daylight Savings Time by law if that law provides that the entire jurisdiction would remain on standard time year round and the proposed initiative measure which meets that requirement. We've also determined that the measure does not violate any other

1	tederal law and otherwise meets all proper
2	subject requirements.
3	Accordingly, the General Counsel
4	recommends that the Board accept the measure on
5	the ground that it presents a proper subject
6	until Title IV of the D.C. Home Rule Act that is
7	otherwise proper under the requirements that the
8	Board is required to consider when determining
9	whether a measure meets proper subject
10	requirements.
11	And with that, if it's the chair's
12	pleasure, we can hear from the proposer.
13	CHAIR THOMPSON: Oh, yes. Yes,
14	please, Mr. Bernier. Please go ahead.
15	MR. BERNIER: Yes, good morning. I
16	mean I don't disagree with the opinions of the
17	General Counsel's. I think it meets all the
18	requirements for a good ballot initiative and
19	whether or not or the reasons behind it really
20	are immaterial to this hearing, so I don't really
21	have much to add.
22	MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you. And

1	having heard the Office of General Counsel's
2	recommendation, the Board can either deliberate
3	or the Board can render a decision if it so
4	pleases, if it so chooses, at this time in light
5	of the materials that have been presented for the
6	Board's consideration.
7	CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, I'll go ahead.
8	And thank you, Mr. Bernier, for your comments. I
9	think you understand it well. Our Board is not
10	here to comment on the wisdom of the proposed
11	initiatives. We don't have a position on it.
12	We're simply here to determine whether it meets
13	the proper subject matter requirement which is
14	one of the steps along the way to potentially
15	providing ballot access for the initiative. So
16	just like Initiative 83 and before that
17	Initiative 82, we have a very limited scope here.
18	I very much appreciate the OAG and
19	the D.C. Counsel's opinion on this and our own
20	General Counsel's recommendation. It seems
21	pretty straight forward to me that this proposed
22	initiative meets the threshold requirements

1	including the requirement that it not require the
2	appropriation of funds. So for all those reasons
3	I would move that we approve the voter
4	initiative, the Time Stability Act as a proper
5	subject.
6	MS. STROUD: And with that I'll ask
7	for a roll call vote from the members.
8	Mr. Thompson?
9	CHAIR THOMPSON: The chair votes aye.
10	MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield?
11	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I vote aye.
12	MS. STROUD: Okay, and with that the
13	Board has accepted the proposed measure titled
14	the District of Columbia Time Stability Act to
15	proceed along the initiative process as it
16	presents a proper subject for initiative under
17	applicable District of Columbia law.
18	And so we I just want to discuss
19	like next steps with respect to what will happen
20	with this measure. As the Board has accepted it
21	today, June 5th, and a written order will issue
22	that memorializes the oral opinion that was

rendered today. But the next steps are that within 20 calendar days, we will prepare and adopt the measure formulations which include a short title, a true and impartial summary statement, and the text of the measure in the proper legislative form.

We will also request a fiscal impact statement from the Office of the Chief Financial Officer. We will then schedule and hold a public meeting at which the formulations will be adopted. Within 24 hours after the measure is adopted will cause the measure to be published in the D.C. Register, a local newspaper of general circulation, and on our website.

Any registered voter may file a challenge to the formulations in the D.C. Superior Court on or before the tenth day after publication in the D.C. Register. If no voter objects to the Board's formulation by seeking review in the D.C. Superior Court within that time frame, the Board will certify the measure, provide the proposer with a petition form for use

in securing the required number of signatures and that petition will be presented at a public meeting. And so those are the next steps with respect to the processing of the measure.

achieving ballot access, the Board would submit the initiative measure at the next primary general or citywide special election that is held at least 90 days after the Board certifies the sufficiency of any petition submitted. And so having overcome the first hurdle of this proper subject matter determination, the measure will go forward through the process. And that concludes the hearing on the District of Columbia Time Stability Act.

The item on agenda is next my litigation status. I have six current active The first is Stacia Hall versus the D.C. cases. Board of Elections. The Court heard argument in this case on March 11th and the case is pending disposition. This was an appeal from the U.S. District Court's denial of a challenge to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

legislation allowing non-citizens to vote in local elections.

The second matter is Charles Wilson versus Muriel Bowser and the Board. That's in the D.C. Superior Court. This is the remand from the D.C. Court of Appeals of a challenge to the Board's finding that Initiative Measure No. 83 met proper subject requirements and formulation of the measure. On April 8th, the Board filed a motion to dismiss. On April 18th, new additional counsel for the plaintiff filed an entry of appearance and requested an extension of the time to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss until May 23rd. On April 21st, the Court granted the plaintiff's motion, set June 23rd as the date for the Board to reply to the opposition and scheduled a status hearing for August 15th, On April 22nd, the proposer of the measure 2025. intervene and lodged a moved to motion to On May 21st, the plaintiff requested an dismiss. extension of time to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss. On May 23rd, the Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

granted the plaintiff's motion and ordered that the opposition be filed on June 5th and that the Board filed its reply by July 7th.

The next matter is Long versus the That is in the D.C. Superior Court where it was remanded back from the U.S. District Court Mr. Long resubmitted in the Superior for D.C. Court case that was previously dismissed without prejudice. Mr. Long seeks \$10,000 in damages for an overdraft fee caused by a stop payment on a \$500 check issued for his services as an election worker. On November 26th of last year, he removed his case to the U.S. District On February 3rd, that Court ordered that the case be remanded back to the Superior Court. To date, the Superior Court has not docketed any activity.

The next matter is Deirdre Brown versus the Board. That was in the D.C. Court of Appeals. The matter involves petitioner's request for review of the Board certification of Initiative Measure No. 83. The Court heard oral

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

argument on April 24th. On May 22nd, the Court issued an order dismissing the petition for lack of jurisdiction.

