GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

AUGUST 31, 2022

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened via Video/Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:02 a.m. EST, GARY THOMPSON, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair MIKE GILL, Member KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

TERRI STROUD, General Counsel

CONTENTS

Meeting Called to Order	
Ascertainment of a Quorum	
Certification of Verification Results for	
Petition Submitted in Support of Recall	
of Amber Gove, Advisory Neighborhood	
Commissioner for Single Member 6A04	
Adjourn	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(10:02 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. So we have a quorum, and we're here today to consider a petition submitted in support of the recall of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner Amber Gove of Single-Member District 6A04. And with that, I believe, I will turn it over to the Registrar, Marissa Corrente, to brief us.

MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. I'm going to be reading a memo from our Executive Director, Monica Holman Evans, to the Board. After executing the Board's standard procedure for verifying registered voter signatures contained in the referenced recall petition, 6A04 Recall, the Board staff has determined that this petition meets the statutory requirements for certification to the ballot in accordance with DC Official Code 1-1001.17 K1.

It is my recommendation that the Board accept the petition as numerically sufficient in accordance with DC Official Code 1-1001.17 K1

because it contains the signatures of the required minimum number of registered voters. An overview of the petition verification process and a summary of its results are provided below.

The petition verification process is conducted to determine whether a petition to present a recall measure to the electorate contains the minimum number of registered voters' signatures required to place the measure on the ballot. The required minimum is 10 percent of the duly registered voters of the Single-Member District from which the official was elected.

The numerical requirements are based on the Board's published registration totals, in effect, at least 30 days before the petition was filed -- in this case, the voter registration totals as of June 30, 2022. The petition verification process detailed in Standard Procedures for Verification of Recall Petitions has four basic steps.

First, the Board staff verifies that the circulator is a resident of the District of

Columbia or a resident of another jurisdiction who registered as a petition circulator with the Board prior to the circulation of the petition sheet and if the petition has completed circulator's affidavit.

Second, the name and address of each petition signer is checked against the voter registration system file to determine if the petitioner was registered to vote at the residence address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed as required by law. Only those petitions signers whose names and addresses are found to match the Board's registered voter file are entered into the petition-checking program as verified registrants.

Third, the totals of verified registrants are compiled to determine whether the petition contains a sufficient number of registered voters to proceed to the signature verification stage in which the actual names in a petition are examined against the names on the

Board's records.

Fourth, where the number of signatures and the random sample universe meets or exceeds the establishment among requirements, if the officer is an Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, the Board verifies the authenticity of all of the signatures in the random sample universe. On August 1, 2022, Alexander Kelly submitted a petition in support of recall measure Amber Gove, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single-Member District 6A04.

My preliminary review of the petition indicated that Ms. Kelly submitted a total of 271 signatures. The petition needed to contain the valid signatures of 10 percent of duly registered voters in Single-Member District 6A04. The numerical requirements are based on the latest official count of the registered electors issued by the Board 30 or more days prior to the petition filling deadline of August 1, 2022.

In this case, the voter registration totals were used --- used were as of June 30,

2022, and for SMD, or Single-Member District,
6A04 totaled 1,929 registered voters, putting the
numerical requirement of the petition at 193 duly
registered 6A04 voters. Of the 271 signatures on
the petition, 65 signatures were discounted,
pursuant to Title 3 DC Admissible Regulations
1107.1 as outlined by the table below.

submitted to the Board, again, were 271. The number of signatures needed to qualify for the recall election were 193. Twenty-five signatures were discounted because the signer, according to the Board's records, was not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

Fifteen were discounted because the signer is not a registered voter. Four were discounted because the signature was a duplicate of a valid signature. Three because the signature was not dated. One because the petition did not include the name of the signer where the signature was legibly --- sufficiently legible

for identification.

Eight because the circulator of the petition failed to complete all required information in the circulator's affidavit. Five because the signature was not made by the person whose signature it purports to be. Five because the signer was not a registered voter in the ward or, in this case, Single-Member District of the elected official sought to be recalled.

So the total number of rejected signatures, as mentioned previously, was 65, leaving the remaining number of valid signatures at 206. So after completing the signature verification and validation, again, the total number of signatures remaining is 206. As a result, the recall petition is 13 signatures above the statutory requirement for certification for the ballot.

Based on these results of the verification process, I have determined that the recall measure does meet the statutory requirement for certification to the ballot and

that it should be accepted by the Board because it contains a sufficient number of signatures of registered electors in the Single-Member District from which the official was elected as required by DC Official Code 1-100.171.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank you very much. So, as everybody just heard, the conclusion is that the petition is numerically sufficient under the regulations. Thank you for walking through that detail. As everybody can hear, it's a pretty detailed process to ensure that the requirements are met, which, in this case, they appear to have been met by a margin of 13 signatures.

There's a right under our statute to recall, I think, all elected politicians, all elected officials, including ANC representatives. I used to be an ANC commissioner myself for eight years. And that's all we're deciding today is whether the petition is numerically sufficient. We're not commenting in any way, shape, or form on the substance of the recall petition. That

will be up to the voters to decide.

So it certainly appears to me that the statute's been met, and that's all we've here to decide today. So I'll ask my fellow Board members if they have any comment, and then we'll hear from the public as well.

MR. GILL: No. No comments.

MS. GREEN: No comments from me either.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. And, so, turning then to those of you in attendance, thank you for being here. You're welcome to comment. Obviously, we're focused on the statutory requirement aspect of this. We're really not engaged in the merits of the recall petition itself, as to Commissioner Gove. That'll be for the voters, but with that said, the floor is open for any comments anybody has. If you can keep them brief, it would be appreciated. You can raise your hand on the Zoom app or however you want --- would like to proceed.

MR. SUSSMAN: I just had a brief

question.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yup.

MR. SUSSMAN: This is Mark Sussman.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yeah.

MR. SUSSMAN: So I submitted a challenge to this petition. And when the BOE found that there were 60 signatures that were not -- that I challenged that they agreed with. And given the number of 65 here, I was just curious to know if those 65 overlapped completely with the 60 that were found during the challenge process, just because, given that there's only 13 --- a margin of 13 signatures above the requirement.

on --- got found during the challenge that were not completely overlapped with the 65 found through the independent process, then we could potentially have an insufficient petition here. So that's --- that is my question.

MS. CORRENTE: All right. And I can answer that the overlap, all those 60 that were

reflected in your challenge but didn't in this report, and we found additional ones.

MR. SUSSMAN: Yup. Understood. Just wanted to confirm. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yeah. Thank you for your question. Okay. I don't see any other hands raised or --- oh, someone's --- did I just see a hand raised? Oh, Ms. -- yeah, Commissioner Gove, please go ahead.

MS. GOVE: Yeah. Thanks so much to the Board of Elections for all the time and effort you have put into this. I had a quick question, and it may not be able to be answered today. But regarding the ballot, if the candidate's statement and the petitioner's statement that were included in the petitions, is that going to be reflected on the ballot? Or can anyone share what the ballot will look like with respect to this issue? Thank you.

MS. STROUD: Hello. I'm Terri Stroud.

I'm the General Counsel for the Board. And, so,

what will go on the measure -- and just to be

clear, and for the record, the measure will go on the general election ballot because it -- the general election will take place between 54 and 114 days after today, which is when we're certifying the sufficiency of the recall petition.

And the Board will place the measure on the ballot, and it will be in the following form. It will read, for the recall of Amber Gove, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single-Member District 4. And so it'll be for or against, so people will be able to vote for or against. And the statements will not appear on the ballot.

MS. GOVE: Thanks for that.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Seeing no other questions or hearing no other comment, I'll move at this time that we adopt the Registrar's recommendation to certify the results of the petition submitted in support of the recall of Commissioner Gove.