The fifth matter is Sobin versus the In January of this year, Mr. Sobin submitted a complaint in the U.S. District Court in which he alleges that the Board's petition circulation process requiring candidates gather signatures violates the Americans With Disabilities Act and that Advisory an Neighborhood Commission election to be held in his Single Member District is illegal. During the week of May 23rd, the Office of the Attorney General received a service copy of the complaint and their Equity Division will be handling the matter on behalf of the Board.

The sixth and final matter is
Muhammad versus the D.C. Board of Elections which
is in the D.C. Court of Appeals. On April 4th,
Sherice Muhammad filed a petition review of a
Board Enforcement Order imposing a \$150 civil
fine on her because she failed to personally

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	witness signatures on her nominating petition.
2	She also requested that the Court stay the fine
3	and she offered an affidavit from her counsel.
4	On April 23rd, the Court denied her request for a
5	stay. On April 28th, the Board filed the agency
6	record, a motion for summary affirmance of the
7	fine imposed, and a request to strike the
8	affidavit of counsel. On May 21st, the Court
9	issued an order denying the motion for summary
LO	affirmance as premature. On May 22nd, the Court
L1	issued a briefing order setting the petitioner's
L2	brief to be due on July 1st and giving the Board
L3	30 days to respond. So that concludes the
L4	litigation status and my report as a whole.
L5	CHAIR THOMPSON: Just a quick
L6	question on the Brown matter. You said on May
L7	22nd it was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
L8	Is that a final judgment or is there some course
L9	of appeal?
20	MS. STROUD: That is a final
21	judgment.
22	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All right,

1 well, thank you. I think with that we'll turn to 2 the Office of Campaign Finance Report. 3 MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Mr. 4 Chair, and distinguished Board Member Greenfield. 5 I'm William Sanford, General Counsel for the 6 Office of Campaign Finance appearing on behalf of 7 Director Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery. During the month of May 2025, the 8 9 Office of Campaign Finance participated in several community outreach events across the 10 11 District of Columbia. On May 17th, 2025, the 12 Office of Campaign Finance participated 13 Community Resource Fair at the Greater Washington 14 Urban League. On May 17th also, the Office of Campaign Finance participated with other District 15 16 agencies in the Metropolitan Police Department, 17 Recreation, Department of Late Night community outreach event at 18 the Banneker 19 Recreation Center in the Shaw neighborhood. On 20 May 21st, 2025, the Office held its information 21 session in participation with the D.C. Public 2.2 Libraries at the Anacostia Library branch.

1	During the 2022 election cycle, the
2	Office of Campaign Finance authorized a total sum
3	of \$12,358,606.95 for disbursement in the Fair
4	Elections Fund in base amount and matching fund.
5	As of today's date, there are 15 certified
6	participating candidates in the Fair Elections
7	program in the Office of Campaign Finance as
8	authorized disbursements in the total sum of
9	\$2,234,313 of the Fair Elections Fund for the
10	2024 election cycle.
11	Special Elections 2025. As of this
12	date, there are three certified participating
13	candidates in the Fair Elections program and the
14	Office of Campaign Finance has authorized
15	disbursements of \$254,552.90 for the 2025 Special
16	Election in Ward 8.
17	The following candidates received
18	disbursements for the Special Election in Ward 8
19	during the month of May: Mike for Ward 8, 2020
20	Principal Campaign Committee received 19 payments
21	of \$3,650; Sheila Bunn for Ward 8, Principal
22	Campaign Committee, received 19 payments of

\$20,901.25.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

During the month of May 2025, the Fair Election Division conducted 27 desk reviews and issued 6 requests for additional information letters. As of May 31st, 2025, the total sum of \$871,729.33 have been remitted or deposited in the Fair Elections Fund.

The following preliminary audit findings were issued for final audits during the month of May 2025. Monte for Word 3, Principal Campaign Committee, post-election final audit was issued on May 14th, 2025. Eboni for Ward 7, Principal Campaign Committee, statement finding was issued on May 14th. In the Public Information and Records Management Division, there were four required filers for the May 19th filing date. One report was timely filed. extensions were granted. And one matter was referred to the Office of the General Counsel.

As of today's date, there are currently four candidates for chief ballot access in the Ward 8 special election. They are Trayon

1	White, Salim Adolfo, Sheila Bunn, and Michael
2	Austin. There is currently one recall committee
3	registered with the Office of Campaign finance.
4	That is the Committee to Recall Attorney General
5	Brian Schwalb, Serena Shen, Treasurer. There are
6	two initiative committees registered with the
7	Office of Campaign Finance. They are Lock the
8	Clock DC, DC Times Stability Act, Daniel Bernier,
9	Treasurer, Homes not Stadiums, Adam Eidinger,
LO	Treasurer.
L1	The following candidates and
L2	treasurers have completed the Office of Campaign
L3	Finance entrance conference in May of 2025:
L4	Thomas Cortez, candidate; Thomas Cortez for Ward
L5	1; Mateo Maya, Treasurer; Thomas Cortez for Ward
L6	1.
L7	In the Reports Analysis and Audit
L8	Division during the month of May 2025, 25 desk
L9	reviews were completed which included the
20	following: 2 desk reviews of Political Action
21	Committees; 1 desk review of Constituent Services
22	Fund; 1 desk review of U.S. Senator

_	representative and 21 desk reviews of Filherpar
2	Campaign Committees.
3	In the Fair Elections Division, there
4	is one ongoing post-election audit and that is
5	for the committee to elect Eboni-Rose Thompson.
6	The audit report was issued on April 18th, 2025
7	and the committee was granted an extension until
8	June 3rd to respond. In the traditional program,
9	there are four ongoing post-election audits. The
LO	first is Re-elect Trayon White 2024. The audit
L1	report was issued on April 1st, 2025. The
L2	response was received on May 20th, 2025 and an
L3	email was sent on May 28, 2025 listing the
L4	outstanding issues.
L5	The second, Jacques for DC, the
L6	records were requested. They were not received.
L7	A hearing was scheduled for March 26th. The
L8	hearing was rescheduled for May 29th. As of
L9	today's date, the records have not been received.
20	The third is Michelle Colson, State
21	Board of Education, Ward 4, preliminary audit
22	report is being drafted.