MR. GILL: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All in favor.
2	MR. GILL: Aye.
3	MS. GREEN: Aye.
4	MR. SUSSMAN: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you,
6	everybody, for being here. And we will
7	reconvene, I think, on Tuesday?
8	MS. STROUD: Yeah. Tuesday, September
9	6, for our regular Board meeting.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: At 11 o'clock?
11	MS. STROUD: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Great. Okay. All
13	right. With that, I move we adjourn. All in
14	favor?
15	MR. GILL: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All in favor?
17	MS. GREEN: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank
19	you, everybody.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
21	went off the record at 10:14 a.m.)
22	

GREEN 10:8 14:3.17 certify 13:19 9:3 13:11 certifying 13:5 **GREENFIELD** 1:16 duly 4:11 6:15 7:3 a.m 1:12 3:2 14:21 **Chair** 1:13,15 duplicate 7:18 able 12:13 13:12 Н **CHAIRMAN** 3:3 9:6 above-entitled 14:20 Ε 10:10 11:2,4 12:5 hand 10:20 12:8 accept 3:21 **effect** 4:15 13:16 14:1,5,10,12,16 hands 12:7 accepted 9:1 14:18 effort 12:12 hear 9:11 10:5 actual 5:21 challenge 11:6,11,16 eight 8:2 9:18 heard 9:7 additional 12:2 12:1 **either** 10:9 hearing 13:17 address 5:6,10 7:14 challenged 11:8 elected 4:12 8:9 9:4,16 **Hello** 12:20 addresses 5:13 checked 5:7 Holman 3:12 9:17 adjourn 2:13 14:13 circulation 5:3 **election** 7:11 13:2,3 Admissible 7:6 circulator 4:22 5:2 8:2 **Elections** 1:4,12,14,18 adopt 13:18 circulator's 5:5 8:4 12:11 identification 8:1 **Advisory** 2:10 3:6 6:5 electorate 4:7 **clear** 13:1 include 7:21 6:10 13:10 Code 3:19,22 9:5 **electors** 6:18 9:3 included 12:16 affidavit 5:5 8:4 Columbia 1:2,11 5:1 engaged 10:15 including 9:17 agreed 11:8 comment 10:5,12 13:17 **ensure** 9:11 independent 11:18 ahead 12:9 commenting 9:21 entered 5:14 indicated 6:13 Alexander 6:8 comments 10:7,8,18 **EST** 1:12 information 8:4 **Amber** 2:10 3:6 6:9 commissioner 2:11 3:6 establishment 6:4 insufficient 11:19 13:9 6:5,10 9:18 10:16 **Evans** 3:12 **issue** 12:19 **ANC** 9:17,18 12:8 13:10,21 everybody 9:7,10 14:6 **issued** 6:18 answer 11:22 compiled 5:18 14:19 it'll 13:11 answered 12:13 complete 8:3 examined 5:22 **anybody** 10:18 completed 5:4 exceeds 6:3 **app** 10:20 completely 11:10,17 executing 3:13 June 4:17 6:22 appear 9:13 13:13 completing 8:13 Executive 3:11 jurisdiction 5:1 appears 10:2 conclusion 9:8 appreciated 10:19 F Κ conducted 4:6 **Ascertainment** 2:6 confirm 12:4 failed 8:3 **K1** 3:19,22 aspect 10:14 consider 3:4 favor 14:1,14,16 **KARYN** 1:16 attendance 10:11 contain 6:14 **fellow** 10:4 keep 10:18 **August** 1:9 6:8,20 contained 3:14 Fifteen 7:16 Kelly 6:8,13 authenticity 6:6 contains 4:1.8 5:19 9:2 **file** 5:8,14 Aye 14:2,3,4,17 CONTENTS 2:1 **filed** 4:16 convened 1:12 filing 6:20 latest 6:17 В **Corrente** 3:9,10 11:21 **First** 4:21 law 5:11 **ballot** 3:18 4:10 8:18,22 Counsel 1:20 12:21 **Five** 8:4.6 leaving 8:12 12:14,17,18 13:2,8,14 count 6:18 floor 10:17 legible 7:22 based 4:13 6:17 8:19 curious 11:9 **focused** 10:13 legibly 7:22 **basic** 4:20 following 13:8 listed 5:10 7:14 believe 3:8 D form 9:21 13:9 look 12:18 **Board** 1:4,6,11,14,18 dated 7:20 **found** 5:13 11:7,11,16 3:12,16,20 4:21 5:3 days 4:15 6:19 13:4 М 11:17 12:2 6:6,19 7:9 9:1 10:4 **DC** 3:18,22 7:6 9:5 four 4:20 7:17 margin 9:13 11:13 12:11,21 13:7 14:9 deadline 6:20 Fourth 6:2 Marissa 3:9 Board's 3:13 4:14 5:13 decide 10:1,4 Mark 11:3 6:1 7:13 G deciding 9:19 **match** 5:13 **BOE** 11:6 detail 9:10 **GARY** 1:12,15 matter 14:20 **brief** 3:9 10:19,22 detailed 4:18 9:11 general 1:20 12:21 13:2 measure 4:7,9 6:9 8:21 **determine** 4:6 5:8,18 13:3 12:22 13:1.7 **determined** 3:16 8:20 GILL 1:15 10:7 13:22 meet 8:21 Called 2:4 Director 3:11 14:2,15 meeting 1:6 2:4 14:9 candidate's 12:15 **discounted** 7:5,12,16 **given** 11:9,12 **meets** 3:17 6:3 case 4:16 6:21 8:8 9:13 7:18 Gove 2:10 3:6 6:9 10:16 Member 1:15,16 2:11 certainly 10:2 **District** 1:2,11 3:7 4:12 12:9.10 13:9.15.21 certification 2:8 3:18 13:11 4:22 6:11,16 7:1 8:8 **GOVERNMENT** 1:1 members 1:14 10:4 8:17,22

memo 3:11 mentioned 8:11 merits 10:15 met 9:12,13 10:3 MIKE 1:15 minimum 4:2,8,10 Monica 3:12 move 13:18 14:13

Ν

name 5:6 7:21 names 5:12,21,22 needed 6:14 7:10 Neighborhood 2:10 3:6 6:5,10 13:10 notice 1:12 number 4:2,8 5:19 6:2 7:8,10 8:10,12,15 9:2 11:9 numerical 4:13 6:17 7:3 numerically 3:21 9:8,20

0

o'clock 14:10 Obviously 10:13 officer 6:5 official 3:19,22 4:12 6:18 8:9 9:4,5 officials 9:17 ones 12:2 open 10:17 Order 2:4 outlined 7:7 overlap 11:22 overlapped 11:10,17 overview 4:3

Г

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1

people 13:12 percent 4:10 6:15 person 8:5 petition 2:9 3:5,15,16 3:21 4:3,5,6,15,17 5:2 5:3,4,7,10,11,19,22 6:9,12,14,20 7:3,5,14 7:15,20 8:3,16 9:8,20 9:22 10:15 11:6,19 13:6,20 petition-checking 5:15 petitioner 5:9 petitioner's 12:15 **petitions** 4:19 5:12 12:16 place 4:9 13:3,7 **please** 12:9

potentially 11:19 preliminary 6:12 present 1:14,18 4:7 presiding 1:13 pretty 9:11 previously 8:11 **prior** 5:3 6:19 procedure 3:13 Procedures 4:19 proceed 5:20 10:21 process 4:3,5,18 8:20 9:11 11:12,18 program 5:15 provided 4:4 public 10:6 published 4:14 purports 8:6 pursuant 1:12 7:6 put 12:12 putting 7:2

Q

qualify 7:10 question 11:1,20 12:6 12:13 questions 13:17 quick 12:12 quorum 2:6 3:4

R

raise 10:20

read 13:9

raised 12:7,8

random 6:3.7

reading 3:11 recall 2:9 3:5,15,15 4:7 4:19 6:9 7:11 8:16,21 9:16,22 10:15 13:5,9 13:20 recalled 8:9 recommendation 3:20 13:19 reconvene 14:7 record 13:1 14:21 records 6:1 7:13 referenced 3:15 reflected 12:1,17 regarding 12:14 registered 3:14 4:2,8 4:11 5:2,9,14,20 6:15 6:18 7:2,4,13,17 8:7 9:3 registrants 5:16,18 Registrar 3:8 Registrar's 13:18

registration 4:14,16 5:8

6:21

regular 14:9

regulations 7:6 9:9 rejected 8:10 **remaining** 8:12,15 report 12:2 representatives 9:17 required 4:2,9,10 5:11 8:3 9:4 requirement 7:3 8:17 8:22 10:14 11:14 requirements 3:17 4:13 6:4,17 9:12 residence 5:10 resident 4:22 5:1 **respect** 12:19 result 8:16 results 2:8 4:4 8:19 13:19 review 6:12