1	And the fourth and final is Eboni-
2	Rose, State Board of Education, Ward 7.
3	Preliminary draft audit order report was issued
4	on April 18th, 2025 and the response was received
5	on May 21st. An email citing the outstanding
6	issues was sent to the respondent on May 28,
7	2025.
8	In the General Counsel's Division
9	during the month of May 2025, the Office of
10	General Counsel received one referral, completed
11	six informal hearings, and issued six orders
12	which included the following. Three orders were
13	issued in which no fines were imposed. And three
14	orders were issued in which \$1,620 in fines
15	imposed.
16	During the month of May, the Office
17	of Campaign Finance imposed fines against the

During the month of May, the Office of Campaign Finance imposed fines against the following respondents. Adea Long of Ward 8, a fine of \$160 was imposed. Long, Ward 8, team solutions, an additional fine of \$160 was imposed. And Friends of Robert White, a fine of \$1,300 was imposed.

18

19

20

21

22

During the month of May 2025, there
were no open investigations before the Office of
Campaign Finance. There were no requests for
interpretive opinions, and no show cause
proceedings were conducted. The contents of both
the Director's reports and the General Counsel's
report will be published at the Office of
Campaign Finance website under the data and
receipts section by close of business on today's
date, the 5th of June 2025 and that should
conclude my report.
CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, thank you
very much.`
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you.
CHAIR THOMPSON: This being a regular
board meeting, we have some time at the end for
public comment and we would ask anybody out there
who would like to comment for up to three minutes
to raise their Zoom hand and we'll identify you
and I'll ask Terri Stroud to call on people
because I can't see remotely who has got a hand
raised.

1	MS. STROUD: We have D.C. Watch, I
2	think it is. It says D.C. Wat, a representative
3	from D.C. Watch.
4	MS. BRIZILL: Good morning, this is
5	Dorothy Brizill. I would like to ask a couple a
6	couple of questions of the Office of Campaign
7	Finance if I could. Could you tell me what the
8	situation is with the Executive Director? I note
9	that there have been several months in which
10	neither Cecily Collier-Montgomery, who is the
11	Director of the agency, nor Leslie Williams who
12	is essentially the Deputy Director of the agency
13	has testified and instead, the legal counsel has
14	given the testimony for OCF.
15	What is the status of the senior
16	management at OCF?
17	CHAIR THOMPSON: I just want to make
18	sure are all those questions or did you have
19	others before you conclude your comment?
20	MS. BRIZILL: I have others.
21	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, why don't
22	you ask all your questions and then we'll give

1	OCF a chance to respond to all of them in turn.
2	MS. BRIZILL: How do you know they're
3	all for OCF?
4	CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, this isn't a
5	deposition. You've got three minutes to make a
6	comment, so just whatever questions you have for
7	OCF or BOE, if you could just rattle them off and
8	then we'll take them in turn.
9	MS. BRIZILL: I'd also like to know
LO	from OCF what is the status of their review of
L1	the campaign finance filing for the Initiative 83
L2	Committee. I am particularly concerned because
L3	more than 90 percent of their funds came from out
L4	of the District and a substantial number again,
L5	nearly 90 percent, are reported as in-kind
L6	contributions.
L7	Can Mr. Sanford tell me whether or
L8	not in reviewing in-kind contributions, the
L9	Office of Campaign Finance follows the guidelines
20	established under the Federal Election Commission
21	or whether or not you have your own rules and
22	regulations, as well as whether or not OCF Form

1	34 for the reporting of in-kind contributions is
2	currently being used by the agency?
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, thank you so
4	much for your comments, Ms. Brizill, and we'll
5	turn now to OCF or BOE, whoever would like to
6	comment on those questions.
7	MR. SANFORD: I'll respond, Mr.
8	Chairman, to the first question from Ms. Brizill.
9	First of all, the Director, Ms. Collier-
10	Montgomery, is out on sick leave as a result of
11	an on-the-job injury.
12	Secondly, Leslie Williams is not the
13	Deputy Director and to my knowledge, Leslie
14	Williams has never provided testimony at any
15	hearing. And in the Director's absence, I
16	generally do provide the testimony.
17	With regard to Ms. Brizill's
18	questions regarding the review of in-kind
19	contributions, we have continued to and we will
20	also follow procedure that has been in place for
21	many years and there will be no deviation from
22	that procedure. So I'm not quite sure what Ms.

1	Brizill is referring to.
2	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, well,
3	thank you so much and of course, we all wish
4	Director Collier-Montgomery the best of health as
5	she recovers. And with that I'll ask the General
6	Counsel to call on anybody else that happens to
7	have a Zoom hand raised.
8	MS. STROUD: I see no other Zoom
9	hands raised, Mr. Chair.
10	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, anything else
11	from anyone there at BOE or OCF? Well, hearing
12	none, I wish everybody a happy Thursday, I think
13	it is. We're almost there, the end of the week.
14	And have a great month.
15	We will be back for our regular
16	meeting in July, I guess the date to be
17	confirmed, usually it's the first Wednesday, so
18	we'll have at least one more meeting before the
19	special election.
20	Remind me, General Counsel, is there
21	a special meeting on another voter initiative?
22	MS. STROUD: No, there is not. We do

1	have an initiative scheduled to take place. The
2	proper subject hearing for that is scheduled to
3	take place on at the next board meeting.
4	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, we'll
5	see everybody then in early July and with that, I
6	move that we adjourn.
7	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.
8	CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor.
9	(Chorus of aye.)
LO	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
L1	went off the record at 11:12 a.m.)
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

_	
2	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
3	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed
4	under my direction; further, that said transcript
5	is a true and accurate record of the proceedings;
6	and that I am neither counsel for, related to,
7	nor employed by any of the parties to this action
8	in which this matter was taken; and further that
9	I am not a relative nor an employee of any of the
10	parties nor counsel employed by the parties, and
11	I am not financially or otherwise interested in
12	the outcome of the action.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Davis a Common of
18	near Rous 8
19	Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	

\$	—   <b>14th</b>   13:1 27:12,14	<b>2026</b> 5:21 12:19	334 4:18
Ψ			
\$1,300	15	20th	34
30:22	7:11 26:5	29:12	34:1
1,620	153,404	21	383
30:14	7:6	29:1	7:14
	15th	21,983	3rd
510,000	21:17	7:12	22:14 29:8
22:9	16	21st	
312,358,606.95	6:4	21:14,20 24:8 25:20	4
26:3		30:5	·
150	17		4
23:21	9:5	22nd	29:21
5160	17th	21:18 23:1 24:10,17	4,120
30:19,20	25:11,14	23rd	4:17
	18th	9:15 21:14,15,22 23:13	
2,234,313	21:10 29:6 30:4	24:4	4th
26:9	40	24	23:19
20,901.25	19 26:20,22	19:11	
27:1		24th	5
254,552.90	1966	23:1	
26:15	15:13	25	<b>54</b> 4:19
<b>63,650</b>	19th	25 28:18	4:19
26:21	27:16		5th
	1st	26th	10:13 13:16 18:21 22:
5500	12:19 24:12 29:11	22:12 29:17	31:10
22:11		27	-
871,729.33	2	9:17 27:3	6
27:6	<u> </u>	27,854	
	2	7:9	6
1	12:20 28:20	20	27:4
	2,369	28 29:13 30:6	6th
	4:16		5:20
28:15,16,21,22		28th	
,751	20	24:5	7
4:15	8:7 10:11 19:2	29th	
-101.16	2020	13:6 29:18	7
11:16	26:19		27:12 30:2
	2022	3	746
<b>0</b> 10:11	26:1		4:21
	2024	3	7th
0:33	6:22 26:10 29:10	27:10	8:18 22:3
3:2		3,000	
1:12	2025	7:13	8
36:11	5:15 7:11 21:18 25:8, 11,20 26:11,15 27:2,5,	30	
1th	10,12 28:13,18 29:6,11,	24:13	8
12:11 20:20	12,13 30:4,7,9 31:1,10		4:11 7:17,18 8:11 9:12
		31st	13 10:8 26:16,18,19,2
13th	2025-2026	27:5	27:22 30:18,19
4:9	9:6		

82 9:6 20:17 3:14 10:20 20:16 18:3 17:17 activity agrees approximately 22:17 15:7 7:13 17:16 21:7 22:22 33:11 Adam ahead April 8th 28:9 16:14 17:7 12:11 13:1,6 21:9,10, 21:9 14,18 23:1,19 24:4,5 add alleges 29:6.11 30:4 23:7 16:21 9 argument added allowing 20:19 23:1 8:4 21:1 assessed 20:9 33:13,15 additional amend 9:2 21:11 27:4 30:20 11:19 97,803 attend 5:4 Additionally **Americans** 8:16 4:18 5:11 23:9 980 Attorney Amir 5:7 address 13:3,7 23:13 28:4 5:19 7:3,5,15 3:11 9th 10:10 addresses amount 10:10,12 27:8,11 28:17 6:21 7:8,12 26:4 29:4,6,10,21 30:3 Α addressing **Anacostia** audits 25:22 6:15 27:9 29:9 a.m. Adea **Analysis** 3:2 10:11 12:20 36:11 August 30:18 28:17 10:12 21:17 above-entitled adjourn ANC 36:10 Austin 36:6 4:12 9:5,6,11 28:2 absence adjust **Apologies** 34:15 authorize 5:16 3:5 12:5 13:21 accept Adolfo appeal 11:17 16:4 authorized 20:21 24:19 28:1 13:10 26:2,8,14 accepted adopt **Appeals** 18:13,20 authorizes 3:13,21 19:3 12:9 21:6 22:20 23:19 15:13 access adopted appearance 17:15 20:6 27:21 **Automatic** 19:11,12 21:12 6:2 accuracy advisory appearing 9:16 AVR 13:2,7,17 14:3,21 23:10 25:6 6:6 accurate affected applicable 6:12 aye 9:10 12:9 13:15 18:17 3:18 4:3 18:9,11 36:9 achieving affidavit applications 20:6 24:3,8 5:4 В affirmance appropriating 6:3.6 10:22 11:20 12:6. 24:6,10 11:11 back 7,14 13:11,14,21,22 22:6,15 35:15 15:13,15,16 16:6 18:4, agencies appropriation 14 20:15 23:10 28:8 25:16 18:2 background 11:4 action agency approval 15:11 28:20 8:8 24:5 32:11,12 34:2 11:14 ballot 9:14 16:18 17:15 20:6 active agenda approve 27:21

**Ballots** 30:5 24:11 caused 10:8 22:10 Brizill citywide **Banneker** 32:4,5,20 33:2,9 34:4,8 Cecily 20:8 25:18 25:7 32:10 civil base Brizill's center 23:21 34:17 26:4 8:15 25:19 clarify 7:22 began **Brown** ceremony 9:16 22:18 24:16 8:10 class begin budget certification 7:2,7 8:17 6:4 10:12 12:19 14:17 5:19 22:21 classes certified beginning budgetary 8:17 26:5,12 7:15 12:7 Clock Bunn certifies 28:8 begun 26:21 28:1 20:9 8:15 close **business** certify behalf 31:9 8:8 23:16 25:6 19:21 3:9 31:9 closely benefit chair 10:3 С 10:6 3:4,16,19 4:2,4 10:17 code 16:13 17:7 18:9 24:15, **Bernier** 11:15 calendar 22 25:4 31:12,15 32:17, 12:11 14:9,13,14,15 19:2 21 33:4 34:3 35:2,9,10 Collier-16:14,15 17:8 28:8 36:4,8 34:9 call beta 8:14 18:7 31:20 35:6 chair's **Collier-montgomery** 6:4 16:11 25:7 32:10 35:4 campaign biennial 25:2,6,9,12,15 26:2,7, Chairman Colson 6:20 14,20,22 27:11,13 28:3, 3:3 34:8 29:20 board 7,12 29:2 30:17 31:3,8 challenge Columbia 32:6 33:11,19 3:5,7 4:10 11:1,16 19:16 20:22 21:6 10:22 11:4,10 18:14,17 12:13,22 13:8 16:4,8 canceled 20:14 25:11 17:2,3,9 18:13,20 19:21 chance 4:20 3:14,20 33:1 20:6,9,19 21:4,10,16 comment 22:3,5,19,21 23:5,16, canceling 17:10 31:17.18 32:19 Charles 18,21 24:5,12 25:4 4:22 33:6 34:6 21:3 29:21 30:2 31:16 36:3 candidate comments charter Board's 9:8 28:14 17:8 34:4 11:9,20 14:1 14:4,6 17:6 19:19 21:7 candidates Commission 23:7 check 9:13 10:15 23:8 26:6. 23:11 33:20 22:11 BOE 13,17 27:21 28:11 committee 7:14 8:3 10:13 33:7 chief canvas 26:20,22 27:11,13 28:2, 34:5 35:11 19:8 27:21 6:20 7:2,7,11 4 29:5,7 33:12 **Bowser** chooses cards Committee's 21:4 17:4 4:17 12:2 branch chorus case committees 25:22 3:18 4:3 36:9 20:20 22:8,13,15 28:6,21 29:2 Brian circulation cases communities 28:5 19:14 23:8 20:18 5:14 briefing citing

Constitution community denial D 25:10,13,18 11:21 13:14 14:2 20:22 denied complaint contacts D.C. 23:6,14 8:4 24:4 3:5 4:9,19,20,22 5:13 completed contents 10:13 11:15,19 12:6,9, denying 9:17 28:12,19 30:10 31:5 13 13:4 16:6 17:19 24:9 19:13,16,18,20 20:18 complies continue Department 21:5,6 22:5,7,19 23:18, 13:19 5:21 25:16,17 19 25:21 32:1,2,3 comply continued deployed damages 6:6 34:19 8:21 22:10 concerned continues deposited **Daniel** 6:10 7:20 33:12 27:6 12:11 14:15 28:8 conclude deposition continuing data 31:11 32:19 33:5 5:2,13 7:20 6:10 31:8 contributions concluded Deputy date 13:8,18 32:12 34:13 12:1 33:16,18 34:1,19 5:3 6:12 9:20 21:16 22:16 26:5,12 27:17,20 concludes copy desk 29:19 31:10 35:16 10:16 20:13 24:13 23:14 27:3 28:18,20,21,22 29:1 dates conduct Cortez 10:15 determination 11:1 28:14,15 20:12 conducted Council day 27:3 31:5 4:9 12:7 13:17,22 15:8 9:4 19:17 determine 11:2 14:8 17:12 conducting Council's Daylight 6:12,19 13:4 14:19 12:15 15:10,17 determined 15:21 conference counsel days determines 28:13 10:18 13:1,4,16 14:19 19:2 20:9 24:13 11:18 15:6,8 16:3 21:11 24:3, DC confirmed 8 25:5 27:19 30:10 28:8 29:15 7:15 35:17 determining 32:13 35:6,20 16:8 deceased conflict Counsel's 15:11 6:15 deviation 16:17 17:1,19,20 30:8 34:21 decision 31:6 conflicts 17:3 11:18,21 directly couple 11:6,12 decline connecting 32:5,6 5:9 **Director** 3:6 Court 4:5 25:7 32:8,11,12 consideration 12:9 19:17,20 20:19 dedicated 34:9,13 35:4 14:5 17:6 21:5,6,14,22 22:5,6,8, 14,15,16,19,22 23:1,6, **Director's** consistent deferred 19 24:2,4,8,10 31:6 34:15 7:17 14:1 Court's **Disabilities** defined consists 20:22 23:10 11:8 12:2 current disagree **Deirdre** Constituent 10:15 14:5 20:17 16:16 22:18 28:21 cycle disapproval deliberate constitute 5:18,22 26:1,10 11:14 17:2 3:8

disbursement DS-950 end existing 26:3 9:18 5:18 31:16 35:13 5:6 disbursements **Enforcement Expansion** due 26:8,15,18 5:12 7:17 24:12 23:21 6:3,6 discrimination duplicate engagement expenditures 12:5 13:22 4:8 12:4 6:16 ensure discuss **Express** Ε 18:18 10:3 9:18 discussing ensuring expressly early 7:22 8:1 13:10 9:3 36:5 dismiss entire extension Eastern 21:10,14,20,22 15:18 21:12,21 29:7 12:18 dismissed entrance extensions Eboni 22:8 24:17 28:13 27:18 27:12 dismissing entry Eboni-21:12 F 23:2 30:1 disposition equipment **Eboni-rose** facilitate 20:21 5:17 8:20 10:7 29:5 7:21 distinguished **Equity** Education failed 25:4 23:15 8:7 29:21 30:2 23:22 District equivalent efforts Fair 12:17 10:22 11:3,5,9,10,13,20 5:13 6:19 25:13 26:3,6,9,13 27:3, 12:12,15,18 13:3,20 essential 7 29:3 14:1 15:10,16 18:14,17 **Eidinger** 6:13 20:14,22 22:6,13 23:6, 28:9 favor 12 25:11,15 33:14 essentially 3:17 4:2 36:8 elect 32:12 29:5 **February** Division 22:14 8:7,12,19 9:2 23:15 establish election 27:3,15 28:18 29:3 30:8 12:16 4:12 5:9,15,22 7:1,18 federal DNS 6:8 13:11 15:12 16:1 8:12,14,16,19,20,21,22 established 8:4 9:4,12,13 10:1,4,7,9,14 33:20 33:20 20:8 22:12 23:11 26:1. docketed fee estimates 10,16,18 27:3,22 33:20 22:16 22:10 5:16 35:19 documents **Evans** fellow elections 6:5 4:6,7 3:7 3:5 4:10 20:19 21:2 domain 23:18 26:4,6,9,11,13 file event 7:20 27:7 29:3 25:18 19:15 21:13,21 Dorothy electors filed events 11:10 32:5 8:8,9 25:10 11:22 12:4 21:10,11 22:2.3 23:20 24:5 27:17 eligible draft **Executive** 6:21 30:3 4:5 32:8 filers 27:16 drafted email exempt 29:22 29:13 30:5 filing 12:15 15:9,17 9:8 27:17 33:11 **DS-200** enacted exemption 15:9 9:20 15:13 filled 9:8

final 5:19 20:18 Hype 23:17 24:18,20 27:9,11 25:17 funds hand 11:11 12:9 13:13,21 14:11 31:19,21 35:7 ı finance 18:2 33:13 handling 25:2,6,9,12,15 26:2,7, 23:15 14 28:3,7,13 30:17 identify G 31:3,8 32:7 33:11,19 hands 6:13 31:19 35:9 **Financial** Gary identifying 19:8 3:4 happen 6:16 7:5 18:19 gather finding illegal 21:7 27:14 23:9 23:12 happy 35:12 findings immaterial general 27:9 6:22 10:18 13:1,3,4,7, health 16:20 16 14:18 15:5,8 16:3,17 35:4 impact fine 17:1,20 19:13 20:8 15:1 23:22 24:2,7 19:7 hear 23:14 25:5 27:19 28:4 30:19,20,21 16:12 30:8.10 31:6 35:5.20 impartial 19:4 fines heard generally 30:13,14,17 17:1 20:19 22:22 34:16 impermissibly 12:8 fiscal hearing give 19:7 5:20 10:21 11:1 14:20 32:22 implement 16:20 20:14 21:17 focus 6:1 12:16 giving 29:17,18 34:15 35:11 6:11 24:12 36:2 implicated follow 13:12 good hearings 34:20 3:3 4:7 10:19 14:14 30:11 imposed 16:15,18 25:3 32:4 24:7 30:13,15,17,19,21, form held 19:6,22 33:22 gov 4:9 5:20 8:17 9:10,14 formulation 7:20 8:4 10:10 20:8 23:11 25:20 imposing 19:19 21:9 23:21 granted hold 21:15 22:1 27:18 29:7 19:9 in-kind formulations 19:3,10,16 33:15,18 34:1,18 great Holman 35:14 4:6 inaccurate forward 10:2 17:21 20:13 7:5 Greater Home fourth 25:13 11:19 13:13 16:6 inactivation 7:17 30:1 Greenfield **Homes** frame 3:7,15 4:1 18:10,11 28:9 inactive 19:21 25:4 31:14 36:7 6:17 7:16 hours **Friday** ground 19:11 include 9:14 10:11 16:5 5:5 19:3 Human **Friends** guess 12:6 included 8:9 28:19 30:12 35:16 30:21 hundred quidelines 4:19 fully includes 33:19 5:22 7:1 hurdle 20:11 including fund Н 26:4,9 27:7 28:22 18:1 hurdles 20:5 individuals funding Hall

6:15 7:14 10:13 items leave М 9:3 34:10 ineligible 6:16 legal machine 11:19 16:6 13:15 32:13 9:20 informal 30:11 legislation machines 6:1,7 21:1 information 9:18,19,20 5:14 6:7 7:6 10:14 legislative **Jacques** mail 25:20 27:4,15 11:6 19:6 29:15 6:20 7:14 infrastructure Leslie **January** mailed 8:2 32:11 34:12,13 4:18 10:9 23:5 initial letters judgment mailer 12:3 27:5 24:18,21 7:2,12,13 initiate level July mailers 7:6 6:8 22:3 24:12 35:16 36:5 7:7,9 initiative Libraries maintain June 25:22 7:3 11:7,8 13:5,9,19 5:20 6:4 8:18 10:10,11 7:4 14:5 15:20 16:18 17:15, 18:21 21:15 22:2 29:8 Library 16,17,22 18:4,15,16 maintenance 31:10 25:22 20:7 21:7 22:22 28:6 5:12 6:9,19 10:6 jurisdiction 33:11 35:21 36:1 life make 15:19 23:3 24:17 5:18 initiatives 7:16 32:17 33:5 11:3 17:11 jurisdictions light management 4:21 15:5 17:4 27:15 32:16 injury 34:11 limit March Κ 12:7 integrity 20:20 29:17 7:4 limited Karyn matching 17:17 interns 3:6,14 26:4 3:11 list keeping Mateo 5:12 6:9,19 8:20 interpretive 6:11 28:15 31:4 listing materials knowledge 29:13 intervene 34:13 17:5 21:19 litigation matter 20:17 24:14 L investigations 10:21 17:13 20:12 21:3 31:2 local 22:4,18,20 23:4,16,17 lack 19:13 21:2 24:16 27:18 36:10 involves 23:2 24:17 22:20 Lock Matthew Late 28:7 3:11 issue 25:17 15:12 18:21 lodged Maya law 21:19 28:15 issued 13:2,11,20 15:18 16:1 22:11 23:2 24:9.11 measure logic 18:17 27:4,9,12,14 29:6,11 9:16 11:2,17,18,21,22 12:2, 30:3,11,13,14 laws 4,6,8,13,14,21 13:9,12, Long 11:11,12 18 15:4,6,9,20,22 16:4, issues 22:4,7,9 30:18,19 9 18:13,20 19:3,5,11, 29:14 30:6 League 12,21 20:4,7,12 21:7,9, lottery 25:14 18 22:22 9:14,15 10:10 item 10:20 20:16

measures 8:2 10:21 14:5 meeting 3:9,20,21 11:1 19:10 20:3 31:16 35:16,18,21 36:3 meetings 9:11,22 meets 15:21 16:1,9,17 17:12, 22 member 3:7,15 4:1 18:10,11 23:12 25:4 31:14 36:7 members 8:15 15:4 18:7 memorializes 18:22 met 9:9 13:5 21:8 Metropolitan 25:16 Michael 28:1 Michelle 29:20 migration 7:19 8:3 Mike 26:19 minutes 3:20 31:18 33:5 **Monday** 10:9 Monica 4:6 monitoring 6:7 Monte 27:10

3:3 4:8 10:19 14:14 16:15 25:3 32:4 motion 21:10,13,15,19,22 22:1 24:6,9 move 3:13,21 18:3 36:6 moved 4:19 6:14 21:19 moving 10:2 Muhammad 23:18.20 Muriel 21:4 Ν naturalization 8:9 needed 9:3 negate 12:7 13:22 neighborhood 23:11 25:19 newspaper 19:13 Night 25:17 nominating 24:1 non-citizen 5:7,8 non-citizens 5:10,11 21:1 non-forwardable 7:2,7 note 32:8 noted 14:22

months

32:9

morning

notice 12:22 noticeable 5:9 November 12:19 22:12 number 5:10 20:1 33:14 0 OAG 14:18 15:8 17:18 objects 19:19 **OCF** 32:14,16 33:1,3,7,10,22 34:5 35:11 осто 7:21 offer 14:2 offered 24:3 office 13:1 14:19 15:5,7 17:1 19:8 23:13 25:2,6,9,12, 14,20 26:2,7,14 27:19 28:3,7,12 30:9,16 31:2, 7 32:6 33:19 Officer 19:9 official 11:15 on-going 6:10 on-the-job 34:11 ongoing 29:4,9 online 7:15 onsite 10:5 open 3:8 8:15 9:9 31:2

operation 8:13 opinion 13:7,8,17,18 17:19 18:22 opinions 13:2 14:3.21 15:1.7 16:16 31:4 opposition 21:13,16,21 22:2 oral 18:22 22:22 order 18:21 23:2,21 24:9,11 30:3 ordered 8:22 22:1,14 orders 30:11,12,14 organization 12:3 outdated 7:5 outreach 5:13 8:7,8 25:10,18 outstanding 29:14 30:5 overcome 20:11 overcomes 20:5 overdraft 22:10 oversight 5:19 Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 part 6:18 15:1 participate 6:22 11:5 participated 8:7 25:9,12,15

Montgomery

8:6 25:8 26:19 27:2,10

28:18 30:9,16 31:1

34:10

month

35:14

participating pleases pretty proposer 26:6,12 17:4 17:21 14:8 16:12 19:22 21:18 participation pleasure previously provide 25:21 16:12 7:19 22:8 19:22 34:16 parties provided **Police** primary 10:3 25:16 20:7 13:7,17 34:14 **Political** payment **Principal** providing 22:11 28:20 26:20,21 27:10,13 29:1 10:5 17:15 payments portal printing public 26:20,22 5:3,5 8:22 4:9 15:4 19:9 20:2 25:21 27:14 31:17 portals prior pending 6:7 20:20 8:5 publication 3:21 19:18 position procedure people 34:20,22 31:20 17:11 published 19:12 31:7 positions procedures percent 8:14 9:7 33:13,15 8:13 purposes 15:16 perform post-election proceed 10:5 10:11 27:11 29:4,9 18:15 **Pursuant** 11:15 permanent **Postal** proceedings 12:16 7:10 31:5 Q permits posted process 15:15 9:15 4:13 6:10 7:1,6,21 11:6, question 9 18:15 20:13 23:8 24:16 34:8 permitted potentially 11:5 17:14 processed questions 4:16 5:4 personally prejudice 32:6,18,22 33:6 34:6,18 23:22 22:9 processes quick 6:5 24:15 pertinent preliminary 10:15 27:8 29:21 30:3 processing quorum 20:4 premature 3:8 petition 4:12 19:22 20:2,10 24:10 program 23:2,7,20 24:1 26:7,13 29:8 R preparation petitioner's 8:11 progress 22:20 24:11 10:2 raise prepare 31:19 phase 19:2 proper raised 8:5 10:20 11:2 13:5,9,18 prepared 14:11 31:22 35:7,9 16:1,5,7,9 17:13 18:4, place 4:17 9:3 16 19:6 20:11 21:8 36:2 rattle 8:3 34:20 36:1,3 present 33:7 properly plaintiff 11:12 14:9,19 11:22 21:11,20 Re-elect presented 29:10 propose plaintiff's 17:5 20:2 11:10 21:15 22:1 reached presents proposed 5:18 planning 11:2 16:5 18:16 10:21 11:12,17 12:13 5:16,21 9:22 reasons 13:5 15:14,20 17:10,21 presidential 16:19 18:2 platform 6:22 18:13 14:10

reported recall registering result 28:2,4 5:10 7:19 33:15 7:8,12 34:10 registrants results receipt reporting 11:16 12:3 6:13 34:1 9:15 receipts registration reports return 4:14,16,17 5:1,7 6:3,11, 28:17 31:6 31:9 7:9 returned receive representative registrations 7:14 15:3 14:18 29:1 32:2 4:20 5:5,6 received request review 5:16 7:9 23:14 26:17, registry 19:7 22:21 24:4,7 3:20 19:20 22:21 23:20 20,22 29:12,16,19 30:4, 5:12 28:21,22 33:10 34:18 requested 13:2 21:12,20 24:2 reviewed regular 3:9 31:15 35:15 receiving 29:16 15:6 12:21 requests reviewing regularly recommendation 9:10 9:1 27:4 31:3 6:2 33:18 17:2.20 regulations require reviews 33:22 recommends 18:1 27:3 28:19,20 29:1 16:4 relevant required Rights record 15:11 13:2 16:8 20:1 27:16 12:6 7:3 14:3,21 15:2 24:6 remain requirement Robert 36:11 15:19 15:21 17:13 18:1 30:21 recorded remand requirements roll 7:8 21:5 7:4 18:7 6:2 8:1 9:8 13:6,15,20 records 16:2,7,10,18 17:22 21:8 remanded rolls 6:12.16 7:16 27:15 22:6,15 4:15 requiring 29:16,19 23:8 Remind Rose recovers rescheduled 35:20 30:2 35:5 29:18 remitted round Recreation residential 4:9 12:17 15:20 27:6 25:17,19 6:20 remotely Rule referral 3:6 31:21 Resource 11:19 13:14 16:6 30:10 25:13 removed rules referred 5:11 22:13 respect 33:21 27:19 18:19 20:4 render ruling referring 17:3 respond 12:10 35:1 24:13 29:8 33:1 34:7 rendered refuse 15:7 19:1 respondent S 11:17 30:6 replace regard Salim 5:17 respondents 34:17 28:1 30:18 reply register Sanford 21:16 22:3 response 5:2 19:13,18 25:3,5 33:17 34:7 29:12 30:4 report registered Saturday 4:6 10:16 12:3 24:14 resubmitted 4:15,18,20,22 5:8 9:9 8:18 25:2 27:17 29:6,11,22 22:7 11:13 12:12 19:15 28:3, 30:3 31:7,11 Savings

12:15 15:10,17 share 15,18 27:22 35:19,21 32:1 35:8,22 5:14 schedule **Specifically** subject 19:9 Shaw 10:20 11:3 13:6,9,19 6:3 25:19 16:2,5,9 17:13 18:5,16 scheduled Stability 20:12 21:8 36:2 9:11 10:9,12 21:17 Sheila 10:22 12:14 18:4,14 26:21 28:1 20:15 28:8 29:17 36:1,2 submit 20:6 Shen schedules Stacia 28:5 20:18 submitted 10:6 9:1 12:12 20:10 23:6 scheduling **Sherice Stadiums** 8:16 23:20 28:9 substantial 33:14 **Schwalb** short staff successful 28:5 8:15 19:4 8:3 9:21 10:4 scope **Shortly** stages 17:17 12:21 9:7 sufficiency 20:10 show standard screen 9:19 31:4 8:13 12:17,18 15:19 sum 26:2,8 27:5 section sick start 11:16 14:5 31:9 34:10 3:10 4:8 summary 19:4 24:6,9 securing Signage 20:1 8:22 6:14 15:15 29:20 30:2 summer 3:12 security signatures statement 20:1 23:9 24:1 12:1,2 19:5,8 27:13 8:2 Sunday 12:19 seeking simply states 19:19 17:12 5:1 Superior 19:17,20 21:5 22:5,7, seeks Single status 15,16 20:17 21:17 24:14 11:19 22:9 23:12 32:15 33:10 supply situation Senator 9:2 28:22 32:8 statute 15:12 support sending sixth 8:5 10:5 7:1 23:17 stay 24:2,5 senior SMD Т 32:15 9:10 steadily 10:2 Serena smooth table 28:5 10:4 steps 4:10 5:15,22 17:14 18:19 service Sobin tabulator 19:1 20:3 9:18 7:10 23:14 23:4,5 stop software taking services 22:10 5:22 22:11 28:21 10:6 straight talk session solutions 17:21 25:21 30:20 14:12 strike tasks set speak 24:7 14:22 6:13 21:15 Stroud special setting team 10:18,19 14:16 16:22 24:11 4:11 7:18 8:11,21 9:12, 6:10 30:19 18:6,10,12 24:20 31:20 13 10:1,8 20:8 26:11,

teams titled 7:18 votes 7:22 10:21 12:13 18:13 18:9 updated technical 8:13,20 today voting 8:1 10:5 14:20 18:21 19:1 5:17 9:3 updates 5:6 10:7 tenth today's W 26:5 27:20 29:19 31:9 19:17 Urban term tomorrow 25:14 9:6 11:8 5:20 4:11 7:17,18 8:11 9:12, V terms total 13 10:8 26:16,18,19,21 12:14 4:16 5:6 26:2,8 27:5 27:12,22 28:14,15 vacancies 29:21 30:2,18,19 Terri touch 4:12 9:5.6 10:18 31:20 9:19 Washington 25:13 vendor testified traditional 9:1 4:11 32:13 29:8 Wat 32:2 vendors testimony training 9:22 10:4 15:3 32:14 34:14,16 Watch 8:16,17 32:1,3 verified testing Travon 12:1 6:4 9:16,17,19,20 27:22 29:10 website 5:3 9:16 10:13 12:22 verify **Treasurer** text 6:20 14:4,6 19:14 31:8 19:5 28:5,9,10,15 Wednesday verifying things treasurers 6:15 35:17 4:11 28:12 week versus **Thomas** Trevor 23:13 35:13 20:18 21:4 22:4,19 28:14,15 3:11 23:4.18 weigh Thompson true violate 14:20 3:3,4,16,19 4:2,4 10:17 19:4 13:13 15:22 welcoming 16:13 17:7 18:8,9 Tuesday 3:10 24:15,22 29:5 31:12,15 violates 9:17 10:12 32:17,21 33:4 34:3 23:9 White 35:2,10 36:4,8 28:1 29:10 30:21 violation 4:5 25:1 33:1,8 34:5 threshold 12:5 William 17:22 25:5 visit U **Thursday** 10:13 Williams 35:12 32:11 34:12,14 vote 11:21 13:14 14:2 20:21 5:10 9:9,19 18:7,11 Wilson 22:6,13 23:6 28:22 7:22 10:22 12:14,16,17, 21:1 21:3 18 13:11,21 14:7 15:10, ultimately 12,15,17,19 17:4 18:4, wisdom 15:9 4:14,17 5:5,8 6:2,11,18 14 19:21 20:14 21:13, 17:10 7:4,16 8:6 12:12 18:3 21 31:16 understand 19:15.18 35:21 Word 17:9 timely 27:10 voters 12:4 27:17 Uniform 4:15,18,19,21 5:2 6:17, work 13:10,20 15:12,15 **Times** 21 7:17 9:9 11:5,13 7:21 28:8 universities voters' worker 3:12 title 7:8 8:12,14,19 22:12

upcoming

11:19 16:6 19:4

workers

8:16,21

working 10:3

written

18:21

Υ

year

5:15 12:17 15:19 22:13 23:5

**years** 34:21

Ζ

Zoom

14:10 31:19 35:7,8