S

sample 6:3,7 Second 5:6 13:22 14:15 **Seeing** 13:16 September 14:8 **shape** 9:21 **share** 12:18 sheet 5:4 signature 5:20 7:18,19 7:19,22 8:5,6,13 **signatures** 3:14 4:1.9 6:2,7,14,15 7:4,5,8,10 7:11 8:11,12,15,16 9:2,14 11:7,13 **signed** 5:11 7:15 signer 5:7 7:12,17,21 8.7 signers 5:12 Single 2:11 **Single-** 13:10 Single-Member 3:7 4:11 6:10,16 7:1 8:8 9:3 **SMD** 7:1 someone's 12:7 sought 8:9 SPECIAL 1:6 staff 1:18 3:16 4:21 **stage** 5:21 standard 3:13 4:18 **statement** 12:15,16 statements 13:13 statute 9:15 **statute's** 10:3 **statutory** 3:17 8:17,21 10:13 **steps** 4:20 **Stroud** 1:20 12:20,20

submitted 2:9 3:5 6:8 6:13 7:9 11:5 13:20 substance 9:22 sufficiency 13:5 sufficient 3:21 5:19 9:2 9:9,20 sufficiently 7:22 summary 4:4 support 2:9 3:5 6:9 13:20 Sussman 10:22 11:3,3 11:5 12:3 14:4 system 5:8

Т

table 7:7

Terri 1:20 12:20 thank 3:10 9:6,9 10:11 12:4,5,19 14:5,18 **Thanks** 12:10 13:15 **Third** 5:17 **THOMPSON** 1:13.15 3:3 9:6 10:10 11:2,4 12:5 13:16 14:1,5,10 14:12,16,18 **Three** 7:19 **Title** 7:6 today 3:4 9:19 10:4 12:14 13:4 total 6:13 7:8 8:10.14 totaled 7:2 totals 4:14,17 5:17 6:22 **Tuesday** 14:7,8 turn 3:8 **turning** 10:11 Twenty-five 7:11

Understood 12:3 universe 6:3,7

V

valid 6:15 7:19 8:12 validation 8:14 verification 2:8 4:3,5,18 4:19 5:21 8:14,20 verified 5:15,17 verifies 4:21 6:6 verifying 3:14 Video/Teleconference 1:12 vote 5:9 7:13 13:12 voter 3:14 4:16 5:7,14 6:21 7:17 8:7 voters 4:2,11 5:20 6:16 7:2,4 10:1,17 voters' 4:8

14:8,11

politicians 9:16

	I	
W		
walking 9:10		
wanted 12:4		
ward 8:7		
way 9:21		
WEDNESDAY 1:8		
welcome 10:12		
went 14:21		
X		
Υ		
years 9:19		
Vin 11:0 10:0		
Yup 11:2 12:3		
Z		
Zoom 10:20		
200111 10.20		
0		
1		
1-100.171 9:5		
1-1001.17 3:19,22		
1,929 7:2		
10 4:10 6:15		
10:02 1:12 3:2		
10:14 14:21		
11 14:10		
1107.1 7:7		
114 13:4		
13 8:16 9:14 11:12,13		
14 2:13		
193 7:3,11		
193 7.5,11		
2		
2022 1:9 4:17 6:8,20 7:1		
206 0.42 45		
206 8:13,15		
271 6:13 7:4,9		
3		
3 2:4,6,11 7:6		
30 4:15,17 6:19,22		
31 1:9		
U 1 1.0		
4		
4 13:11		
5		
54 13:3		
6		
6 14:9		
60 11:7,11,15,15,22		
65 7:5 8:11 11:9,10,17		
6A04 2:11 3:7,15 6:11		
6:16 7:2,4		
0.101.2,4		
ļ	I	I

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 08-31-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &